



National Core Indicators
Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey

2018-2019 Colorado Results



Preface

The State of Colorado has many publicly funded long-term services and supports (LTSS) available to older adults and members with physical disabilities who have significant health care needs. LTSS offer a wide array of health and social supports that enable these individuals to avoid placement in facilities and to live in a setting of their choice. Specifically, the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF) administers Medicaid funding for LTSS that provides a variety of program options in facility-, home- and community-based services (HCBS) settings. Additionally, the Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS) administers funding from the federal Older Americans Act and State Funding for Senior Services, making additional supports and services available to help older adults remain in the community of their choice.

To best meet the needs of those served by these programs, it is critical to understand the quality and effectiveness of those services to be sure they result in positive outcomes. In order to measure the quality and impact of these services on the people served, ADvancing States (formerly the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD)) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI) developed the National Core Indicators-Aging and Disabilities (NCI-AD) Adult Consumer Survey. This survey collects valid and reliable person-reported data about the impact that states' publicly-funded LTSS have on the quality of life and outcomes of older adults and adults with physical disabilities that states serve.

Because our population is rapidly becoming older and more diverse, this is a particularly critical time for Colorado to assess the quality and impact of its LTSS. Colorado is among one of the fastest growing states with populations of adults aged 65 and older and this demographic is more likely to have at least one physical disability. Several initiatives are already under way in Colorado to address the needs of older adults and adults with a physical disability. Over the last few years, HCPF has partnered with the Community Living Quality Improvement Committee, which has been integral in continuing the efforts of the Community Living Advisory Group as it relates to LTSS initiatives. This report aligns with these existing efforts and serves as a key resource on the quality of LTSS and outcomes for the people served. State departments and planning groups can utilize this information to make improvements in programs and services, and more effectively meet the needs of older adults and adults with physical disabilities who have significant health care needs.

Colorado was selected as one of 13 states that participated in the first year of NCI-AD national initiative, demonstrating its commitment to measuring and improving the quality of LTSS systems. It has continued its participation every year since then. This report highlights the results for Colorado from the 2018-19 data collection cycle of the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey.

Kim Bimestefer, Executive Director
Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing

Michelle Barnes, Executive Director
Colorado Department of Human Services



Human Services Research Institute (HSRI)
2336 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140



ADvancing States
241 18th Street S., Ste. 403
Arlington, VA 22202



Colorado Department of Health Care Policy & Financing
1570 Grant St.
Denver, CO 80203

Released January 2020

List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

AAA – Area Agency on Aging
ADRC – Aging and Disability Resource Center
BI Section – Background Information Section of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey
CCB – Community Centered Boards
CDHS – Colorado Department of Human Services
CIL – Center for Independent Living
CMHS – Community Mental Health Supports
CPAP – continuous positive airway pressure
EBD – Elderly, Blind, and Disabled
HCBS – Home and Community-Based Services
HCPF – Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing
HSRI – Human Services Research Institute
LTSS – Long-Term Services and Supports
N – Number of respondents
N/A – not applicable
NASUAD – National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities
NCI-AD – National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities
OAA – Older Americans Act
PACE – Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly
PCA – Personal Care Assistant
PCP – Person-Centered Planning
SEP – Single-Entry Point

Table of Contents

Preface	1
List of Abbreviations Used in This Report.....	3
Table of Contents.....	4
What is NCI-AD?	20
NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey.....	20
Survey Overview	20
Figure 1. 2018-2019 NCI-AD Domains and Indicators	21
Survey Organization.....	24
NCI-AD in Colorado	25
Sample.....	26
Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by program.....	27
Survey Process in Colorado.....	27
Stakeholders	28
Organization of Results	28
Limitations of Report	30
Results	31
Community Participation	31
Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in their community as they would like to be	32
Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to	32

Choice and Decision Making	33
Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates)	34
Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	34
Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	35
Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	35
Relationships	36
Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)	37
Satisfaction	38
Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living	39
Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	39
Graph 10. Proportion of people who like how they spend their time during the day	40
Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often	40
Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done	41
Service Coordination	42
Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	43
Graph 14. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they need help with services or have a complaint	43
Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	44
Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place	44
Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for future changes in their needs	45
Graph 18. Proportion of people whose long-term care services meet all their current needs and goals	45

Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with their unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	46
Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)	46
Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)	47
Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up	47
Graph 23. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	48
Graph 24. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	48
Care Coordination	49
Graph 25. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)	50
Graph 26. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	50
Graph 27. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	51
Graph 28. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s)	51
Graph 29. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable	52
Graph 30. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk	52
Access to Community.....	53
Graph 31. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ...	54
Graph 32. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	54

Access to Needed Equipment	55
Graph 33. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	56
Graph 34. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need a replacement.....	56
Graph 35. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	57
Graph 36. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need a replacement	57
Graph 37. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	58
Graph 38. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need a replacement.....	58
Graph 39. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it.....	59
Graph 40. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need a replacement.....	59
Graph 41. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	60
Graph 42. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need a replacement.....	60
Graph 43. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	61
Graph 44. Proportion of people who have a walker but need a replacement	61
Graph 45. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it.....	62
Graph 46. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need a replacement	62
Graph 47. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	63
Graph 48. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need a replacement	63
Graph 49. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them.....	64
Graph 50. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need a replacement	64
Graph 51. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	65
Graph 52. Proportion of people who have glasses but need a replacement.....	65
Graph 53. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it.....	66

Graph 54. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need a replacement	66
Graph 55. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it.....	67
Graph 56. Proportion of people who have a personal emergency response system but need a replacement	67
Graph 57. Proportion of people who need an oxygen machine but do not have it	68
Graph 58. Proportion of people who have an oxygen machine but need a replacement.....	68
Graph 59. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	69
Graph 60. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need a replacement.....	69
Safety	70
Graph 61. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	71
Graph 62. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	71
Graph 63. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	72
Graph 64. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months	72
Graph 65. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire	73
Health Care	74
Graph 66. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year	75
Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	75
Graph 68. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain	76
Graph 69. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to being unable to see their primary care doctor when they needed to	76
Graph 70. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to.....	77
Graph 71. Proportion of people feeling sad or depressed who have talked to someone about it in the past 12 months	77
Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year.....	78

Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	78
Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	79
Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	79
Graph 76. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	80
Wellness	81
Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor	82
Graph 78. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago	82
Graph 79. Proportion of people reported to be forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months	83
Graph 80. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse... ..	83
Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	84
Graph 82. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)	84
Graph 83. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	85
Graph 84. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods if they want them	85
Medications	86
Graph 85. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	87
Graph 86. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for	87
Rights and Respect.....	88
Graph 87. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect.....	89
Graph 88. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	89
Graph 89. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	90
Graph 90. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	90
Graph 91. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	91

Graph 92. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)	91
Self-Direction	92
Graph 93. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	93
Graph 94. Proportion of people who can choose or change when and how often they get their services	93
Graph 95. Proportion of people who can choose or change their paid support staff if they want to.....	94
Work.....	95
Graph 96. Proportion of people who have a paying job	96
Graph 97. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed).....	96
Graph 98. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options	97
Graph 99. Proportion of people who do volunteer work.....	97
Graph 100. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering).....	98
Everyday Living.....	99
Graph 101. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications).....	100
Graph 102. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	100
Graph 103. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home).....	101
Graph 104. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it	101
Affordability	102
Graph 105. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	103
Control	104

Graph 106. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their lives	105
Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses	106
Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules	106
Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Unweighted Data by Program	111
Demographic Characteristics	112
Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)	112
Table 2. Age: 90 years and over	112
Table 3. Gender	112
Table 4. Race and ethnicity	112
Table 5. Marital status	113
Table 6. Primary language	113
Table 7. Type of residential area.....	113
Table 8. Type of residence	113
Table 9. Who else lives with the person	114
Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months.....	114
Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)	114
Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability	114
Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia	115
Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury.....	115
Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability	115
Table 16. Level of mobility	115
Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)	116

Table 18. Receives Medicare	116
Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program	116
Table 20. Has legal guardian	116
Table 21. Proportion of people participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined and reported by the State – data derived from administrative records).....	117
Community Participation	118
Table 22. Proportion of people who are as active in their community as they would like to be	118
Table 23a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be	118
Table 23b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)	118
Table 24. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to.....	118
Choice and Decision Making	119
Table 25. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates)	119
Table 26. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to.....	119
Table 27. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	119
Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)...	119
Relationships.....	120
Table 29. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if have friends and family who don't live with them).....	120
Table 30. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family	120
Satisfaction.....	121
Table 31. Proportion of people who like where they are living	121
Table 32a. Reasons for not liking where people are living.....	121

Table 32b. Reasons for not liking where people are living (continued)	121
Table 32c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)	121
Table 33. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	122
Table 34a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)	122
Table 34b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else) (continued)	122
Table 35. Proportion of people who like how they spend their time during the day	122
Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often.....	123
Table 37. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done.....	123
Service Coordination.....	124
Table 38. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	124
Table 39. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they need help with services or have a complaint	124
Table 40. Proportion of people who reported having a case manager/care coordinator	124
Table 41. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	124
Table 42. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English).....	125
Table 43. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	125
Table 44. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place.....	125
Table 45. Proportion of people who want help planning for future changes in their needs.....	125
Table 46. Proportion of people whose long-term care services meet their current needs and goals.....	126
Table 47a. Additional services might help meet people’s needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals)	126
Table 47b. Additional services might help meet people’s needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals) (continued)	126
Table 47c. Additional services might help meet people’s needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals) (continued)	126

Table 48. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with their unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	127
Table 49a. How people first find out about the services available to them	127
Table 49b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued).....	127
Table 50. Proportion of people who have someone that helps them at home or in the community on a regular basis (at least once a week)	127
Table 51. Who helps people most often (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)	128
Table 52. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis).....	128
Table 53. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up	128
Care Coordination	129
Table 54. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in past year (and were discharged to go home/back where they live)	129
Table 55. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	129
Table 56. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	129
Table 57. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s).....	129
Table 58. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable.....	130
Table 59. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk	130
Access to Community.....	131
Table 60. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ..	131
Table 61. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	131

Access to Needed Equipment	132
Table 62. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home	132
Table 63. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars).....	132
Table 64. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed	132
Table 65. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home.....	133
Table 66. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)	133
Table 67. Proportion of people who need a walker	133
Table 68. Proportion of people who need a scooter	134
Table 69. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair	134
Table 70. Proportion of people who need hearing aids	134
Table 71. Proportion of people who need glasses	135
Table 72. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine.....	135
Table 73. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system	135
Table 74. Proportion of people who need an oxygen machine	136
Table 75. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)	136
Safety	137
Table 76. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	137
Table 77. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	137
Table 78. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	137
Table 79. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months	137
Table 80. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire	138
Health Care	139

Table 81. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year	139
Table 82. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	139
Table 83. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain	139
Table 84. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to being unable to see their primary care doctor when they needed to	139
Table 85. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to.....	140
Table 86. Proportion of people feeling sad or depressed who have talked to someone about it in the past 12 months	140
Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year	140
Table 88. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	140
Table 89. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	141
Table 90. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	141
Table 91. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	141
Wellness.....	142
Table 92. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent	142
Table 93. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, or getting worse compared to 12 months ago.....	142
Table 94. Proportion of people reported to be forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months	142
Table 95. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things with a doctor or a nurse	142
Table 96. Proportion of people who feel sad or depressed never or almost never, not often, sometimes, and often	143
Table 97. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)	143
Table 98. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	143
Table 99. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods if they want them	143

Medications	144
Table 100. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	144
Table 101. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)	144
Rights and Respect.....	145
Table 102. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	145
Table 103. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	145
Table 104. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	145
Table 105. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	146
Table 106. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	146
Table 107. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)	146
Self-Direction	147
Table 108. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	147
Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change when and how often they get services	147
Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change their paid support staff if they want to.....	147
Work.....	148
Table 111. Proportion of people who have a paying job.....	148
Table 112. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed).....	148
Table 113. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options	148
Table 114. Proportion of people who do volunteer work	148
Table 115. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	149
Everyday Living.....	150

Table 116. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications).....	150
Table 117. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	150
Table 118. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home).....	150
Table 119. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it	150
Affordability	151
Table 120. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	151
Control	152
Table 121. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives	152
Table 122. Ranking of how important health is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	152
Table 123. Ranking of how important safety is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	152
Table 124. Ranking of how important being independent is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	152
Table 125. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)	153
Appendix C: Colorado’s NCI-AD Person-Centered Planning Module	154
Table 126. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and the goals they want for their lives.....	155

Table 127. Proportion of people who remember their most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care)	155
Table 128. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting took place at a time convenient to them (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	155
Table 129. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting took place at a location convenient to them (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	155
Table 130. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	156
Table 131. Proportion of people who felt their preferences and needs were being heard as their service plan/plan of care was discussed during the most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	156
Table 132. Proportion of people who received a copy of their service plan/plan of care after the most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	156
Table 133. Proportion of people whose service plan/plan of care includes what was discussed in their most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)	156
Table 134. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their service plan/plan of care	157
Table 135. Proportion of people who feel that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life	157

What is NCI-AD?

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) are standard measures used across participating states to assess the quality of life and outcomes of seniors and adults with physical disabilities—including traumatic or acquired brain injury—who are accessing publicly-funded services through the Older Americans Act (OAA), Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), Medicaid, and/or state-funded programs. The program is coordinated by ADvancing States¹ (formerly the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD)) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI). NCI-AD data are gathered through yearly in-person Adult Consumer Surveys administered by state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies (or an Agency-contracted vendor) to a sample of at least 400 individuals in each participating state. NCI-AD data measure the performance of states' long-term services and supports (LTSS) systems and service recipient outcomes, helping states prioritize quality improvement initiatives, engage in thoughtful decision making, and conduct futures planning with valid and reliable LTSS data. The program officially launched in the summer of 2015 with 13 participating states². The 2019-2020 project cycle marks its fifth year of implementation, with more than twenty states expected to participate. For more on the development and history of NCI-AD, refer to the [*National Core Indicators Aging and Disability Adult Consumer Survey: 2015-2016 National Results*](#) report, available on the NCI-AD website (www.NCI-AD.org).

NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey

Survey Overview

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey is designed to measure outcomes across nineteen broad domains comprising approximately 55 core indicators. Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals,

¹ ADvancing States (formerly NASUAD) is the membership organization for state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid directors. www.ADvancingStates.org

² Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

including respect and rights, service coordination, care coordination, employment, health, safety, person-centered planning, etc. An example of an indicator in the Service Coordination domain is: “Proportion of people who receive the services that they need.”

While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the indicator “Proportion of people who get needed home modifications, equipment, and assistive devices” in the Access to Needed Equipment domain is addressed by several survey questions that ask about the person’s need for various types of home modifications and assistive devices. Figure 1 below details NCI-AD domains and corresponding indicators.

Figure 1. 2018-2019 NCI-AD Domains and Indicators

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred community activities
Choice and Decision-Making	Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives
Relationships	Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with their paid support staff
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day
Service Coordination	Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies
	Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language ³
	Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
	Proportion of people who know whom to contact with a complaint or question about their services
	Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
	Proportion of people whose support staff come when they are supposed to
	Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don’t show up
Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place	

³ Indicator previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
	Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about their unmet needs
	Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs
Care Coordination	Proportion of people who had someone work with them to reduce risk of falls ⁴
	Proportion of people who feel comfortable going home after being discharged from a hospital or a rehab facility
	Proportion of people who have adequate follow-up after being discharged from a hospital or a rehab facility
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions
Access to Community⁵	Proportion of people who have adequate transportation ⁶
Access to Needed Equipment⁷	Proportion of people who get needed home modifications, equipment, and assistive devices ⁸
Safety	Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff
	Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency
	Proportion of people who feel safe at home
	Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe
Health Care	Proportion of people who have access to mental health services
	Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to
	Proportion of people who experience potentially preventable emergency room visits
	Proportion of people who have needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner
Wellness	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods ⁹

⁴ Indicator previously reported in the “Safety” domain.

⁵ New domain in 2018-2019.

⁶ Indicator previously reported in the “Access” domain.

⁷ New domain in 2018-2019.

⁸ Indicator previously reported in the “Access” domain.

⁹ Indicator previously reported in the “Everyday Living” domain.

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people in poor health
	Proportion of people with uncorrected poor hearing
	Proportion of people with uncorrected poor vision
	Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed
Medications	Proportion of people who take medications to help them feel less sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who know what their prescription medications are for
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect
	Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others
Self-Direction	Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them
Work	Proportion of people who have a paid job
	Proportion of people who would like a job
	Proportion of people who receive job search assistance
	Proportion of people who volunteer
	Proportion of people who would like to volunteer
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living
Affordability	Proportion of people who have to cut back on food because of money
Control	Proportion of people who feel in control of their life
Person-Centered Planning (OPTIONAL MODULE)	Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their service plan
	Proportion of people whose service planning meetings take place when, where and with whom they want
	Proportion of people whose preferences and needs are discussed in their service planning meetings
	Proportion of people who receive a copy of their service plan after their service planning meetings

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people whose service plan reflects what is discussed during their service plan meetings
	Proportion of people whose service plan includes their preferences and choices
	Proportion of people whose supports and services help them live a better life

Survey Organization

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey tool consists of the Pre-Survey form, the Background Information Section, the Full In-Person Survey, and the Interviewer Feedback Form. An alternative Proxy Version of the In-Person Survey is available for those interviews that need to be conducted with proxies of service recipients instead of the service recipient themselves. Each part of the tool is described below.

Pre-Survey form: The Pre-Survey section is an optional form intended to collect information that may be helpful for surveyors to prepare for and schedule the survey meetings. The Pre-Survey form is for surveyor use only; Pre-Survey information is not submitted or used for any data analysis or reporting.

Background Information (BI) Section: The BI Section collects demographic and service-related information about the service recipient. To the extent possible, data for the BI Section are derived from states' existing administrative records. BI items not available from state administrative data sources may be collected by surveyors at the end of the survey meeting. Surveyors may collect any missing BI information with the exception of five BI items that must be completed using administrative data sources (person's primary source of LTSS funding/program, LTSS services received through that program, length of receiving services, participation in a self-directed supports option, and legal guardianship status). Each BI item tracks whether data were derived from existing administrative records or collected by surveyors as part of the survey meetings.

In-Person Survey: The Full In-Person Survey consists of approximately 90 questions, with related questions grouped together by theme or topic (e.g., a series of questions about employment, a series of questions about support staff, etc.); another 10 questions

comprise the optional Person-Centered Planning module. The Full In-Person Survey is completed face-to-face with the person receiving services. The respondent may ask their proxy (e.g. a family member or a close friend) for assistance with answering some of the questions, if needed. The Full In-Person Survey includes both subjective and objective questions; proxy assistance is only allowed for a defined subset of more objective items.

Proxy Version: The Proxy Version is an alternative version of the In-Person Survey. It is used in place of the Full In-Person Survey when the person receiving services is unable or unwilling to provide valid responses or has asked their proxy to complete the survey on their behalf. The Proxy Version includes only the subset of more objective questions from the Full Survey that allow for proxy assistance. Questions in the Proxy Version are rephrased to be in third person, making it clear their subject is the person receiving services and not the proxy respondent. Surveyors must meet with the service recipient face-to-face and attempt to interview them; only after the in-person attempt has been made can the proxy be surveyed instead of the service recipient.

Interviewer Feedback Form: The Interviewer Feedback Form is completed by surveyors after the survey meeting is concluded. It collects information about the survey meeting itself, such as when/where the meeting took place, who was present, the respondent's level of comprehension, etc. Surveyors are also asked to provide any feedback they may have about the survey tool itself or the survey process overall.

NCI-AD in Colorado

The Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF), in partnership with the Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS) State Unit on Aging, ADvancing States, and HSRI, implemented the 2018-19 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey in Colorado. HCPF recognized the need for an independent assessment of Colorado's publicly-funded home and community-based services (HCBS) and OAA programs. As a result, in 2014 HCPF secured grant funding to participate in NCI-AD and recently secured funding to be allocated for this work to continue in the future. Data from the project will be used to support Colorado's efforts to strengthen LTSS policy, inform quality assurance activities, and improve the quality of life for those utilizing LTSS. To allow for year-

to-year comparison of the data, HCPF will participate in the 2019-20 NCI-AD data collection cycle for Colorado and will continue working with its current vendor, Vital Research, to implement the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey.

Sample

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Colorado in 2018-2019 and included for analysis was six hundred ninety-seven (Total N=697). Two program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Elderly, Blind, and Disabled (EBD) 1915(c) Medicaid Waiver: The EBD Waiver provides assistance to people aged 65 and older who have a functional impairment or are blind, and to people aged 18-64 who are physically disabled or have a diagnosis of HIV or AIDS and require LTSS in order to remain in a community setting. Services provided through the program include Adult Day Services, Alternative Care Facilities, Consumer Directed Attendant Support Services, Home Delivered Meals, Homemaker Services, Home Modifications, In-Home Support Services, Life Skills Training, Medication Reminder, Non-Medical Transportation, Peer Mentorship, Personal Care Services, Personal Emergency Response System, Respite Care Services and Transition Set-up. These services work with or supplement the services that are available through the Health First Colorado State Plan and other federal, state, and local public programs. Three hundred forty-nine people (N=349) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Community Mental Health Supports (CMHS) Waiver: The CMHS Waiver provides assistance to people with a mental illness that requires LTSS in order to remain in a community setting. Services provided through this program include Adult Day Services, Alternative Care Facilities, Consumer Directed Attendant Support Services, Home Delivered Meals, Homemaker Services, Home Modifications, Life Skills Training, Medication Reminder, Non-Medical Transportation, Peer Mentorship, Personal Care Services, Personal Emergency Response System, Respite Care Services, Specialized Medical Equipment & Supplies/Assistive Devices and Transition Set-up. These services work with or supplement the services that are available through the Health First Colorado State Plan and other federal, state, and local public programs. Three hundred forty-eight people (N=348) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Figure 2 below summarizes programs included in Colorado’s NCI-AD survey sample, the number of survey-eligible service recipients in each and the corresponding number of conducted surveys included for analysis. Also included are calculations of margin of error for each program under two scenarios: assuming a very conservative 0.5 distribution of responses and assuming a somewhat less conservative 0.7 distribution of responses. Using the 0.5 distribution of responses is the most conservative distribution assumption for calculating margins of error that can be made and is usually used when no prior information is available about true population response distributions. When some prior information about distributions of responses in the population is available, it can be used for calculating less conservative margins of error. Based on distributions observed in previously collected NCI-AD data, it is reasonable to assume a somewhat less conservative population response distribution of 0.7 for calculating margins of error. Calculations in both scenarios use the total number of analyzed surveys in each program. It is important to note that the actual number of valid responses to an individual survey item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys. This is explained in more detail in the “Organization of Results” section below.

Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by program.

Program	Number of analyzed surveys	Number of eligible participants	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.7 distribution	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.5 distribution
EBD Waiver	349	24,000	4.8% MoE, 95% CL	5.2% MoE, 95% CL
CMHS Waiver	348	3,363	4.8% MoE, 95% CL	5.2% MoE, 95% CL
Total	697	27,363	3.4% MoE, 95% CL	3.7% MoE, 95% CL

Survey Process in Colorado

HCPF contracted with Vital Research, a national survey group, to hire and manage local interviewers to conduct the NCI-AD in-person survey. HCPF and Vital Research, working with ADvancing States and HSRI staff, conducted a two-day training with the hired

interviewers prior to survey implementation. The training consisted of a detailed review of the NCI-AD survey tool, general and population-specific surveying techniques, procedures for scheduling interviews and obtaining written consent, overview of the NCI-AD project, guidance for follow-up in the case of unmet needs and/or abuse, neglect or exploitation, mock interviewing practice sessions, and data entry procedures. Interviews began within days after completing the training sessions, then the final data from the interviews was sent to HSRI.

Colorado chose to use NCI-AD's optional module on person-centered planning (PCP) in both of its programs surveyed.

Stakeholders

HCPF provides ongoing NCI-AD stakeholder engagement activities through various committees and stakeholder groups within the Department and through external groups, including Single-Entry Point (SEP) and Community Centered Boards (CCB) manager meetings. The Department also intends to utilize the Community Living Quality Improvement Committee for ongoing monitoring of its quality framework, including providing the Department with input related to future surveys on client experience as well as input on how to respond to resulting data. CDHS is also committed to providing NCI-AD engagement activities to its stakeholders, including the Colorado Commission on Aging, the Strategic Action Planning Group on Aging, the Colorado Area Agencies on Aging (AAA), and other groups in the aging network. Through ongoing communications by both HCPF and CDHS, Colorado's stakeholder engagement process will continue to grow while alignment among other state initiatives emerge.

Organization of Results

The following pages of the report presents findings from Colorado's 2018-2019 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data collection cycle. Results are grouped by domain and are presented in chart format. Charts show results for individual survey items broken out by each program. The number of people (N) in each program that gave valid responses to that survey item are also shown. The number of valid responses to an item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys, for the following reasons:

- Certain questions in the survey can only be asked of the service recipient – i.e. proxy respondents for these questions are not allowed. These questions have a smaller number of responses because they are contained only in the full In-Person Survey, whereas the total number of analyzed surveys also includes cases when the Proxy Version was used.
- Only valid responses are included in both the denominator and the numerator when calculating proportions. Unclear, refused and, for most items, “don’t know” responses are excluded.
- The survey contains several skip logic patterns. This means that depending on the response to a previous survey item, a question may or may not be asked, as appropriate. When an item is skipped due to skip logic, the survey case does not contribute to the calculations for the item.

Please note: Extreme caution should be used when interpreting results where the number of valid responses is small. Each program’s valid number of responses (valid Ns) is shown in every chart and table in this report. In addition to displaying valid number of responses, charts also use an asterisk to indicate Ns smaller than 20. Responses smaller than 20 should not be used as a basis for firm conclusions and should be treated as suggestive and informational only.

Each chart also contains Colorado’s weighted state average, as well as the total number of observed valid responses for that survey item. A weighted state average takes into account whether the sampling strategy proportionally oversampled one or more of the state’s programs; its calculation effectively “re-balances” the oversampled programs to produce an average one would expect if they were represented proportionally relative to the populations they serve. Colorado’s sampling design did include oversampling of one of its programs – i.e. one program constituted a larger proportion of the survey sample than it did as proportion of total population of survey-eligible service recipients. To account for that program being proportionally over-represented in the state’s survey data, statistical weights were developed and applied to calculate Colorado’s weighted state averages presented in the charts. For exact calculations of weights, please contact the NCI-AD project team.

Charts present results using binary data indicating presence or absence of the outcome. For the purposes of analysis, most survey items with three or more possible response options were recoded to form binary variables (i.e. responses were collapsed, for example, an “always” response combined with a “most of the time” response). For details about recoded items and the rules on

collapsing response options, please refer to Appendix A. Unless otherwise stated, “don’t know” and unclear/refused responses were excluded from both the numerator and denominator.

Un-collapsed and unweighted data showing frequencies of all response options by program are shown in tabular format in Appendix B. These tables contain all response options, including “don’t know” and unclear/refused/no response categories. Tables also contain Colorado’s unweighted overall sample averages for all response options. Please note that the “sample averages” in tables in Appendix B are simple (unweighted) averages that didn’t employ weights in their calculations and may therefore be slightly different from the corresponding weighted state averages shown in the charts.

Colorado’s data from NCI-AD’s optional PCP module are shown in Appendix C.

Limitations of Report

This report contains survey results related to the quality and outcomes of LTSS in Colorado. However, it does not provide benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance. Rather, it is up to state staff, leadership, and other stakeholders to assess information contained in this report and establish priorities. This report is intended to be one mechanism to assess the current state of Colorado’s LTSS system and identify areas that are working well and areas that could use improvement. The charts in this report allow the reader to compare average outcomes between Colorado’s programs and the state overall. State leaders, public managers, policy-makers and community stakeholders can use this information to decide whether a program’s result relative to another program or to the state average suggests further investigation or intervention is necessary. However, discretion should be used when comparing a program’s result relative to another program, as it is important to keep in mind the potential differences as well as similarities amongst program participants and the programs themselves.

Results

Community Participation

People are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There is one Community Participation indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred community activities.

There are three¹⁰ survey items that correspond to the Community Participation domain.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

¹⁰ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in their community as they would like to be



Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to



Choice and Decision Making

People are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives and with whom they spend their time.


There is one Choice and Decision-Making indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Choice and Decision-Making domain.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting¹¹ and have roommates)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to




¹¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to



Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)¹²¹³



¹² Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

¹³ Analysis changed in 2018-2019 – “in all ways” is now combined with “in most ways”

Relationships

People have friends and relationships and do not feel lonely.

There is one Relationship indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to.

There are two¹⁴ survey items that correspond to the Relationship domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

¹⁴ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)



Satisfaction

People are satisfied with their everyday lives – where they live, who works with them, and what they do during the day.

There are three Satisfaction indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live.
2. Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day.
3. Proportion of people who are satisfied with their paid support staff.

There are seven¹⁵ survey items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

¹⁵ Data for two items are presented in Appendix B only.


Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living




Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else




Graph 10. Proportion of people who like how they spend their time during the day



Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often



Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done



Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning and the person receives needed services.

There are eleven Service Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who know whom to contact with a complaint or question about their services.
2. Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about their unmet needs.
3. Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to.
4. Proportion of people who receive the services that they need.
5. Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies.
6. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs.
7. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place.
8. Proportion of people whose support staff come when they are supposed to.
9. Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person.
10. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up.
11. Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language¹⁶.


There are sixteen¹⁷ survey items that correspond to the Service Coordination domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


¹⁶ Indicator previously reported in the "Access" domain.

¹⁷ Data for four items are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services




Graph 14. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they need help with services or have a complaint¹⁸




¹⁸ New item added in 2018-2019.

Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to




Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place




/


Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for future changes in their needs




Graph 18. Proportion of people whose long-term care services meet all their current needs and goals




Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with their unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)




Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)




Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)




Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up



Graph 23. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)



Graph 24. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)¹⁹



¹⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Care Coordination

Individuals are provided appropriate coordination of care.

There are four Care Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who feel comfortable going home after being discharged from a hospital or a rehab facility.
2. Proportion of people who have adequate follow-up after being discharged from a hospital or a rehab facility.
3. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions.
4. Proportion of people who had someone work with them to reduce risk of falls²⁰.

There are six survey items that correspond to the Care Coordination domain.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

²⁰ Indicator previously reported in the “Safety” domain.


Graph 25. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)




Graph 26. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year




Graph 27. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year



Graph 28. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s)




Graph 29. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable²¹



²¹ Item previously reported in the “Safety” domain.

Graph 30. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk²²



²² Item previously reported in the “Safety” domain.

Access to Community²³

Publicly funded services facilitate individuals' access to community.

There is one Access to Community indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate transportation²⁴.


There are two survey items that correspond to the Access to Community domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

²³ New domain in 2018-2019.


²⁴ Indicator previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 31. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)²⁵



²⁵ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Graph 32. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to²⁶



²⁶ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Access to Needed Equipment²⁷

People have access to needed home modifications and assistive equipment.

There is one Access to Needed Equipment indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who get needed home modifications, equipment, and assistive devices²⁸.


There are two survey items that correspond to the Access to Needed Equipment domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

²⁷ New domain in 2018-2019.


²⁸ Indicator previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Graph 33. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them²⁹




²⁹ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Graph 34. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need a replacement³⁰




³⁰ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Graph 35. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them³¹




Graph 36. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need a replacement³²




³¹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

³² Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 37. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it³³




Graph 38. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need a replacement³⁴




³³ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

³⁴ Item previously reported in the “Access” domain.

Graph 39. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it³⁵




Graph 40. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need a replacement³⁶




³⁵ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

³⁶ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 41. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it³⁷




Graph 42. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need a replacement³⁸



³⁷ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.


³⁸ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 43. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it³⁹




³⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 44. Proportion of people who have a walker but need a replacement⁴⁰




⁴⁰ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 45. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it⁴¹




Graph 46. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need a replacement⁴²




⁴¹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁴² Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 47. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it⁴³




Graph 48. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need a replacement⁴⁴




⁴³ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁴⁴ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 49. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them⁴⁵




Graph 50. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need a replacement⁴⁶



⁴⁵ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.


⁴⁶ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 51. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them⁴⁷




⁴⁷ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 52. Proportion of people who have glasses but need a replacement⁴⁸




⁴⁸ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 53. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it⁴⁹




Graph 54. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need a replacement⁵⁰




⁴⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁵⁰ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 55. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it⁵¹




Graph 56. Proportion of people who have a personal emergency response system but need a replacement⁵²



⁵¹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.


⁵² Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Graph 57. Proportion of people who need an oxygen machine but do not have it⁵³




⁵³ New item added in 2018-2019.

Graph 58. Proportion of people who have an oxygen machine but need a replacement⁵⁴




⁵⁴ New item added in 2018-2019.

Graph 59. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it⁵⁵



Graph 60. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need a replacement⁵⁶



⁵⁵ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁵⁶ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Safety

People feel safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.


There are four Safety indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.
2. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff.
3. Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe.
4. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Safety domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 61. Proportion of people who feel safe at home




Graph 62. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff




Graph 63. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings



Graph 64. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months



Graph 65. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire



Health Care

People secure needed health services.


There are four Health Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who experience potentially preventable emergency room visits.
2. Proportion of people who have needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner.
3. Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to.
4. Proportion of people who have access to mental health services.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Health Care domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 66. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year




Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance



Graph 68. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain




Graph 69. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to being unable to see their primary care doctor when they needed to⁵⁷




⁵⁷ New item added in 2018-2019.


Graph 70. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to




Graph 71. Proportion of people feeling sad or depressed who have talked to someone about it in the past 12 months




Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year




Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year




Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year



Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year



Graph 76. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year



Wellness

People are supported to maintain health.

There are six Wellness indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people in poor health.
2. Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns.
3. Proportion of people with uncorrected poor hearing.
4. Proportion of people with uncorrected poor vision.
5. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed.
6. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods⁵⁸.

There are eight survey items that correspond to the Wellness domain.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

⁵⁸ Indicator previously reported in the “Everyday Living” domain.


Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor




Graph 78. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago




Graph 79. Proportion of people reported to be forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months




Graph 80. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse




Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed




Graph 82. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)



Graph 83. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)



Graph 84. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods if they want them⁵⁹



⁵⁹ Item previously reported in the "Everyday Living" domain.

Medications

Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.


There are two Medication indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who take medications to help them feel less sad or depressed.
2. Proportion of people who know what their prescription medications are for.


There are two survey items that correspond to the Medication domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 85. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed



Graph 86. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for



Rights and Respect

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.


There are two Rights and Respect indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others.
2. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect.


There are six survey items that correspond to the Rights and Respect domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 87. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect




Graph 88. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting⁶⁰)




⁶⁰ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 89. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)⁶¹




Graph 90. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)⁶²




⁶¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁶² Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 91. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)⁶³



Graph 92. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)⁶⁴



⁶³ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁶⁴ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.


There is one Self-Direction indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them.


There are three survey items that correspond to the Self-Direction domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 93. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get



Graph 94. Proportion of people who can choose or change when and how often they get their services



Graph 95. Proportion of people who can choose or change their paid support staff if they want to



Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment if they want it.


There are five Work indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have a paid job.
2. Proportion of people who would like a job.
3. Proportion of people who receive job search assistance.
4. Proportion of people who volunteer.
5. Proportion of people who would like to volunteer.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Work domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 96. Proportion of people who have a paying job




Graph 97. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)




Graph 98. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options



Graph 99. Proportion of people who do volunteer work



Graph 100. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)



Everyday Living

People have enough supports for everyday living.


There is one Everyday Living indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.


There are four survey items that correspond to the Everyday Living domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 101. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)




Graph 102. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it



Graph 103. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)



Graph 104. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it



Affordability

People have enough available resources.


There is one Affordability indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have to cut back on food because of money.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Affordability domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 105. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries



Control

People feel in control of their lives


There is one Control indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Control domain.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 106. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their lives



Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses

Table A1 below details collapsing rules for recoding survey items with three or more response options into binary variables used for analysis. The table also specifies which graphs in this report contain recoded items, as well as their associated NCI-AD domains. Unless otherwise stated, “Don’t Know” and “Unclear/Refused” responses are excluded.

Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are as active in their community as they would like to be	1	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	4	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	5	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	6	Collapse “In all ways” and “In most ways”
Relationships	Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)	7	Collapse “Most of the time, usually, or some family and/or friends” and “No, or rarely”
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who like where they are living	8	Collapse “In-between, most of the time” and “No”
	Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	9	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe”
	Proportion of people who like how they spend their time during the day	10	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often	11	Collapse “Yes” and “Some, or sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done	12	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	13	Collapse “Not sure, maybe” and “No”
	Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they need help with services or have a complaint	14	Collapse “Not sure, maybe” and “No”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	15	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people whose long-term care services meet all their current needs and goals	18	Collapse “No, not at all” and “Some needs and goals”
	Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)	20	Collapse “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
	Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if anyone provides support on a regular basis)	21	Collapse “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
	Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	23	Collapse “Most of the time, usually” and “No, or only sometimes”
	Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	24	Collapse “No” and “Some information”
Care Coordination	Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	26	Collapse “No” and “In-between”
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s)	28	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some conditions”
	Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable	29	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Access to Community	Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)	31	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	32	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
Access to Needed Equipment	Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	33	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need a replacement	34	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	35	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need a replacement	36	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	37	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need a replacement	38	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it	39	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need a replacement	40	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	41	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need a replacement	42	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	43	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a walker but need a replacement	44	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it	45	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a scooter but need a replacement	46	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	47	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need a replacement	48	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them	49	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need a replacement	50	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	51	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have glasses but need a replacement	52	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but do not have it	53	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need a replacement	54	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system but do not have it	55	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a personal emergency response system but need a replacement	56	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need an oxygen machine but do not have it	57	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have an oxygen machine but need a replacement	58	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	59	Collapse “Has one, but needs replacement”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need a replacement	60	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need replacement”, and “Doesn’t need”
Health Care	Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to	70	Collapse “Usually” and “No, rarely”
Wellness	Proportion of people whose health was described as poor	77	Collapse “Excellent”, “Very good”, “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago	78	Collapse “Much better” and “Somewhat better”; Collapse “Much worse”, “Somewhat worse” and “About the same”
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	81	Collapse “Never, or almost never”, “Not often”, and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)	82	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	83	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods if they want them	84	Collapse “No, never” and “Sometimes”
Medications	Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for	86	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some medications”
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	87	Collapse “No, never or rarely” and “Some, or usually”
	Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)	88	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
	Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	90	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
Self-Direction of Care	Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	93	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can choose or change when and how often they get their services	94	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can choose or change their paid support staff if they want to	95	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some”
Work	Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)	97	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
	Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	100	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)	101	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)	103	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	105	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Control	Proportion of people who never feel in control of their lives	106	Collapse “Yes, almost always, always” and “In-between, sometimes”

Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Unweighted Data by Program

Demographic Characteristics

Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)

	Average Age	N
EBD Waiver	64	332
CMHS Waiver	53	347
Sample Average	58	679

Table 2. Age: 90 years and over

	Under 90	90 and Over	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	95%	5%	0%	348
CMHS Waiver	100%	0%	0%	347
Sample Average	98%	2%	0%	695

Table 3. Gender

	Male	Female	Other	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	34%	66%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	51%	48%	0%	0%	348
Sample Average	42%	57%	0%	0%	697

Table 4. Race and ethnicity

	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanic or Latino	Other	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	0%	2%	4%	1%	46%	7%	32%	8%	349
CMHS Waiver	0%	1%	4%	0%	46%	4%	36%	8%	348
Sample Average	0%	2%	4%	0%	46%	5%	34%	8%	697

Table 5. Marital status

	Single, Never Married	Married or Has Domestic Partner	Separated or Divorced	Widowed	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	32%	21%	21%	14%	12%	349
CMHS Waiver	62%	6%	19%	5%	9%	348
Sample Average	47%	13%	20%	9%	10%	697

Table 6. Primary language

	English	Spanish	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	95%	1%	2%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	98%	1%	0%	1%	348
Sample Average	97%	1%	1%	1%	697

Table 7. Type of residential area⁶⁵

	Metropolitan	Micropolitan	Rural	Small town	Unknown	N
EBD Waiver	82%	7%	3%	8%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	84%	7%	2%	7%	0%	348
Sample Average	83%	7%	2%	8%	0%	697

Table 8. Type of residence

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/ Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	77%	9%	1%	10%	2%	0%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	57%	6%	10%	23%	1%	1%	3%	0%	343
Sample Average	67%	8%	5%	16%	1%	0%	2%	0%	692

⁶⁵ Categories created using zip codes and corresponding RUCA codes: Metropolitan - Metropolitan area core, high commuting low commuting; Micropolitan - Micropolitan area core, high commuting, low commuting; Small town - Small town core, high commuting, low commuting; Rural

Table 9. Who else lives with the person

	No One – Lives Alone	Spouse or Partner	Other Family	Friend(s)	Live-in PCA	Others	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	42%	19%	32%	4%	4%	8%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	45%	9%	17%	6%	3%	25%	1%	343
Sample Average	43%	14%	24%	5%	4%	16%	0%	692

Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	88%	12%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	87%	13%	0%	343
Sample Average	87%	13%	0%	692

Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	73%	2%	0%	2%	10%	5%	7%	0%	41
CMHS Waiver	50%	0%	11%	15%	4%	7%	13%	0%	46
Sample Average	61%	1%	6%	9%	7%	6%	10%	0%	87

Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	26%	72%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	51%	46%	3%	342
Sample Average	38%	59%	2%	691

Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	86%	12%	2%	349
CMHS Waiver	92%	7%	1%	342
Sample Average	89%	9%	1%	691

Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	79%	20%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	77%	20%	4%	342
Sample Average	78%	20%	2%	691

Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	91%	8%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	78%	20%	3%	342
Sample Average	84%	14%	2%	691

Table 16. Level of mobility

	Non-ambulatory	Moves Self with Wheelchair	Moves Self with Other Aids	Moves Self Without Aids	Don’t know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	6%	20%	65%	30%	0%	343
CMHS Waiver	1%	2%	32%	75%	0%	335
Sample Average	4%	11%	49%	52%	0%	678

Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	62%	38%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	70%	29%	1%	342
Sample Average	66%	33%	1%	691

Table 18. Receives Medicare

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
EBD Waiver	16%	84%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	30%	70%	0%	348
Sample Average	23%	77%	0%	697

Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program

	0-5 months	6 months-less than 1 year	1 year-less than 3 years	3 or more years	Don't know	N
EBD Waiver	5%	9%	32%	53%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	4%	8%	21%	67%	0%	348
Sample Average	5%	9%	27%	60%	0%	697

Table 20. Has legal guardian

	No	Yes	Don't Know	N
EBD Waiver	100%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	99%	1%	0%	348
/Sample Average	99%	1%	0%	697

Table 21. Proportion of people participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined and reported by the State – data derived from administrative records)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	N
EBD Waiver	56%	44%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	68%	32%	0%	348
Sample Average	62%	38%	0%	697

Community Participation

Table 22. Proportion of people who are as active in their community as they would like to be

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	48%	12%	39%	1%	1%	327
CMHS Waiver	47%	13%	39%	1%	0%	341
Sample Average	48%	12%	39%	1%	1%	668

Table 23a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be

	Cost/Money	Transportation	Accessibility/Lack of Equipment	Health Limitations	Not Enough Staffing/Assistance	Feeling Unwelcome in Community	N
EBD Waiver	40%	40%	19%	77%	14%	4%	196
CMHS Waiver	59%	42%	16%	66%	18%	22%	203
Sample Average	50%	41%	18%	71%	16%	13%	399

Table 23b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)

	Feels Unsafe	No Activities Outside of Home	Lack of Info/Doesn't Know What's Available	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	9%	9%	16%	10%	1%	0%	196
CMHS Waiver	22%	11%	29%	12%	3%	0%	203
Sample Average	16%	10%	22%	11%	2%	0%	399

Table 24. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	46%	53%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	45%	54%	1%	0%	341
Sample Average	46%	53%	1%	0%	668

Choice and Decision Making

Table 25. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting⁶⁶ and have roommates)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	56%	38%	6%	0%	16
CMHS Waiver	75%	19%	4%	2%	52
Sample Average	71%	24%	4%	1%	68

Table 26. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always/Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	2%	3%	95%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	3%	4%	93%	0%	1%	341
Sample Average	2%	3%	94%	0%	0%	668

Table 27. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, Or Almost Always	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	5%	5%	90%	0%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	14%	8%	77%	0%	0%	0%	341
Sample Average	10%	7%	84%	0%	0%	0%	668

Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting⁶⁷)

	Only in Some Ways, or Not at All	In Most Ways	In All Ways	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	8%	23%	70%	0%	0%	40
CMHS Waiver	11%	18%	68%	2%	0%	122
Sample Average	10%	19%	69%	2%	0%	162

⁶⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁶⁷ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Relationships

Table 29. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if have friends and family who don't live with them)

	No, or Rarely	Most of the Time, Usually, or Some Family/Friends	Yes, Always, or Chooses Not to	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	12%	81%	0%	0%	307
CMHS Waiver	7%	15%	78%	0%	0%	298
Sample Average	7%	14%	80%	0%	0%	605

Table 30. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family

	Availability of Transportation	Accessibility	Staffing/Personal Assistance Unavailable	Health Limitations	Someone Prevents Them or There are Restrictions	Other	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	37%	21%	5%	28%	4%	47%	2%	57
CMHS Waiver	41%	32%	5%	39%	5%	35%	3%	66
Sample Average	39%	27%	5%	34%	4%	41%	2%	123

Satisfaction

Table 31. Proportion of people who like where they are living

	No	In-between, Most of the Time	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	13%	81%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	11%	19%	69%	0%	0%	344
Sample Average	9%	16%	75%	0%	0%	671

Table 32a. Reasons for not liking where people are living

	Accessibility	Feels Unsafe in/ Dislikes Neighborhood	Feels Unsafe in Residence	Residence/Building Needs Repairs or Upkeep	Doesn't Feel Like Home	N
EBD Waiver	13%	26%	13%	15%	26%	61
CMHS Waiver	11%	29%	23%	22%	24%	104
Sample Average	12%	28%	19%	19%	25%	165

Table 32b. Reasons for not liking where people are living (continued)

	Layout/Size of Residence/Building	Problems with Neighbors/Residents/ Housemates/Roommates	Problems with Staff	Insufficient Amount/ Type of Staff	Wants More Independence/ Control	N
EBD Waiver	7%	25%	13%	5%	10%	61
CMHS Waiver	16%	35%	12%	4%	20%	104
Sample Average	13%	31%	12%	4%	16%	165

Table 32c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

	Wants More Privacy	Wants to Be Closer to Family/Friends	Feels Isolated from Community/Feels Lonely	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	21%	15%	15%	43%	0%	0%	61
CMHS Waiver	28%	19%	18%	35%	0%	0%	104
Sample Average	25%	18%	17%	38%	0%	0%	165

Table 33. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else

	No	Maybe	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	61%	9%	29%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	45%	11%	43%	1%	344
Sample Average	53%	10%	37%	0%	671

Table 34a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)

	Own/Different Own House/Apt	Family Member's House/Apt	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Group Home/Adult Family Home/Shared Living	N
EBD Waiver	58%	3%	10%	1%	127
CMHS Waiver	72%	8%	5%	2%	186
Sample Average	66%	6%	7%	2%	313

Table 34b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else) (continued)

	Nursing Facility	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	1%	22%	3%	2%	127
CMHS Waiver	1%	11%	2%	1%	186
Sample Average	1%	15%	2%	1%	313

Table 35. Proportion of people who like how they spend their time during the day

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	7%	34%	58%	1%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	13%	36%	50%	0%	1%	341
Sample Average	10%	35%	54%	0%	0%	668

Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often

	No	Some or Sometimes	Yes	N/A – Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	60%	18%	23%	0%	0%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	56%	16%	27%	0%	0%	0%	225
Sample Average	58%	17%	25%	0%	0%	0%	440

Table 37. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	3%	21%	76%	0%	0%	224
CMHS Waiver	4%	23%	72%	1%	0%	232
Sample Average	4%	22%	74%	1%	0%	456

Service Coordination

Table 38. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	8%	6%	85%	1%	301
CMHS Waiver	13%	10%	76%	1%	321
Sample Average	11%	8%	81%	1%	622

Table 39. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they need help with services or have a complaint⁶⁸

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	13%	8%	79%	1%	301
CMHS Waiver	16%	11%	73%	0%	322
Sample Average	14%	9%	76%	0%	623

Table 40. Proportion of people who reported having a case manager/care coordinator

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	88%	5%	0%	323
CMHS Waiver	8%	87%	5%	1%	326
Sample Average	7%	88%	5%	1%	649

Table 41. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No, or Only Sometimes	Most of the Time, Usually	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	19%	73%	2%	0%	285
CMHS Waiver	11%	17%	71%	1%	0%	283
Sample Average	8%	18%	72%	2%	0%	568

⁶⁸ New item added in 2018-2019.

Table 42. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)⁶⁹

	No	Some Information	Yes, All Information	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	13%	7%	80%	0%	0%	92
CMHS Waiver	4%	0%	93%	2%	2%	56
Sample Average	9%	4%	85%	1%	1%	148

Table 43. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

	No, Never or Rarely	Some or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Paid Support Staff is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	3%	14%	77%	4%	0%	1%	235
CMHS Waiver	3%	14%	77%	3%	2%	0%	233
Sample Average	3%	14%	77%	4%	1%	1%	468

Table 44. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	46%	49%	4%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	48%	44%	6%	2%	346
Sample Average	47%	46%	5%	1%	695

Table 45. Proportion of people who want help planning for future changes in their needs

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	39%	54%	6%	1%	327
CMHS Waiver	36%	58%	5%	1%	340
Sample Average	37%	56%	6%	1%	667

⁶⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Table 46. Proportion of people whose long-term care services meet their current needs and goals

	No, Not at All, Needs or Goals Are Not Met	Some Needs and Goals	Yes, Completely, All Needs and Goals	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	27%	66%	1%	0%	324
CMHS Waiver	9%	26%	61%	3%	0%	326
Sample Average	8%	26%	64%	2%	0%	650

Table 47a. Additional services might help meet people's needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals)

	Skilled Nursing Facility, Nursing Home Services	Personal Care Assistance, Personal Care Services	Home Maker/Chore Services	Healthcare Home Services, Home Health	Home Delivered Meals	N
EBD Waiver	3%	23%	39%	18%	25%	130
CMHS Waiver	4%	22%	43%	19%	28%	133
Sample Average	3%	22%	41%	19%	26%	263

Table 47b. Additional services might help meet people's needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals) (continued)

	Adult Day Services	Transportation	Respite/Family Caregiver Support	Health Care	Mental Health Care	Dental Care	N
EBD Waiver	14%	44%	15%	13%	7%	22%	130
CMHS Waiver	27%	47%	8%	20%	40%	34%	133
Sample Average	21%	45%	11%	16%	24%	28%	263

Table 47c. Additional services might help meet people's needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals) (continued)

	Housing Assistance	Heating/Cooling Assistance	Hospice	Funeral Planning	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	22%	18%	3%	6%	25%	3%	1%	130
CMHS Waiver	36%	19%	2%	5%	24%	4%	1%	133
Sample Average	29%	19%	3%	6%	24%	3%	1%	263

Table 48. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with their unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	43%	53%	3%	0%	92
CMHS Waiver	41%	54%	5%	0%	96
Sample Average	42%	54%	4%	0%	188

Table 49a. How people first find out about the services available to them

	Friend	Family	ADRC; AAA; CIL	State/County Agency	Case Manager/ Care Coordinator	Doctor/ Hospital/Clinic	N
EBD Waiver	13%	18%	11%	16%	20%	25%	309
CMHS Waiver	6%	14%	10%	18%	26%	23%	316
Sample Average	10%	16%	11%	17%	23%	24%	625

Table 49b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued)

	Other Provider or Provider Agency	Media/Newspaper/ TV/Radio/Ad	Internet/ Website	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	23%	4%	1%	3%	6%	1%	309
CMHS Waiver	25%	0%	0%	3%	7%	0%	316
Sample Average	24%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	625

Table 50. Proportion of people who have someone that helps them at home or in the community on a regular basis (at least once a week)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	7%	93%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	14%	85%	1%	1%	347
Sample Average	10%	89%	0%	0%	696

Table 51. Who helps people most often (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)

	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	44%	19%	3%	27%	4%	1%	1%	0%	325
CMHS Waiver	55%	7%	2%	25%	7%	3%	1%	0%	296
Sample Average	50%	14%	2%	26%	6%	2%	1%	0%	621

Table 52. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)

	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	No One Else Provides Support	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	33%	3%	2%	46%	23%	2%	16%	0%	0%	321
CMHS Waiver	39%	2%	1%	34%	22%	2%	21%	1%	0%	291
Sample Average	36%	3%	2%	40%	23%	2%	18%	0%	0%	612

Table 53. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up

	No	Yes	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	30%	67%	0%	2%	1%	226
CMHS Waiver	32%	57%	0%	10%	1%	225
Sample Average	31%	62%	0%	6%	1%	451

Care Coordination

Table 54. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in past year (and were discharged to go home/back where they live)

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	65%	34%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	68%	31%	1%	1%	345
Sample Average	67%	32%	1%	0%	694

Table 55. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	In-between	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	9%	9%	79%	3%	0%	119
CMHS Waiver	20%	7%	71%	2%	1%	106
Sample Average	14%	8%	75%	2%	0%	225

Table 56. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	Yes	Didn't Need/Want Follow-Up	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	18%	76%	1%	5%	0%	119
CMHS Waiver	25%	70%	2%	3%	1%	106
Sample Average	21%	73%	1%	4%	0%	225

Table 57. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s)

	No	In-between, Some Conditions	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	9%	7%	83%	1%	0%	340
CMHS Waiver	12%	9%	77%	1%	1%	308
Sample Average	11%	8%	80%	1%	1%	648

Table 58. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable⁷⁰

	No	Sometimes	Yes, Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	25%	28%	48%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	47%	25%	28%	0%	0%	346
Sample Average	36%	26%	38%	0%	0%	695

Table 59. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk⁷¹

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	18%	82%	0%	0%	262
CMHS Waiver	30%	68%	2%	1%	184
Sample Average	23%	76%	1%	0%	446

⁷⁰ Item previously reported in the "Safety" domain.

⁷¹ Item previously reported in the "Safety" domain.

Access to Community⁷²

Table 60. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)⁷³

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Doesn't Want to	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	11%	13%	70%	6%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	17%	12%	67%	1%	1%	1%	346
Sample Average	14%	13%	68%	4%	1%	0%	695

Table 61. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to⁷⁴

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Doesn't Go to Medical Appointments	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	2%	4%	92%	1%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	3%	6%	90%	0%	1%	0%	346
Sample Average	2%	5%	91%	1%	0%	0%	695

⁷² New domain in 2018-2019.

⁷³ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁷⁴ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Access to Needed Equipment⁷⁵

Table 62. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home⁷⁶

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	17%	63%	5%	15%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	31%	50%	4%	14%	0%	0%	346
Sample Average	24%	56%	5%	15%	0%	0%	695

Table 63. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)⁷⁷

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	38%	37%	5%	19%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	59%	25%	2%	13%	1%	0%	346
Sample Average	48%	31%	4%	16%	1%	0%	695

Table 64. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed⁷⁸

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	63%	20%	3%	14%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	79%	7%	1%	12%	1%	0%	346
Sample Average	71%	14%	2%	13%	0%	0%	695

⁷⁵ New domain in 2018-2019.

⁷⁶ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁷⁷ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁷⁸ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home⁷⁹

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	60%	24%	4%	12%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	82%	12%	1%	5%	0%	0%	346
Sample Average	71%	18%	2%	9%	0%	0%	695

Table 66. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)⁸⁰

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	78%	7%	2%	11%	1%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	86%	3%	1%	7%	1%	2%	346
Sample Average	82%	5%	1%	9%	1%	2%	695

Table 67. Proportion of people who need a walker⁸¹

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	32%	51%	12%	4%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	69%	21%	6%	4%	0%	0%	345
Sample Average	51%	36%	9%	4%	0%	0%	694

⁷⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸⁰ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸¹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Table 68. Proportion of people who need a scooter⁸²

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	73%	6%	1%	19%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	86%	2%	0%	12%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	79%	4%	1%	15%	1%	0%	694

Table 69. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair⁸³

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	52%	32%	9%	7%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	91%	4%	2%	3%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	71%	18%	5%	5%	0%	0%	694

Table 70. Proportion of people who need hearing aids⁸⁴

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	73%	8%	3%	15%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	83%	2%	1%	13%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	78%	5%	2%	14%	0%	0%	694

⁸² Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸³ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸⁴ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Table 71. Proportion of people who need glasses⁸⁵

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	16%	41%	34%	8%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	19%	37%	32%	12%	0%	0%	345
Sample Average	18%	39%	33%	10%	0%	0%	694

Table 72. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine⁸⁶

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	76%	14%	3%	7%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	76%	14%	4%	5%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	76%	14%	3%	6%	1%	0%	694

Table 73. Proportion of people who need a personal emergency response system⁸⁷

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	30%	54%	4%	11%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	56%	32%	1%	10%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	43%	43%	2%	10%	1%	0%	694

⁸⁵ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸⁶ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

⁸⁷ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Table 74. Proportion of people who need an oxygen machine⁸⁸

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	65%	28%	3%	3%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	80%	15%	1%	4%	0%	0%	345
Sample Average	72%	21%	2%	3%	0%	0%	694

Table 75. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)⁸⁹

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need a Replacement	Has, But Needs a Replacement	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	72%	16%	3%	7%	1%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	79%	12%	3%	6%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	75%	14%	3%	6%	1%	1%	694

⁸⁸ New item added in 2018-2019.

⁸⁹ Item previously reported in the "Access" domain.

Safety

Table 76. Proportion of people who feel safe at home

	Rarely or Never	Always or Most of the Time	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	2%	97%	1%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	10%	89%	1%	0%	342
Sample Average	6%	93%	1%	0%	669

Table 77. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff

	No, Not All Paid Support Staff or Not Always	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	5%	95%	0%	0%	224
CMHS Waiver	6%	94%	0%	0%	232
Sample Average	6%	94%	0%	0%	456

Table 78. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings

	No, Never	Yes, At Least Sometimes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	72%	27%	1%	1%	327
CMHS Waiver	61%	39%	1%	0%	342
Sample Average	66%	33%	1%	0%	669

Table 79. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	92%	8%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	83%	15%	1%	0%	342
Sample Average	88%	11%	1%	0%	669

Table 80. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	15%	78%	6%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	9%	86%	5%	1%	346
Sample Average	12%	82%	5%	0%	695

Health Care

Table 81. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	46%	54%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	51%	48%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	49%	51%	1%	0%	694

Table 82. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	63%	36%	1%	0%	188
CMHS Waiver	74%	24%	1%	0%	164
Sample Average	68%	31%	1%	0%	352

Table 83. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	95%	5%	0%	0%	188
CMHS Waiver	93%	7%	0%	0%	164
Sample Average	94%	6%	0%	0%	352

Table 84. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to being unable to see their primary care doctor when they needed to⁹⁰

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	87%	12%	0%	1%	188
CMHS Waiver	78%	20%	2%	0%	164
Sample Average	83%	16%	1%	0%	352

⁹⁰ New item added in 2018-2019.

Table 85. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to

	No, Rarely	Usually	Yes, Always	Doesn't Have a Primary Care Doctor	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	12%	79%	1%	1%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	8%	16%	72%	2%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	7%	14%	76%	2%	1%	1%	694

Table 86. Proportion of people feeling sad or depressed who have talked to someone about it in the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	26%	74%	1%	0%	163
CMHS Waiver	14%	86%	0%	0%	225
Sample Average	19%	81%	1%	0%	388

Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	23%	77%	0%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	28%	68%	1%	3%	0%	345
Sample Average	26%	72%	0%	2%	0%	694

Table 88. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	80%	19%	0%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	80%	19%	0%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	80%	19%	0%	1%	0%	694

Table 89. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	43%	55%	0%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	55%	44%	0%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	49%	50%	0%	1%	0%	694

Table 90. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	28%	70%	0%	2%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	29%	68%	1%	2%	0%	345
Sample Average	28%	69%	0%	2%	0%	694

Table 91. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	48%	50%	0%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	43%	55%	1%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	46%	53%	1%	1%	0%	694

Wellness

Table 92. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	22%	38%	28%	8%	3%	1%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	17%	32%	26%	16%	6%	2%	0%	345
Sample Average	20%	35%	27%	12%	4%	1%	1%	694

Table 93. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, or getting worse compared to 12 months ago

	Much Worse	Somewhat Worse	About the Same	Somewhat Better	Much Better	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	8%	27%	38%	20%	7%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	7%	20%	41%	21%	9%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	7%	24%	39%	21%	8%	1%	0%	694

Table 94. Proportion of people reported to be forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	44%	55%	1%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	40%	56%	3%	0%	345
Sample Average	42%	56%	2%	0%	694

Table 95. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things with a doctor or a nurse

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	40%	60%	1%	0%	193
CMHS Waiver	46%	51%	2%	1%	193
Sample Average	43%	55%	1%	1%	386

Table 96. Proportion of people who feel sad or depressed never or almost never, not often, sometimes, and often

	Never/Almost Never	Not Often	Sometimes	Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	24%	25%	33%	17%	0%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	13%	19%	36%	30%	0%	1%	341
Sample Average	19%	22%	35%	23%	0%	1%	668

Table 97. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	14%	26%	60%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	9%	25%	66%	1%	0%	345
Sample Average	12%	25%	63%	0%	0%	694

Table 98. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	23%	36%	40%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	19%	32%	49%	1%	1%	345
Sample Average	21%	34%	45%	0%	0%	694

Table 99. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods if they want them⁹¹

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	3%	18%	80%	0%	0%	0%	348
CMHS Waiver	6%	23%	70%	0%	1%	0%	344
Sample Average	4%	20%	75%	0%	0%	0%	692

⁹¹ Item previously reported in the “Everyday Living” domain.

Medications

Table 100. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	54%	44%	3%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	26%	70%	3%	1%	345
Sample Average	40%	57%	3%	1%	694

Table 101. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)

	No	In-between, or Some Medications	Yes	N/A – Doesn't Take Prescription Medications	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	4%	7%	87%	1%	1%	1%	327
CMHS Waiver	5%	10%	83%	1%	1%	0%	340
Sample Average	5%	9%	85%	1%	1%	0%	667

Rights and Respect

Table 102. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	1%	12%	87%	0%	0%	224
CMHS Waiver	1%	9%	90%	0%	0%	232
Sample Average	1%	10%	89%	0%	0%	456

Table 103. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting⁹²)

	Sometimes/ Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	13%	18%	70%	0%	0%	40
CMHS Waiver	11%	12%	76%	0%	1%	122
Sample Average	11%	14%	75%	0%	1%	162

Table 104. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting⁹³)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	33%	63%	5%	0%	40
CMHS Waiver	30%	69%	2%	0%	122
Sample Average	30%	67%	2%	0%	162

⁹² Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁹³ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Table 105. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting⁹⁴)

	Sometimes/Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	10%	5%	85%	0%	0%	40
CMHS Waiver	9%	10%	80%	1%	0%	122
Sample Average	9%	9%	81%	1%	0%	162

Table 106. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting⁹⁵)

	No, Visitors Allowed Only Certain Times	Yes, Visitors Can Come Any Time	N/A – No Visitors Who Visit Residence	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	15%	78%	3%	3%	3%	40
CMHS Waiver	32%	62%	2%	2%	1%	122
Sample Average	28%	66%	2%	2%	1%	162

Table 107. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting⁹⁶)

	No	Yes	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	10%	88%	0%	3%	0%	40
CMHS Waiver	21%	79%	0%	0%	0%	122
Sample Average	19%	81%	0%	1%	0%	162

⁹⁴ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁹⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

⁹⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction

Table 108. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	11%	16%	58%	14%	1%	323
CMHS Waiver	17%	16%	50%	16%	2%	326
Sample Average	14%	16%	54%	15%	1%	649

Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change when and how often they get services

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	11%	19%	60%	9%	1%	323
CMHS Waiver	15%	20%	52%	11%	2%	325
Sample Average	13%	20%	56%	10%	1%	648

Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change their paid support staff if they want to

	No	Sometimes, or Some	Yes, All	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	13%	12%	71%	3%	1%	235
CMHS Waiver	22%	10%	60%	7%	1%	233
Sample Average	18%	11%	66%	5%	1%	468

Work

Table 111. Proportion of people who have a paying job

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	97%	2%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	92%	8%	0%	0%	345
Sample Average	95%	5%	0%	0%	694

Table 112. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	67%	14%	19%	0%	318
CMHS Waiver	55%	16%	29%	0%	313
Sample Average	61%	15%	24%	0%	631

Table 113. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	73%	26%	1%	0%	104
CMHS Waiver	62%	35%	1%	1%	141
Sample Average	67%	31%	1%	0%	245

Table 114. Proportion of people who do volunteer work

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	83%	16%	0%	1%	349
CMHS Waiver	77%	23%	0%	0%	345
Sample Average	80%	19%	0%	0%	694

Table 115. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	68%	14%	17%	0%	269
CMHS Waiver	56%	21%	23%	1%	263
Sample Average	62%	17%	20%	0%	532

Everyday Living

Table 116. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	8%	46%	46%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	17%	54%	27%	1%	0%	347
Sample Average	13%	50%	37%	1%	0%	696

Table 117. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	24%	76%	0%	0%	322
CMHS Waiver	29%	71%	1%	0%	282
Sample Average	26%	74%	0%	0%	604

Table 118. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	36%	36%	28%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	68%	25%	7%	0%	0%	347
Sample Average	52%	31%	17%	0%	0%	696

Table 119. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	17%	82%	0%	0%	222
CMHS Waiver	39%	60%	1%	0%	111
Sample Average	24%	75%	0%	0%	333

Affordability

Table 120. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to a Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	81%	11%	7%	0%	0%	0%	349
CMHS Waiver	72%	17%	11%	0%	0%	0%	344
Sample Average	76%	14%	9%	0%	0%	0%	693

Control

Table 121. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives

	No, Rarely or Never	In-between, Sometimes	Yes, Almost Always or Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	12%	24%	63%	1%	0%	327
CMHS Waiver	17%	24%	58%	1%	0%	340
Sample Average	15%	24%	60%	1%	0%	667

Table 122. Ranking of how important health is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Health Most Important	2	3	4 - Health Least Important	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
EBD Waiver	59%	27%	10%	3%	0%	2%	327
CMHS Waiver	51%	28%	14%	5%	0%	1%	339
Sample Average	55%	28%	12%	4%	0%	1%	666

Table 123. Ranking of how important safety is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Safety Most Important	2	3	4 - Safety Least Important	N
EBD Waiver	7%	31%	40%	22%	321
CMHS Waiver	13%	30%	33%	25%	335
Sample Average	10%	31%	36%	23%	656

Table 124. Ranking of how important being independent is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Independent Most Important	2	3	4 - Being Independent Least Important	N
EBD Waiver	26%	32%	32%	9%	321
CMHS Waiver	26%	28%	30%	16%	335
Sample Average	26%	30%	31%	13%	656

Table 125. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends is to people (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Engaged with Community Most Important	2	3	4 – Being Engaged with Community Least Important	N
EBD Waiver	7%	9%	18%	66%	321
CMHS Waiver	10%	13%	22%	54%	337
Sample Average	9%	11%	20%	60%	658

Appendix C: Colorado's NCI-AD Person-Centered Planning Module

Table 126. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and the goals they want for their lives

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Very/Fully Involved	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	4%	2%	19%	65%	6%	3%	327
CMHS Waiver	6%	8%	26%	52%	5%	3%	340
Sample Average	5%	5%	22%	59%	5%	3%	667

Table 127. Proportion of people who remember their most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	17%	76%	6%	1%	282
CMHS Waiver	24%	70%	5%	1%	292
Sample Average	21%	73%	6%	1%	574

Table 128. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting took place at a time convenient to them (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	1%	99%	0%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	3%	96%	1%	0%	204
Sample Average	2%	97%	1%	0%	419

Table 129. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting took place at a location convenient to them (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	1%	99%	0%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	1%	96%	2%	0%	204
Sample Average	1%	97%	1%	0%	419

Table 130. Proportion of people whose most recent service/care planning meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	92%	1%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	10%	88%	1%	0%	204
Sample Average	8%	90%	1%	0%	419

Table 131. Proportion of people who felt their preferences and needs were being heard as their service plan/plan of care was discussed during the most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Mostly	Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	0%	1%	6%	23%	69%	0%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	2%	5%	8%	25%	59%	1%	0%	204
Sample Average	1%	3%	7%	24%	64%	1%	0%	419

Table 132. Proportion of people who received a copy of their service plan/plan of care after the most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	12%	77%	11%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	23%	59%	17%	0%	204
Sample Average	17%	68%	14%	0%	419

Table 133. Proportion of people whose service plan/plan of care includes what was discussed in their most recent service/care planning meeting (if involved in making decisions about their service plan/plan of care and remember their most recent service/care planning meeting)

	No	Yes, In Part	Yes, Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	1%	17%	71%	10%	0%	215
CMHS Waiver	3%	18%	62%	17%	0%	203
Sample Average	2%	17%	66%	14%	0%	418

Table 134. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their service plan/plan of care

	No	Yes, Some/In Part	Yes, All/Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	7%	20%	53%	18%	2%	327
CMHS Waiver	11%	20%	45%	22%	1%	339
Sample Average	9%	20%	49%	20%	2%	666

Table 135. Proportion of people who feel that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
EBD Waiver	6%	88%	4%	2%	327
CMHS Waiver	12%	84%	4%	1%	339
Sample Average	9%	86%	4%	1%	666