



NCI-AD

NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS
Aging and Disabilities™

National Core Indicators
Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey

2017-2018 Delaware Results

Preface

The State of Delaware has a number of long-term services and supports (LTSS) available to older adults and adults with physical disabilities who have significant health care needs. LTSS provide a wide array of health and social supports that enable these individuals to avoid placement in facilities and to live in a setting of their choice. Specifically, the Delaware Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance (DMMA) administers Medicaid funding for LTSS that provides a variety of program options in both facility-based and home and community-based services (HCBS) settings.

In order to best meet the needs of those served by these programs, it is critical to understand the quality and effectiveness of those services and be sure they result in positive outcomes for those receiving LTSS. Unfortunately, Delaware has had a limited ability to measure the quality and impact of these services. To address this, the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI), developed the National Core Indicators-Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey (NCI-AD). This survey collects valid and reliable person-reported data about the impact that states' publicly funded LTSS have on the quality of life and outcomes of older adults and adults with physical disabilities served by the states.

Delaware chose to participate in the NCI-AD Survey again this year, demonstrating its commitment to measuring and improving the quality of LTSS systems that serve older adults and adults with physical disabilities. This report highlights the results for Delaware from the 2017-2018 National Core Indicators-Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey.

Because our population is rapidly becoming older and more diverse, this is a particularly critical time for Delaware to assess the quality and impact of its existing long-term services and supports. Delaware has seen a population boom of those 65 and older, especially in its most southern county, Sussex. Delaware is determined to make the state and its LTSS better equipped to handle this influx. This report aligns well with Delaware's existing efforts and serves as a key resource on the quality of LTSS and outcomes for the people served. DMMA can utilize this information to make improvements in programs and services, and more effectively meet the needs of older adults and adults with physical disabilities who have significant health care needs.

Stephen Groff, Director

Delaware Division of Medicaid & Medical Assistance



DELAWARE HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance
1901 N DuPont Highway
Herman Holloway Campus, Lewis Building
New Castle, DE 19720



Human Services
Research Institute

Human Services Research Institute (HSRI)
2336 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140



National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD)
1201 15th St. NW, Ste. 350
Washington, DC 20005

Released February 2019

List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

ADL – Activities of Daily Living

BI Section – Background Information Section of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey

CM – case manager

DMMA – Delaware Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance

DSHP – Diamond State Health Plan

EQRO – External Quality Review Organization

ER – emergency room

HCBS – Home and Community-Based Services

HSRI – Human Services Research Institute

IADL – Instrumental Activities of Daily Living

LTC – Long Term Care

LTSS – Long-Term Services and Supports

MCO – Managed Care Organization

MLTSS – Managed Long-Term Services and Supports

N – Number of respondents

NASUAD – National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities

NCI-AD – National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities

PCP – person-centered planning

SNF – Skilled Nursing Facility

Table of Contents

Preface	2
List of Abbreviations Used in This Report.....	4
Table of Contents.....	5
What is NCI-AD?.....	24
NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey.....	24
Survey Overview	24
Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and indicators	25
Survey Organization.....	28
NCI-AD in Delaware.....	29
Sample.....	29
Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by service setting and MCO.	31
Survey Process in Delaware	31
Stakeholders	32
Organization of Results.....	32
Limitations of the Report.....	34
Community Participation	35
Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be.....	36

Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to	36
Choice and Decision Making	37
Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates).....	38
Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	38
Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	39
Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	39
Relationships.....	40
Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)	41
Satisfaction.....	42
Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living	43
Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	43
Graph 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day.....	44
Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often.....	44
Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done.....	45
Service Coordination.....	46
Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services.....	47
Graph 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	47
Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	48

Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place.....	48
Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	49
Graph 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals.....	49
Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	50
Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	50
Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	51
Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up	51
Care Coordination	52
Graph 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)	53
Graph 24. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	53
Graph 25. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year.....	54
Graph 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	54
Access.....	55
Graph 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ...	56
Graph 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to.....	56

Graph 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	57
Graph 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	57
Graph 31. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade.....	58
Graph 32. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	58
Graph 33. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade	59
Graph 34. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	59
Graph 35. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade.....	60
Graph 36. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it.....	60
Graph 37. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade	61
Graph 38. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it.....	61
Graph 39. Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade	62
Graph 40. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it.....	62
Graph 41. Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade	63
Graph 42. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	63
Graph 43. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade	64
Graph 44. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	64
Graph 45. Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade	65
Graph 46. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it.....	65
Graph 47. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade	66

Graph 48. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	66
Graph 49. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade	67
Graph 50. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them.....	67
Graph 51. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade	68
Graph 52. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	68
Graph 53. Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade.....	69
Graph 54. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don't have it.....	69
Graph 55. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade.....	70
Graph 56. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	70
Graph 57. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade.....	71
Safety	72
Graph 58. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	73
Graph 59. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	73
Graph 60. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	74
Graph 61. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months	74
Graph 62. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable	75
Graph 63. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk	75
Graph 64. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire	76

Health Care	77
Graph 65. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year	78
Graph 66. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	78
Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain	79
Graph 68. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to	79
Graph 69. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months.....	80
Graph 70. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year.....	80
Graph 71. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	81
Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	81
Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	82
Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	82
Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years	83
Wellness	84
Graph 76. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor	85
Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago	85
Graph 78. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months.....	86
Graph 79. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse...	86
Graph 80. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis	87

Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	87
Graph 82. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)	88
Graph 83. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)	88
Graph 84. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	89
Graph 85. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability	89
Medications	90
Graph 86. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	91
Graph 87. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)	91
Rights and Respect.....	92
Graph 88. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect.....	93
Graph 89. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	93
Graph 90. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	94
Graph 91. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	94
Graph 92. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	95
Graph 93. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	95
Graph 94. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting).....	96
Graph 95. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting).....	96
Self-Direction of Care.....	97

Graph 96. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records) 98

Graph 97. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get 98

Graph 98. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services 99

Graph 99. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to 99

Work..... 100

Graph 100. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community..... 101

Graph 101. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed) 101

Graph 102. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options 102

Graph 103. Proportion of people who do volunteer work..... 102

Graph 104. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)..... 103

Everyday Living..... 104

Graph 105. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)..... 105

Graph 106. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it..... 105

Graph 107. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)..... 106

Graph 108. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it 106

Graph 109. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them 107

Affordability	108
Graph 110. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	109
Planning for future	110
Graph 111. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	111
Control	112
Graph 112. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life	113
Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses	114
Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules	115
Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Un-Weighted Data by Service Setting/MCO	121
Demographic Tables	122
Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)	122
Table 2. Age: 90 years and over	122
Table 3. Gender	122
Table 4. Race and ethnicity	123
Table 5. Marital status	123
Table 6. Primary language	123
Table 7. Type of residential area	124
Table 8. Type of residence	124
Table 9. Who the person lives with	124

Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months.....	125
Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)	125
Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability	125
Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia	126
Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury.....	126
Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability	126
Table 16. Level of mobility	127
Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)	127
Table 18. Receives Medicare	127
Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program	128
Table 20. Has legal guardian	128
Community Participation — un-collapsed tables.....	129
Table 21. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be.....	129
Table 22a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be	129
Table 22b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)	129
Table 23. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to.....	130
Choice and Decision Making — un-collapsed.....	131
Table 24. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)	131
Table 25. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to.....	131

Table 26. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	131
Table 27. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	132
Relationships — un-collapsed.....	133
Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends/family when they want to (if there are friends and family who don't live with them).....	133
Table 29. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family	133
Satisfaction — un-collapsed	134
Table 30. Proportion of people who like where they are living	134
Table 31a. Reasons for not liking where people live	134
Table 31b. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)	134
Table 31c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)	135
Table 32. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	135
Table 33a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)	135
Table 33b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else, continued)	136
Table 34. Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day.....	136
Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often.....	136
Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done.....	137
Service Coordination — un-collapsed.....	138
Table 37. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	138

Table 38. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	138
Table 39. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	138
Table 40. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place	139
Table 41. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	139
Table 42. Proportion of people whose services meet their needs and goals	139
Table 43a. Additional services that might help	140
Table 43b. Additional services that might help (continued)	140
Table 43c. Additional services that might help (continued)	140
Table 44. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	141
Table 45a. How people first find out about the services available to them	141
Table 45b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued).....	141
Table 46a. Who helps most often.....	142
Table 46b. Who helps most often (continued).....	142
Table 47a. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)	142
Table 47b. Who else helps (continued)	143
Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up	143
Care Coordination — un-collapsed.....	144

Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or where they live)	144
Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	144
Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	144
Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	145
Access — un-collapsed.....	146
Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ..	146
Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	146
Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English).....	146
Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home	147
Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars).....	147
Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed	147
Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home.....	148
Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system.....	148
Table 61. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system.....	148
Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)	149
Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker	149
Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter	149

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair	150
Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids	150
Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses	150
Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine.....	151
Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)	151
Safety — un-collapsed	152
Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	152
Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	152
Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	152
Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months	153
Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable.....	153
Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk	153
Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire	154
Health Care — un-collapsed	155
Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year	155
Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	155
Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain	155
Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to	156

Table 81. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months.....	156
Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year	156
Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	157
Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	157
Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	157
Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	158
Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years	158
Wellness — un-collapsed.....	159
Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent.....	159
Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, and getting worse compared to 12 months ago.....	159
Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months.....	159
Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse..	160
Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis	160
Table 93. Proportion of people who never/almost never, not often, sometimes, and often feel sad or depressed.....	160
Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)	161
Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)	161
Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	161
Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability	162

Medications — un-collapsed	163
Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	163
Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)	163
Rights and Respect — un-collapsed.....	164
Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	164
Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	164
Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	164
Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	165
Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	165
Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	165
Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)	166
Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)	166
Self-Direction of Care — un-collapsed.....	167
Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)	167
Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	167
Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services	167
Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to.....	168
Work — un-collapsed	169

Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community	169
Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed).....	169
Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options	169
Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work	170
Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	170
Everyday Living — un-collapsed	171
Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications).....	171
Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	171
Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home).....	171
Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it	172
Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them.....	172
Affordability — un-collapsed	173
Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	173
Planning for the Future — un-collapsed.....	174
Table 123. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future need for services.....	174
Control — un-collapsed	175
Table 124. Proportion of people who feel in control of their life	175

Table 125. Ranking of how important health was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	175
Table 126. Ranking of how important safety was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	175
Table 127. Ranking of how important being independent was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	176
Table 128. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	176
Appendix C: Delaware’s State-Specific Questions	177
Table 129. Proportion of people whose case manager changes too often (if know they have case manager/care coordinator) (DE-1)	178
Table 130. Proportion of people who would recommend their paid support staff to someone else (DE-2).....	178
Table 131. Proportion of people who know whom to tell if someone hurts or steals from them (DE-3).....	178
Table 132. Reasons people don’t have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) (DE-4)	179
Appendix D: Delaware’s Pilot of NCI-AD’s Person-Centered Planning Module	180
Table 133. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their care plan and the goals they want for their lives	181
Table 134. Proportion of people who remembered their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan).....	181
Table 135. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a time convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)	181
Table 136. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a location convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)	182

Table 137. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) . 182

Table 138. People’s preferences and needs were heard as their care plan was discussed during the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)..... 182

Table 139. Proportion of people who received a copy of their care plan after the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) 183

Table 140. Proportion of people whose care plan included what was discussed in their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) . 183

Table 141. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their care plan..... 183

Table 142. Proportion of people who felt that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life..... 184

What is NCI-AD?

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) are standard measures used across participating states to assess the quality of life and outcomes of seniors and adults with physical disabilities—including traumatic or acquired brain injury—who are accessing publicly-funded services through the Older Americans Act (OAA), Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), Medicaid, and/or state-funded programs. The project is coordinated by the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities¹ (NASUAD) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI). NCI-AD data are gathered through yearly in-person Adult Consumer Surveys administered by state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies (or an Agency-contracted vendor) to a sample of at least 400 individuals in each participating state. NCI-AD data measure the performance of states' long-term services and supports (LTSS) systems and service recipient outcomes, helping states prioritize quality improvement initiatives, engage in thoughtful decision making, and conduct futures planning with valid and reliable LTSS data. The project officially launched in the summer of 2015 with 13 participating states². The current 2018-2019 project cycle marks its fourth year of implementation, with more than twenty states expected to participate. For more on the development and history of NCI-AD, refer to the [*National Core Indicators Aging and Disability Adult Consumer Survey: 2015-2016 National Results*](#) report, available on the NCI-AD website (www.NCI-AD.org).

NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey

Survey Overview

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey is designed to measure outcomes across eighteen broad domains and key areas of concern. These eighteen domains are comprised of approximately 50 core indicators. Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals, including respect and rights, service coordination, care coordination,

¹ NASUAD is the membership organization for state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid directors. www.nasud.org

² Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

employment, health, safety, etc. An example of an indicator in the Service Coordination domain is: “Proportion of people who receive the services that they need.”

While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the indicator “Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices” in the Access domain is addressed by several survey questions that ask about the person’s need for various types of home modifications and assistive devices. Figure 1 below details NCI-AD domains and corresponding indicators.

Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and indicators

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that support them and with whom they spend time
Relationships	Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
	Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
	Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
	Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
	Proportion of people who find out about services from service agencies
	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
	Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up
Care Coordination	Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or long-term care facility who feel comfortable going home Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or long-term care facility who have adequate follow-up Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions
Access	Proportion of people who have adequate transportation Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.) Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language (for non-English speakers)
Safety	Proportion of people who feel safe at home Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency
Health Care	Proportion of people who have been to the emergency room in the past 12 months Proportion of people who have needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.) Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their doctor when they need to Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them
Wellness	Proportion of people who are living with a physical disability Proportion of people who are in poor health Proportion of people who have unaddressed memory concerns

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who have a chronic condition(s)
	Proportion of people who have poor hearing
	Proportion of people who have poor vision
Medications	Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who know what their medications are for
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others
	Proportion of people whose staff treat them with respect
Self-Direction of Care	Proportion of people who are self-directing
	Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them
Work	Proportion of people who have a paid job
	Proportion of people who would like a job
	Proportion of people wanting a job who have job search assistance
	Proportion of people who volunteer
	Proportion of people who would like to volunteer
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (e.g. bathing, toileting, eating, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. preparing meals, housework, taking medications, etc.)
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to cut back on food because of money
Planning for future	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
Control	Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives

Survey Organization

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey tool consists of the Pre-Survey form, the Background Information section, the Full In-Person Section, and the Interviewer Feedback form. An alternative Proxy Version of the In-Person Section is available for those interviews that need to be conducted with the proxy of the service recipient instead of him/herself. Each section of the tool is described below.

Pre-Survey form: The Pre-Survey section is an optional form intended to provide surveyors with information that may be helpful to prepare for and schedule the meeting. The Pre-Survey form is for interviewer use only; Pre-Survey data are not submitted to the NCI-AD project team and therefore are not analyzed or included in any reports.

Background Information (BI) section: This section collects demographic and service-related information about the consumer. To the extent possible, data for the BI section are collected from the state's existing administrative records. BI items that are not available from administrative data sources are collected by the surveyor at the end of the interview. Surveyors may collect any missing BI information except for five BI items that must be completed using administrative data sources or agency records only (consumer's LTSS program/primary source of funding, types of services being received through that program, length of receiving services through the program, participation in a self-directed supports option, and legal guardianship status). Each BI item tracks whether information came from existing administrative records or was collected during the survey meeting.

In-Person Section: The Full In-Person Section consists of a total of approximately 90 questions, organized into thematic sub-sections with related questions grouped together (e.g., questions about employment are in the same section; questions about the home are in a separate section, etc.). The Full In-Person Section is completed face-to-face with the person receiving services. The respondent may ask a proxy respondent (e.g. family member or close friend) for assistance with answering some of the questions, if needed. The full In-Person Section includes both subjective and objective questions; proxy assistance is only allowed for a subset of more objective items.

Proxy Version: The Proxy Version is an alternative version of the In-Person Section. It is used in place of the Full In-Person Section when the person receiving services is unable to provide meaningful responses or has asked a proxy respondent to

complete the survey on his/her behalf. The Proxy Version includes only the subset of more objective questions that allow for proxy assistance. Questions are rephrased in third person to reflect they are about the individual receiving services and not about the proxy respondent. The surveyor must meet and attempt to interview the service recipient face to face; only then can the proxy determination be made.

Interviewer Feedback form: The Interviewer Feedback form is completed by the surveyor after the interview is finished and records information about the meeting itself, such as respondent's comprehension, length and place of the meeting, who was present, difficulty of accessing the service recipient, etc. Surveyors are also asked to identify any problematic questions encountered and to provide any input and general feedback they may have for the NCI-AD project team.

NCI-AD in Delaware

The Delaware Division of Medicaid and Medical Assistance (DMMA), in partnership with NASUAD and HSRI, implemented the 2017-2018 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey in Delaware. DMMA recognized the need for an independent assessment of home and community-based services (HCBS) as well as all services provided under Managed Long-Term Services and Supports (MLTSS). DMMA included funding for continued participation in NCI-AD in their state operating budget. Data from the project will be used to support Delaware's efforts to strengthen MLTSS policy, inform quality assurance activities, evaluate Managed Care performance and compliance, and improve the quality of life of MLTSS participants. To allow for year-to-year comparison of the data, Delaware will continue funding support in order to implement NCI-AD in future years.

Sample

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Delaware and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was 675 (Total N=675). One program population comprising two types of service settings and with services provided by two Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) was included in the survey sample; the program is detailed below.

Diamond State Health Plan Plus (DSHP+P): Delaware’s Medicaid managed care program, comprised of DSHP and DSHP Plus, is authorized under the authority of a Section 1115 demonstration waiver. DSHP was implemented in 1996 and requires most Medicaid participants to receive acute physical and behavioral health care services through an MCO. In 2012, Delaware implemented the DSHP Plus program, which expanded the populations required to enroll in managed care to include dual eligible participants (recipients who qualify for both Medicare and Medicaid benefits) and individuals receiving nursing facility services or, as an alternative to nursing facility services, home and community-based services (HCBS). This program provides improved access to community-based long-term care services and increased flexibility to more effectively address individual needs, and to better control rising long-term care costs significantly impacting Medicaid. Two types of service settings were included in the sample strategy: facility-based (skilled nursing facilities, i.e. SNF) and home and community-based services (HCBS). All service recipients were enrolled in one of two MCOs: Highmark Health Options and AmeriHealth Caritas. In this report, the two MCOs are referred to as MCO “A” and MCO “B” only; the report does not identify which designation (“A” or “B”) stands for which MCO.

Figure 2 below summarizes the number of survey-eligible service recipients in each service setting and MCO and the corresponding number of analyzed surveys. Also included are calculations of margin of error for each service setting/MCO under two scenarios: assuming a very conservative 0.5 distribution of responses and assuming a somewhat less conservative 0.7 distribution of responses. Using the 0.5 distribution of responses is the most conservative distribution assumption for calculating margins of error that can be made and is usually used when no prior information is available about true population response distributions. When some prior information about distributions of responses in the population is available, it can be used for calculating less conservative margins of error. Based on distributions observed in previously collected NCI-AD data, it is reasonable to assume a somewhat less conservative population response distribution of 0.7 for calculating margins of error. Calculations in both scenarios use the total number of analyzed surveys in each service setting/MCO. It is important to note that the actual number of valid responses to an individual survey item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys. This is explained in more detail in the “Organization of Results” section below.

Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by service setting and MCO.

Service setting/MCO	Number of analyzed surveys	Number of eligible participants	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.7 distribution	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.5 distribution
Home and community-based services (HCBS) – MCO “A”	90	1,101	9.1% MoE, 95% CL	9.9% MoE, 95% CL
Home and community-based services (HCBS) – MCO “B”	255	3,215	5.4% MoE, 95% CL	5.9% MoE, 95% CL
Skilled nursing facility services (SNF) – MCO “A”	119	1,031	7.8% MoE, 95% CL	8.5% MoE, 95% CL
Skilled nursing facility services (SNF) – MCO “B”	211	1,884	5.8% MoE, 95% CL	6.4% MoE, 95% CL
Total	675	7,231	3.3% MoE, 95% CL	3.6% MoE, 95% CL

Survey Process in Delaware

DMMA contracted with Vital Research, a national survey group, to hire and manage local interviewers to conduct the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey. Along with Vital Research, Delaware worked with its External Quality Review Organization (EQRO), Mercer, to identify at least fifteen individuals to be NCI-AD interviewers and have them appropriately trained. DMMA, Mercer, Vital Research, NASUAD, and HSRI staff conducted a mandatory two-day in-person training with these interviewers on February 20–21, 2018. The training consisted of a detailed review of the NCI-AD Survey tool, an overview of the NCI-AD project, general and population-specific surveying techniques, procedures for scheduling interviews and obtaining written consent, guidance for follow-up in cases of unmet needs and/or abuse, neglect or exploitation, mock interviewing practice sessions, and data entry procedures. Interviews began on February 23, 2018 and final data from the interviews were sent to HSRI on May 31, 2018.

Delaware chose to add 4 state-specific questions to the standard NCI-AD Survey. In addition, Delaware was one of seven states that elected to pilot NCI-AD's new optional module on person-centered planning (PCP).

Stakeholders

DMMA is committed to providing ongoing NCI-AD stakeholder engagement activities, including with Case Managers and Managed Care Organizations (MCO's). In addition, engagement has taken place with key partners in the community, including the Delaware Aging Network and the Governor's Advisory Council on Services for Aging and Adults with Physical Disabilities.

DMMA will work to provide updates on the project and develop plans to improve consistency and quality of services statewide. Through ongoing communications with key LTSS-specific stakeholders, the engagement process will continue to grow as alignment among other state initiatives begins to emerge.

Organization of Results

The following pages of the report presents findings from Delaware's 2017-2018 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data collection cycle. Results are grouped by domain and are presented in chart format. Charts show results for individual survey items broken out by each type of service settings and the two MCOs (MCO "A" and MCO "B"). The number of people (N) in each service setting/MCO that gave valid responses to that survey item are also shown. The number of valid responses to an item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys, for the following reasons:

- Certain questions in the survey can only be asked of the service recipient – i.e. proxy respondents for these questions are not allowed. These questions have a smaller number of responses because they are contained only in the full In-Person Survey, whereas the total number of analyzed surveys also includes cases when the Proxy Version was used.
- Only valid responses are included in both the denominator and the numerator when calculating proportions. Unclear, refused and, unless otherwise stated, "don't know" responses are excluded.

- The survey contains several skip logic patterns. This means that depending on the response to a previous survey item, a question may or may not be asked, as appropriate. When an item is skipped due to skip logic, the survey case does not contribute to the calculations for the item.

Please note: Extreme caution should be used when interpreting results where the number of valid responses is small. Each service setting/MCO's valid number of responses (valid Ns) is shown in every chart and table in this report. In addition to displaying valid number of responses, charts also use an asterisk to indicate Ns smaller than 20. Responses smaller than 20 should not be used as a basis for firm conclusions and should be treated as suggestive and informational only.

Each chart also contains Delaware's weighted state average, as well as the total number of observed valid responses for that survey item. A weighted state average takes into account whether the sampling strategy proportionally oversampled one or more of the state's sub-populations; its calculation effectively "re-balances" the oversampled sub-populations to produce an average one would expect if they were represented proportionally relative to the state's actual service populations. Delaware's sampling design did include oversampling of one of its service setting types – i.e. that service setting constituted a larger proportion of the survey sample than it did as proportion of Delaware's total population of survey-eligible service recipients. To account for this service setting being proportionally over-represented in the state's survey data, statistical weights were developed and applied to calculate Delaware weighted state averages presented in the charts. For exact calculations of weights, please contact the NCI-AD project team.

Most survey items with three or more possible response options were recoded to form binary variables for the purposes of analysis (i.e. responses were collapsed, for example, an "always" response combined with a "most of the time" response). For details about recoded items and the rules on collapsing response options, please refer to Appendix A.

Un-collapsed and unweighted data showing frequencies of all response options by service setting/MCO are shown in tabular format in Appendix B. Tables also contain Delaware's unweighted overall sample averages for all response options. Please note that the "sample averages" in tables in Appendix B are simple (unweighted) averages that didn't employ weights in their calculations and may therefore be slightly different from the corresponding weighted state averages shown in the charts.

Data from state-specific questions that Delaware chose to add to the standard NCI-AD Survey are shown in Appendix C. Data from Delaware's pilot of NCI-AD's new optional module on person-centered planning (PCP) are shown in Appendix D.

Limitations of the Report

This report contains survey results related to the quality and outcomes of LTSS in Delaware. However, it does not provide benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance. Rather, it is up to state staff, leadership, and other stakeholders to assess information contained in this report and establish priorities. This report is intended to be one mechanism to assess the current state of Delaware's LTSS system and identify areas that are working well or could use improvement. The charts in this report allow the reader to compare average outcomes between Delaware's service settings and MCOs and the state overall. State leaders, public managers, policy-makers and community stakeholders can use this information to decide whether one service setting/MCO's result relative to the other or to the state average suggests further investigation or intervention is necessary. However, discretion should be used when comparing results in the two types of service settings, as it is important to keep in mind the potential differences as well as similarities amongst their service recipients and the service settings themselves.

Community Participation

People are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There is one Community Participation indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There are three³ survey items that correspond to the Community Participation domain.


Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

³ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be



Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to⁴



⁴ New question added in 2017-2018

Choice and Decision Making

People are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives and with whom they spend their time.


There is one Choice and Decision-Making indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that supports them and with whom they spend time

There are four survey items that correspond to the Choice and Decision-Making domain.


Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting⁵ and have roommates)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to




⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to



Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting⁶)



* Very small number of responses

⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Relationships

People have friends and relationships and do not feel lonely.

There is one Relationship indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to.

There are two⁷ survey items that correspond to the Relationship domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

⁷ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)



Satisfaction

People are satisfied with their everyday lives – where they live, who works with them, and what they do during the day.

There are three Satisfaction indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live.
2. Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day.
3. Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them.

There are seven⁸ survey items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain.


Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

⁸ Data for two items are presented in Appendix B only.


Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living




Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else




Graph 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day



Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often



Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done



Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning and the person receives needed services.

There are ten Service Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who know who to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
2. Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
3. Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
4. Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
5. Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies
6. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
7. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
8. Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to
9. Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
10. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up

There are twelve⁹ survey items that correspond to the Service Coordination domain.


Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

⁹ Data for two items are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services¹⁰




Graph 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)




¹⁰ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies


Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to




Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place




Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs




Graph 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals




Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)




Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis)



Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)



Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up



Care Coordination

Individuals are provided appropriate coordination of care.


There are three Care Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or LTC facility who felt comfortable going home.
2. Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or LTC facility who had adequate follow-up.
3. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions.


There are four survey items that correspond to the Care Coordination domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)




Graph 24. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year



Graph 25. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year



Graph 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)



Access

Publicly funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them.


There are three Access indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate transportation.
2. Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Access domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)



Graph 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to




Graph 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)




* Very small number of responses


Graph 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them




Graph 31. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade




Graph 32. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them




Graph 33. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade




Graph 34. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it




Graph 35. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade




Graph 36. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it




Graph 37. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade




Graph 38. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it




Graph 39. Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade




Graph 40. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it




Graph 41. Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade




Graph 42. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it




Graph 43. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade




Graph 44. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it




Graph 45. Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade




Graph 46. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it




Graph 47. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade




Graph 48. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it




Graph 49. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade




Graph 50. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them




Graph 51. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade




Graph 52. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them



Graph 53. Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade




Graph 54. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don't have it¹¹




¹¹ New question added in 2017-2018

Graph 55. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade¹²




Graph 56. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it



¹² New question added in 2017-2018

Graph 57. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade



Safety

People feel safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.


There are five Safety indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.
2. Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff/ caregiver.
3. Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe.
4. Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed.
5. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency.


There are seven survey items that correspond to the Safety domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 58. Proportion of people who feel safe at home




Graph 59. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff




Graph 60. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings




Graph 61. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months




Graph 62. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable



Graph 63. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk



Graph 64. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire



Health Care

People secure needed health services.


There are four Health Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have been to the ER in the past 12 months.
2. Proportion of people who have had needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to.
4. Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Health Care domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 65. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year




Graph 66. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance




Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain




Graph 68. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to




Graph 69. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months




Graph 70. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year




Graph 71. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year




Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year




Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year



Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year



Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years



Wellness

People are supported to maintain health.


There are eight Wellness indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people living with a physical disability
2. Proportion of people in poor health.
3. Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns.
4. Proportion of people with poor hearing.
5. Proportion of people with poor vision.
6. Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis.
7. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed.
8. Proportion of people who have a chronic condition.


There are ten survey items that correspond to the Wellness domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 76. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor




Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago




Graph 78. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months




Graph 79. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse



Graph 80. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis




Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed¹³




¹³ Analysis changed in 2017-2018 – “often” is no longer combined with “sometimes”


Graph 82. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)




Graph 83. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)



Graph 84. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)



Graph 85. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability



Medications

Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.


There are two Medication indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people taking medications that help them feel less sad/depressed.
2. Proportion of people who know what their medications are for.


There are two survey items that correspond to the Medication domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 86. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed



Graph 87. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)¹⁴



¹⁴ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

Rights and Respect

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.


There are two Rights and Respect indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others.
2. Proportion of people whose staff/worker/caregiver treat them with respect.


There are eight survey items that correspond to the Rights and Respect domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 88. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect




Graph 89. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)¹⁵



* Very small number of responses


¹⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 90. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)¹⁶



* Very small number of responses

Graph 91. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)¹⁷




* Very small number of responses

¹⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home


¹⁷ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 92. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)¹⁸



* Very small number of responses

Graph 93. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)¹⁹




* Very small number of responses

¹⁸ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home


¹⁹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 94. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)²⁰



* Very small number of responses

Graph 95. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)²¹



* Very small number of responses

²⁰ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

²¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction of Care

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.


There are two Self-Direction of Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people self-directing.
2. Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them.


There are four survey items that correspond to the Self-Direction of Care domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 96. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)




Graph 97. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get



Graph 98. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services



Graph 99. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to



Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment if they want it.


There are five Work indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have a paid job.
2. Proportion of people who would like a job.
3. Proportion of people who have had job search assistance.
4. Proportion of people who volunteer.
5. Proportion of people who would like to volunteer.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Work domain.

Un-collapsed for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 100. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community



Graph 101. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)




Graph 102. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options




* Very small number of responses

Graph 103. Proportion of people who do volunteer work



Graph 104. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)



Everyday Living

People have enough supports for everyday living.


There are two Everyday Living indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (bathing, toileting, taking meds, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (cleaning, laundry, etc.)
2. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods.


There are five survey items that correspond to the Everyday Living domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.


Graph 105. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)




Graph 106. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it




Graph 107. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)



Graph 108. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it



Graph 109. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them



Affordability

People have enough available resources.


There is one Affordability indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have ever had to cut back on food because of money.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Affordability domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 110. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries



Planning for future

People have support to plan and make decision about the future.

There is one Planning for Future indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services²².

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Planning for Future domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

²² This indicator also appears in the Service Coordination domain.

Graph 111. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs



Control

People feel in control of their lives

There is one Control indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives.

There are two²³ survey items that corresponds to the Control domain.

Un-collapsed data for state and types of service settings/MCOs are shown in Appendix B.

²³ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 112. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life



Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses

Table A1 below details collapsing rules for recoding survey items with three or more response options into binary variables used for analysis. The table also specifies which graphs in this report contain recoded items, as well as their associated NCI-AD domains. Unless otherwise stated, “Don’t Know” and “Unclear/Refused” responses are excluded from both numerator and denominator.

Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be	1	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	4	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	5	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	6	Collapse “In most ways” and “Only in some ways, or not at all”
Relationships	Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)	7	Collapse “Most of the time, usually, or some family and/or friends” and “No, or rarely”
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who like where they are living	8	Collapse “In-between, most of the time” and “No”
	Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	9	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe”
	Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day	10	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often	11	Collapse “Yes” and “Some, or sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done	12	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	13	Collapse “Not sure, maybe” and “No”
	Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	14	Collapse “Most of the time, usually” and “No, or only sometimes”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	15	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”
	Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals	18	Collapse “No, not at all” and “Some needs and goals”
	Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often	20	Collapse “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
	Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	21	Add proportions: “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
Care Coordination	Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	24	Collapse “No” and “In-between”
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	26	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some conditions”
Access	Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home	27	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	28	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	29	Collapse “No” and “Some information”
	Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	30	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade	31	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	32	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade	33	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	34	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade	35	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it	36	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade	37	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it	38	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade	39	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it	40	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade	41	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	42	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade	43	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	44	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade	45	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it	46	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade	47	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	48	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade	49	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them	50	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade	51	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	52	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade	53	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don’t have it	54	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade	55	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	56	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade	57	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
Safety	Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable	62	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Health Care	Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to	68	Collapse “Usually” and “No, rarely”
Wellness	Proportion of people in poor health	76	Collapse “Excellent”, “Very good”, “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose health has gotten better compared to 12 months ago	77	Collapse “Much better” and “Somewhat better”; Collapse “Much worse”, “Somewhat worse” and “About the same”
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	81	Collapse “Never, or almost never”, “Not often”, and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose hearing is poor	83	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose vision is poor	84	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”
Medications	Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for	87	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some medications”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	88	Collapse “No, never or rarely” and “Some, or usually”
	Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)	89	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
	Proportion of people who have enough privacy in their home (if in group setting)	91	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
	Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	93	Collapse “No, never or rarely” and “Usually”
Self-Direction of Care	Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	97	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services	98	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they wanted to	99	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some”
Work	Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)	101	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
	Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	104	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)	105	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)	107	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them	109	Collapse “No, never” and “Sometimes”
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	110	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Control	Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life	112	Collapse “Yes, almost always, always” and “In-between, sometimes”

Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Un-Weighted Data by Service Setting/MCO

Demographic Tables

Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)

	Average Age	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	63.4	83
HCBS – MCO “B”	60.4	244
SNF – MCO “A”	71.9	90
SNF – MCO “B”	73.4	169
Sample Average	66.3	586

Table 2. Age: 90 years and over

	Under 90	90 and Over	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	92%	8%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	96%	4%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	76%	24%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	80%	20%	0%	211
Sample Average	87%	13%	0%	675

Table 3. Gender

	Male	Female	Other	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	33%	67%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	36%	64%	0%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	29%	71%	0%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	33%	67%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	34%	66%	0%	0%	675

Table 4. Race and ethnicity

	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanic or Latino	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	3%	42%	2%	51%	9%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	3%	35%	1%	62%	7%	0%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	0%	29%	2%	69%	1%	0%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	0%	1%	16%	2%	80%	4%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	0%	2%	29%	1%	67%	5%	0%	0%	675

Table 5. Marital status

	Single, Never Married	Married/Has Domestic Partner	Separated/Divorced	Widowed	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	28%	13%	31%	28%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	32%	24%	21%	23%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	25%	6%	19%	47%	3%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	18%	16%	24%	40%	2%	203
Sample Average	26%	17%	23%	33%	2%	662

Table 6. Primary language

	English	Spanish	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	97%	3%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	97%	3%	0%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	100%	0%	0%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	100%	0%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	99%	1%	0%	0%	675

Table 7. Type of residential area²⁴

	Metropolitan	Micropolitan	Rural	Small town	Unknown	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	71%	26%	0%	3%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	73%	24%	0%	4%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	79%	20%	0%	1%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	69%	30%	0%	1%	0%	211
Sample Average	73%	25%	0%	2%	0%	675

Table 8. Type of residence

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don’t Know/ Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	79%	8%	1%	10%	0%	1%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	83%	7%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	42%	4%	1%	4%	49%	0%	0%	0%	673

Table 9. Who the person lives with

	Alone	Spouse or Partner	Other Family	Friend(s)	Live-in PCA	Others	Don’t Know/ Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	38%	14%	40%	3%	3%	3%	2%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	28%	25%	45%	2%	0%	3%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	211
Sample Average	16%	11%	22%	1%	1%	51%	0%	673

²⁴ Categories created using zip codes and corresponding RUCA codes: Metropolitan - Metropolitan area core, high commuting low commuting; Micropolitan - Micropolitan area core, high commuting, low commuting; Small town - Small town core, high commuting, low commuting; Rural

Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	94%	4%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	93%	7%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	89%	10%	1%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	90%	8%	2%	203
Sample Average	92%	8%	1%	662

Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4
HCBS – MCO “B”	88%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17
SNF – MCO “A”	75%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	12
SNF – MCO “B”	71%	6%	0%	12%	12%	0%	0%	0%	17
Sample Average	78%	10%	0%	6%	4%	0%	0%	2%	50

Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	28%	70%	2%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	32%	67%	1%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	46%	53%	2%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	43%	54%	3%	203
Sample Average	37%	61%	2%	662

Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	82%	16%	2%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	82%	16%	2%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	81%	16%	3%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	68%	28%	4%	203
Sample Average	78%	19%	3%	662

Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	90%	9%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	83%	14%	3%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	86%	10%	3%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	83%	13%	3%	203
Sample Average	85%	13%	3%	662

Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	84%	16%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	85%	13%	2%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	87%	4%	9%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	87%	5%	8%	203
Sample Average	86%	9%	5%	662

Table 16. Level of mobility

	Non-ambulatory	Moves Self with Wheelchair	Moves Self with Other Aids	Moves Self Without Aids	Don't know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	12%	31%	52%	34%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	30%	55%	33%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	53%	27%	13%	1%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	49%	29%	15%	1%	203
Sample Average	16%	40%	42%	24%	0%	662

Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	74%	22%	3%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	76%	23%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	80%	19%	1%	116
SNF – MCO “B”	69%	29%	2%	203
Sample Average	74%	24%	1%	662

Table 18. Receives Medicare

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	19%	81%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	22%	78%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	93%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	6%	94%	0%	211
Sample Average	14%	86%	0%	675

Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program

	0-5 months	6 months-less than 1 year	1 year-less than 3 years	3 or more years	Don't know	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	9%	39%	46%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	11%	12%	36%	40%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	1%	8%	45%	46%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	8%	12%	32%	48%	0%	211
Sample Average	8%	11%	37%	44%	0%	675

Table 20. Has legal guardian

	No	Yes	Don't Know	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	82%	17%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	98%	2%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	72%	15%	13%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	97%	3%	0%	211
Sample Average	91%	7%	3%	675

Community Participation — un-collapsed tables

Table 21. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	32%	12%	53%	0%	4%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	34%	15%	49%	1%	1%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	36%	9%	49%	2%	4%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	42%	8%	45%	2%	2%	167
Sample Average	36%	11%	48%	1%	2%	558

Table 22a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be

	Cost/Money	Transportation	Accessibility/Lack of Equipment	Health Limitations	Not Enough Staffing/Assistance	Feeling Unwelcome in Community	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	15%	21%	15%	79%	3%	3%	33
HCBS – MCO “B”	19%	25%	10%	66%	10%	4%	104
SNF – MCO “A”	2%	24%	9%	50%	15%	2%	46
SNF – MCO “B”	11%	26%	8%	61%	14%	2%	84
Sample Average	13%	25%	10%	63%	11%	3%	267

Table 22b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)

	Feeling Unsafe	No Community Activities Outside of Home	Lack of Info/Doesn't Know What's Available	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	9%	0%	15%	6%	0%	0%	33
HCBS – MCO “B”	7%	12%	11%	13%	0%	0%	104
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	4%	9%	13%	7%	7%	46
SNF – MCO “B”	0%	7%	21%	7%	5%	4%	84
Sample Average	4%	7%	14%	10%	3%	2%	267

Table 23. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	34%	62%	1%	3%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	29%	68%	3%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	36%	53%	4%	7%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	45%	51%	2%	2%	167
Sample Average	36%	59%	3%	2%	558

Choice and Decision Making — un-collapsed

Table 24. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	100%	0%	0%	0%	1
HCBS – MCO “B”	86%	14%	0%	0%	7
SNF – MCO “A”	63%	26%	6%	5%	78
SNF – MCO “B”	64%	29%	5%	2%	123
Sample Average	65%	27%	5%	3%	209

Table 25. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, Or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	5%	93%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	8%	86%	0%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	11%	72%	0%	3%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	12%	12%	75%	1%	1%	165
Sample Average	8%	9%	81%	0%	1%	556

Table 26. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, Or Almost Always	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	3%	7%	89%	1%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	8%	5%	87%	0%	0%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	53%	6%	39%	0%	0%	2%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	49%	9%	39%	0%	2%	1%	161
Sample Average	28%	7%	64%	0%	1%	1%	552

Table 27. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they wan to (if in group setting)

	Only In Some Ways, Or Not At All	In Most Ways	In All Ways	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	44%	56%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	43%	57%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	38%	42%	4%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	14%	33%	49%	3%	1%	160
Sample Average	13%	36%	47%	3%	1%	293

Relationships — un-collapsed

Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends/family when they want to (if there are friends and family who don't live with them)

	No, or Rarely	Most of the Time, Usually, or Some Family and/or Friends	Yes, Always, or Chooses Not to	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	23%	73%	0%	0%	66
HCBS – MCO “B”	3%	28%	70%	0%	0%	197
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	9%	83%	0%	1%	86
SNF – MCO “B”	7%	16%	76%	0%	0%	147
Sample Average	5%	21%	74%	0%	0%	496

Table 29. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family

	Availability of Transportation	Accessibility	Staffing/Personal Assistance Unavailable	Health Limitations	Someone Prevents Them or There are Restrictions	Other	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	33%	22%	0%	44%	0%	17%	0%	18
HCBS – MCO “B”	33%	25%	0%	45%	0%	15%	2%	60
SNF – MCO “A”	14%	36%	0%	29%	0%	36%	0%	14
SNF – MCO “B”	20%	26%	3%	17%	3%	46%	3%	35
Sample Average	28%	26%	1%	35%	1%	26%	2%	127

Satisfaction — un-collapsed

Table 30. Proportion of people who like where they are living

	No	In-between, Most of the Time	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	14%	82%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	8%	11%	81%	0%	0%	214
SNF – MCO “A”	21%	24%	54%	0%	1%	104
SNF – MCO “B”	18%	20%	60%	1%	1%	171
Sample Average	13%	17%	70%	0%	0%	565

Table 31a. Reasons for not liking where people live

	Accessibility	Feels Unsafe In/ Dislikes Neighborhood	Feels Unsafe in Residence	Residence/Building Needs Repairs or Upkeep	Doesn't Feel Like Home	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	29%	0%	50%	0%	14
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	29%	7%	17%	15%	41
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	4%	6%	4%	51%	47
SNF – MCO “B”	5%	2%	5%	5%	44%	66
Sample Average	5%	11%	5%	11%	35%	168

Table 31b. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

	Layout/Size of Residence/ Building	Problems with Neighbors/Residents/ Housemates/Roommates	Problems with Staff	Insufficient Amount/ Type of Staff	Wants More Independence/ Control	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	21%	14%	0%	0%	0%	14
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	20%	5%	0%	0%	41
SNF – MCO “A”	6%	6%	17%	9%	28%	47
SNF – MCO “B”	6%	11%	21%	11%	33%	66
Sample Average	7%	12%	14%	7%	21%	168

Table 31c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

	Wants More Privacy	Wants to Be Closer to Family/ Friends	Feels Isolated from Community/Lonely	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	14%	0%	29%	7%	7%	14
HCBS – MCO “B”	10%	12%	12%	17%	7%	0%	41
SNF – MCO “A”	23%	23%	23%	13%	4%	0%	47
SNF – MCO “B”	23%	23%	18%	20%	6%	2%	66
Sample Average	18%	20%	17%	18%	6%	1%	168

Table 32. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else

	No	Maybe	Yes	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	62%	11%	24%	4%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	63%	14%	21%	1%	214
SNF – MCO “A”	44%	10%	43%	3%	104
SNF – MCO “B”	45%	13%	40%	1%	171
Sample Average	54%	13%	32%	2%	565

Table 33a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)

	Own/Different Own House/Apt	Family Member's House/Apt	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Group Home/Adult Family Home/Shared Living	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	69%	8%	8%	0%	26
HCBS – MCO “B”	61%	9%	3%	1%	76
SNF – MCO “A”	56%	22%	4%	0%	54
SNF – MCO “B”	62%	20%	4%	0%	92
Sample Average	61%	16%	4%	0%	248

Table 33b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else, continued)

	Nursing Facility	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	15%	0%	0%	26
HCBS – MCO “B”	3%	16%	8%	0%	76
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	4%	9%	2%	54
SNF – MCO “B”	3%	5%	4%	1%	92
Sample Average	3%	9%	6%	1%	248

Table 34. Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	13%	38%	47%	1%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	12%	40%	47%	1%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	26%	53%	1%	5%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	18%	27%	53%	0%	2%	165
Sample Average	14%	33%	50%	1%	2%	556

Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often

	No	Some or Sometimes	Yes	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	80%	4%	2%	15%	0%	0%	54
HCBS – MCO “B”	79%	7%	4%	10%	1%	0%	150
SNF – MCO “A”	57%	19%	22%	0%	0%	1%	77
SNF – MCO “B”	54%	19%	24%	1%	3%	0%	138
Sample Average	67%	13%	14%	6%	1%	0%	419

Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	26%	74%	0%	0%	54
HCBS – MCO “B”	1%	29%	69%	0%	0%	150
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	25%	61%	0%	3%	77
SNF – MCO “B”	10%	29%	59%	1%	1%	138
Sample Average	6%	28%	65%	0%	1%	419

Service Coordination — un-collapsed

Table 37. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	10%	18%	71%	1%	73
HCBS – MCO “B”	12%	13%	76%	0%	207
SNF – MCO “A”	28%	10%	60%	2%	97
SNF – MCO “B”	27%	13%	58%	1%	164
Sample Average	19%	13%	67%	1%	541

Table 38. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No, or Only Sometimes	Most of the Time, Usually	Yes, Always	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	27%	67%	1%	0%	70
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	30%	58%	5%	0%	221
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	16%	65%	5%	2%	57
SNF – MCO “B”	7%	11%	78%	4%	0%	114
Sample Average	7%	23%	65%	4%	0%	462

Table 39. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

	No, Never or Rarely	Some or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	2%	14%	70%	14%	0%	0%	64
HCBS – MCO “B”	2%	14%	71%	11%	1%	0%	169
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	12%	79%	1%	1%	0%	86
SNF – MCO “B”	6%	11%	71%	5%	6%	1%	174
Sample Average	4%	13%	72%	8%	3%	0%	493

Table 40. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	26%	68%	7%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	31%	60%	7%	1%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	16%	63%	19%	2%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	20%	55%	24%	1%	208
Sample Average	24%	60%	15%	1%	670

Table 41. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	53%	38%	8%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	50%	40%	10%	0%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	39%	50%	4%	7%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	49%	41%	5%	4%	164
Sample Average	48%	42%	7%	3%	554

Table 42. Proportion of people whose services meet their needs and goals

	No, Not At All, Needs Or Goals Are Not Met	Some Needs And Goals	Yes, Completely, All Needs And Goals	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	3%	30%	66%	1%	0%	88
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	32%	60%	2%	0%	251
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	25%	67%	1%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	9%	19%	69%	1%	1%	202
Sample Average	7%	26%	65%	1%	1%	658

Table 43a. Additional services that might help

	Skilled Nursing Facility, Nursing Home Services	Personal Care Assistance, Personal Care Services	Home Maker/ Chore Services	Healthcare Home Services, Home Health	Home Delivered Meals	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	3%	12%	8%	6%	6%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	2%	8%	10%	6%	6%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	4%	3%	1%	3%	2%	203
Sample Average	3%	6%	5%	4%	4%	663

Table 43b. Additional services that might help (continued)

	Adult Day Services	Transportation	Respite/Family Caregiver Support	Health Care	Mental Health Care	Dental Care	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	12%	11%	1%	2%	36%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	13%	11%	1%	5%	40%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	10%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	4%	12%	2%	4%	4%	13%	203
Sample Average	5%	11%	7%	2%	4%	26%	663

Table 43c. Additional services that might help (continued)

	Housing Assistance	Heating/Cooling Assistance	Hospice	Funeral Planning	Other	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	6%	1%	8%	12%	3%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	10%	11%	4%	8%	10%	4%	2%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	6%	0%	3%	4%	11%	9%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	5%	0%	5%	4%	8%	11%	2%	203
Sample Average	7%	5%	3%	6%	10%	7%	2%	663

Table 44. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	17%	79%	4%	0%	24
HCBS – MCO “B”	36%	53%	10%	0%	86
SNF – MCO “A”	55%	45%	0%	0%	20
SNF – MCO “B”	52%	37%	11%	0%	27
Sample Average	38%	54%	8%	0%	157

Table 45a. How people first find out about the services available to them

	Friend	Family	Area Agency on Aging or Aging and Disability Resource Center	Center for Independent Living	State or County Agency	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	9%	22%	4%	0%	19%	85
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	22%	4%	0%	13%	247
SNF – MCO “A”	8%	39%	3%	0%	5%	112
SNF – MCO “B”	11%	42%	4%	0%	7%	204
Sample Average	10%	31%	4%	0%	10%	648

Table 45b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued)

	Case Manager/ Care Coordinator	Doctor	Other Provider	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	28%	19%	24%	7%	4%	0%	85
HCBS – MCO “B”	29%	14%	21%	6%	4%	0%	247
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	13%	13%	4%	14%	3%	112
SNF – MCO “B”	7%	9%	16%	9%	10%	1%	204
Sample Average	18%	13%	19%	7%	8%	1%	648

Table 46a. Who helps most often

	Nobody Provides Support on a Regular Basis	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	42%	30%	2%	23%	83
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	29%	28%	2%	35%	241
SNF – MCO “A”	1%	87%	1%	0%	10%	108
SNF – MCO “B”	0%	94%	0%	0%	4%	194
Sample Average	0%	61%	15%	1%	19%	626

Table 46b. Who helps most often (continued)

	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	2%	0%	0%	0%	83
HCBS – MCO “B”	3%	1%	0%	0%	241
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	0%	1%	0%	108
SNF – MCO “B”	1%	0%	0%	1%	194
Sample Average	2%	0%	0%	0%	626

Table 47a. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)

	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	36%	6%	0%	47%	83
HCBS – MCO “B”	37%	7%	1%	41%	239
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	1%	1%	49%	107
SNF – MCO “B”	25%	2%	0%	48%	193
Sample Average	31%	4%	0%	45%	622

Table 47b. Who else helps (continued)

	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	No One Else Provides Support	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	14%	1%	13%	1%	0%	83
HCBS – MCO “B”	15%	2%	10%	1%	0%	239
SNF – MCO “A”	8%	2%	17%	1%	0%	107
SNF – MCO “B”	8%	1%	20%	0%	1%	193
Sample Average	12%	2%	15%	1%	0%	622

Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up

	No	Yes	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	13%	75%	11%	2%	0%	64
HCBS – MCO “B”	17%	72%	6%	5%	0%	169
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	59%	12%	14%	3%	86
SNF – MCO “B”	16%	59%	10%	11%	3%	174
Sample Average	15%	66%	9%	8%	2%	493

Care Coordination — un-collapsed

Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or where they live)

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	70%	29%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	66%	33%	0%	1%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	71%	29%	0%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	65%	30%	4%	0%	203
Sample Average	67%	31%	2%	0%	663

Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	In-between	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	4%	88%	4%	0%	26
HCBS – MCO “B”	7%	10%	83%	0%	0%	84
SNF – MCO “A”	9%	0%	76%	6%	9%	34
SNF – MCO “B”	20%	11%	62%	2%	5%	61
Sample Average	11%	8%	77%	2%	3%	205

Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	Yes	Didn't Need or Want Follow-Up Care	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	85%	8%	4%	0%	26
HCBS – MCO “B”	17%	79%	2%	2%	0%	84
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	71%	0%	3%	0%	34
SNF – MCO “B”	30%	52%	3%	11%	3%	61
Sample Average	20%	70%	3%	5%	1%	205

Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)

	No	In-between, Some Conditions	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	4%	95%	0%	0%	78
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	5%	94%	1%	1%	220
SNF – MCO “A”	8%	11%	76%	4%	1%	84
SNF – MCO “B”	10%	11%	74%	3%	1%	149
Sample Average	4%	7%	86%	2%	1%	531

Access — un-collapsed

Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Does Not Want to	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	6%	14%	73%	6%	0%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	10%	75%	5%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	5%	61%	11%	8%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	7%	54%	9%	8%	1%	207
Sample Average	14%	9%	66%	7%	4%	0%	668

Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Doesn't Go to Medical Appointments	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	2%	94%	2%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	2%	2%	95%	1%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	3%	2%	87%	7%	1%	0%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	4%	1%	83%	10%	1%	0%	207
Sample Average	3%	2%	90%	5%	1%	0%	669

Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)

	No	Some Information	Yes, All Information	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	5%	95%	0%	0%	21
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	2%	89%	0%	0%	55
SNF – MCO “A”	0%	5%	90%	5%	0%	20
SNF – MCO “B”	13%	0%	84%	3%	0%	38
Sample Average	7%	2%	89%	1%	0%	134

Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	21%	63%	3%	12%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	19%	64%	4%	13%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	29%	60%	2%	1%	6%	3%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	17%	77%	1%	1%	2%	1%	209
Sample Average	20%	67%	3%	7%	2%	1%	671

Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	50%	38%	2%	10%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	42%	35%	6%	15%	2%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	42%	42%	1%	5%	8%	3%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	32%	55%	2%	4%	6%	1%	209
Sample Average	40%	43%	3%	9%	4%	1%	671

Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	64%	18%	4%	12%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	61%	20%	8%	9%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	17%	75%	6%	1%	2%	0%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	12%	76%	10%	0%	1%	0%	209
Sample Average	39%	47%	8%	5%	1%	0%	671

Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	50%	34%	6%	4%	1%	4%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	51%	29%	5%	11%	0%	5%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	68%	23%	1%	2%	5%	2%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	61%	32%	0%	1%	3%	2%	209
Sample Average	57%	30%	3%	5%	2%	4%	671

Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	80%	1%	2%	9%	8%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	76%	9%	2%	9%	4%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	79%	1%	0%	4%	16%	0%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	79%	2%	0%	5%	12%	1%	209
Sample Average	78%	4%	1%	7%	9%	0%	671

Table 61. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	22%	62%	7%	9%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	20%	64%	6%	8%	2%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	83%	6%	4%	1%	2%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	18%	75%	3%	3%	2%	0%	209
Sample Average	17%	70%	5%	6%	1%	0%	671

Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	92%	0%	0%	6%	1%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	83%	4%	1%	7%	4%	1%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	81%	0%	0%	0%	17%	2%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	80%	0%	0%	1%	16%	2%	209
Sample Average	83%	2%	0%	4%	9%	1%	671

Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	36%	51%	9%	4%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	34%	52%	10%	3%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	60%	33%	1%	5%	0%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	55%	37%	2%	4%	1%	1%	207
Sample Average	45%	44%	6%	4%	1%	0%	668

Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	84%	4%	2%	8%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	78%	5%	1%	15%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	91%	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	87%	4%	1%	5%	2%	0%	207
Sample Average	84%	4%	1%	9%	1%	0%	668

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	37%	49%	4%	9%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	37%	45%	13%	6%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	24%	63%	12%	1%	0%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	19%	61%	14%	5%	0%	0%	207
Sample Average	29%	54%	12%	5%	0%	0%	668

Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	76%	11%	0%	11%	2%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	79%	7%	2%	11%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	84%	3%	1%	11%	1%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	77%	7%	3%	11%	0%	0%	207
Sample Average	79%	7%	2%	11%	1%	0%	668

Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	27%	46%	20%	8%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	24%	48%	19%	9%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	24%	56%	11%	9%	0%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	20%	53%	20%	5%	0%	0%	207
Sample Average	23%	50%	18%	8%	0%	0%	668

Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	84%	9%	6%	0%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	81%	10%	5%	2%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	91%	3%	2%	3%	1%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	93%	5%	0%	0%	0%	1%	206
Sample Average	87%	7%	3%	1%	1%	0%	667

Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	71%	13%	6%	7%	2%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	69%	17%	2%	8%	3%	1%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	73%	14%	3%	3%	8%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	74%	14%	0%	2%	8%	2%	206
Sample Average	71%	15%	2%	5%	5%	1%	667

Safety — un-collapsed

Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home

	Rarely or Never	Always or Most of the Time	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	3%	97%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	4%	96%	0%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	6%	89%	3%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	8%	90%	1%	1%	168
Sample Average	5%	93%	1%	1%	560

Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff

	No, Not All Paid Support Staff or Not Always	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	100%	0%	0%	54
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	93%	1%	0%	150
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	88%	0%	0%	77
SNF – MCO “B”	11%	89%	0%	0%	138
Sample Average	8%	92%	0%	0%	419

Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings

	No, Never	Yes, At Least Sometimes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	88%	12%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	84%	15%	1%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	58%	39%	1%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	64%	34%	1%	1%	168
Sample Average	74%	25%	1%	1%	560

Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	91%	5%	3%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	90%	9%	1%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	82%	17%	0%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	87%	7%	5%	1%	167
Sample Average	88%	9%	3%	1%	559

Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable

	No	Sometimes	Yes, Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	37%	32%	31%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	34%	32%	33%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	60%	20%	19%	1%	0%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	54%	14%	31%	0%	0%	208
Sample Average	45%	24%	30%	1%	0%	670

Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	25%	75%	0%	0%	57
HCBS – MCO “B”	16%	83%	1%	0%	165
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	74%	0%	0%	46
SNF – MCO “B”	33%	63%	3%	0%	93
Sample Average	23%	76%	1%	0%	361

Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	11%	83%	6%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	12%	77%	10%	1%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	60%	14%	0%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	61%	17%	1%	208
Sample Average	17%	70%	12%	1%	670

Health Care — un-collapsed

Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	57%	43%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	49%	49%	1%	1%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	74%	26%	1%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	62%	35%	2%	0%	203
Sample Average	59%	40%	1%	0%	663

Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	51%	46%	3%	0%	39
HCBS – MCO “B”	68%	31%	1%	0%	123
SNF – MCO “A”	70%	23%	7%	0%	30
SNF – MCO “B”	61%	38%	0%	1%	71
Sample Average	64%	34%	2%	0%	263

Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	97%	3%	0%	0%	39
HCBS – MCO “B”	93%	7%	0%	1%	123
SNF – MCO “A”	90%	10%	0%	0%	30
SNF – MCO “B”	99%	1%	0%	0%	71
Sample Average	95%	5%	0%	0%	263

Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to

	No, Rarely	Usually	Yes, Always	Doesn't Have a Primary Care Doctor	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	3%	19%	78%	0%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	3%	19%	77%	0%	0%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	3%	57%	26%	7%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	6%	8%	58%	23%	4%	1%	203
Sample Average	5%	13%	68%	12%	2%	0%	663

Table 81. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	41%	57%	0%	2%	44
HCBS – MCO “B”	31%	68%	1%	0%	113
SNF – MCO “A”	48%	52%	0%	0%	42
SNF – MCO “B”	48%	51%	1%	0%	81
Sample Average	40%	59%	1%	0%	280

Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	12%	82%	3%	2%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	13%	85%	0%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	29%	59%	3%	9%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	27%	62%	1%	10%	0%	203
Sample Average	20%	73%	1%	5%	0%	663

Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	76%	24%	0%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	79%	19%	0%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	62%	27%	0%	10%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	67%	20%	0%	12%	0%	203
Sample Average	72%	22%	0%	6%	0%	663

Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	50%	49%	1%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	49%	51%	0%	0%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	40%	52%	2%	6%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	48%	46%	0%	6%	0%	203
Sample Average	47%	49%	1%	3%	0%	663

Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	20%	76%	3%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	26%	71%	2%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	18%	78%	2%	3%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	12%	83%	1%	3%	0%	203
Sample Average	20%	76%	2%	2%	0%	663

Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	74%	22%	2%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	66%	31%	2%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	60%	32%	5%	3%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	52%	41%	1%	4%	1%	203
Sample Average	62%	33%	2%	2%	0%	663

Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	11%	74%	0%	14%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	13%	76%	1%	9%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	16%	56%	7%	21%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	12%	60%	3%	24%	2%	203
Sample Average	13%	67%	3%	17%	1%	663

Wellness — un-collapsed

Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	12%	46%	28%	12%	2%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	15%	41%	28%	13%	4%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	25%	44%	14%	10%	1%	3%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	13%	25%	33%	17%	9%	0%	2%	203
Sample Average	12%	34%	32%	14%	6%	0%	1%	664

Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, and getting worse compared to 12 months ago

	Much Worse	Somewhat Worse	About the Same	Somewhat Better	Much Better	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	24%	41%	13%	13%	0%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	23%	45%	12%	11%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	2%	19%	46%	21%	9%	3%	2%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	6%	19%	47%	12%	13%	1%	2%	203
Sample Average	7%	21%	45%	14%	11%	1%	1%	664

Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	39%	47%	11%	3%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	50%	42%	6%	1%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	60%	33%	7%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	42%	51%	6%	0%	203
Sample Average	48%	44%	7%	1%	663

Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	40%	60%	0%	0%	42
HCBS – MCO “B”	36%	62%	2%	0%	107
SNF – MCO “A”	69%	28%	3%	0%	39
SNF – MCO “B”	53%	43%	3%	1%	104
Sample Average	47%	50%	2%	0%	292

Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	81%	19%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	73%	26%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	82%	15%	2%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	76%	19%	3%	1%	203
Sample Average	77%	21%	2%	0%	663

Table 93. Proportion of people who never/almost never, not often, sometimes, and often feel sad or depressed

	Never or Almost Never	Not Often	Sometimes	Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	14%	26%	43%	14%	0%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	18%	27%	38%	15%	1%	0%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	35%	20%	31%	10%	1%	3%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	26%	20%	36%	13%	3%	2%	164
Sample Average	23%	23%	37%	14%	1%	1%	554

Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	13%	87%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	11%	87%	1%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	72%	2%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	23%	73%	3%	0%	203
Sample Average	18%	80%	2%	0%	663

Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	13%	20%	66%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	9%	25%	65%	1%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	9%	27%	62%	1%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	16%	23%	60%	1%	0%	203
Sample Average	12%	24%	63%	1%	0%	664

Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	17%	38%	43%	1%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	17%	32%	50%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	21%	63%	2%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	17%	24%	56%	1%	2%	203
Sample Average	17%	28%	53%	1%	1%	664

Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	9%	86%	4%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	15%	83%	1%	0%	213
SNF – MCO “A”	32%	62%	3%	4%	104
SNF – MCO “B”	27%	65%	5%	3%	171
Sample Average	21%	74%	3%	2%	564

Medications — un-collapsed

Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	76%	22%	1%	1%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	63%	34%	3%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	62%	25%	12%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	51%	33%	16%	0%	203
Sample Average	61%	30%	8%	1%	663

Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)

	No	In-between, or Some Medications	Yes	Doesn't Take Prescription Medications	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	9%	87%	0%	0%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	12%	79%	1%	1%	1%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	19%	14%	62%	4%	1%	1%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	14%	18%	59%	4%	2%	2%	164
Sample Average	10%	14%	71%	2%	1%	1%	554

Rights and Respect — un-collapsed

Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%	54
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	15%	85%	0%	0%	150
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	17%	79%	0%	0%	77
SNF – MCO “B”	4%	22%	73%	0%	0%	138
Sample Average	2%	18%	80%	0%	0%	419

Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)

	Sometimes/ Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	11%	89%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	19%	19%	62%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	20%	19%	56%	1%	3%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	19%	26%	54%	1%	0%	160
Sample Average	19%	23%	57%	1%	1%	293

Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	11%	89%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	19%	81%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	71%	12%	17%	1%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	75%	16%	9%	0%	160
Sample Average	68%	21%	11%	0%	293

Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)

	Sometimes/Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	11%	89%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	10%	14%	76%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	14%	17%	66%	2%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	18%	16%	66%	0%	1%	160
Sample Average	15%	16%	67%	1%	1%	293

Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)

	No, Visitors Allowed Only Certain Times	Yes, Visitors Can Come Any Time	N/A – No Visitors Who Visit Residence	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	33%	56%	11%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	24%	67%	5%	5%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	9%	85%	3%	2%	1%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	8%	88%	2%	2%	1%	160
Sample Average	10%	84%	3%	2%	1%	293

Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)

	No, Never or Rarely, or There Are Restrictions/ Interference	Usually	Yes, Anytime	N/A –Doesn't Use Phone	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	10%	86%	0%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	7%	6%	73%	10%	4%	1%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	9%	6%	76%	6%	2%	1%	160
Sample Average	8%	7%	75%	7%	2%	1%	293

Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	89%	0%	0%	11%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	19%	81%	0%	0%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	17%	76%	0%	7%	1%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	27%	61%	3%	9%	1%	160
Sample Average	22%	69%	1%	7%	1%	293

Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)

	No, Never	Yes	N/A – Doesn't Get Mail/Email	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	89%	0%	0%	11%	0%	9
HCBS – MCO “B”	81%	10%	5%	5%	0%	21
SNF – MCO “A”	83%	6%	7%	2%	2%	103
SNF – MCO “B”	76%	4%	13%	8%	0%	160
Sample Average	79%	5%	10%	6%	1%	293

Self-Direction of Care — un-collapsed

Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	51%	49%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	74%	26%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	99%	1%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	100%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	83%	16%	0%	675

Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	6%	21%	59%	14%	0%	85
HCBS – MCO “B”	4%	26%	55%	15%	0%	247
SNF – MCO “A”	28%	23%	30%	14%	4%	112
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	21%	31%	25%	2%	204
Sample Average	14%	23%	44%	18%	1%	648

Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	9%	19%	47%	25%	0%	85
HCBS – MCO “B”	12%	23%	49%	13%	2%	247
SNF – MCO “A”	27%	21%	32%	21%	0%	112
SNF – MCO “B”	23%	21%	29%	24%	3%	204
Sample Average	18%	21%	40%	19%	2%	648

Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to

	No	Sometimes, or Some	Yes, All	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	17%	67%	11%	0%	64
HCBS – MCO “B”	2%	17%	72%	8%	1%	169
SNF – MCO “A”	22%	12%	52%	13%	1%	86
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	12%	46%	21%	0%	174
Sample Average	13%	14%	59%	14%	0%	493

Work — un-collapsed

Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	100%	0%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	96%	4%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	97%	3%	0%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	98%	2%	0%	0%	205
Sample Average	97%	3%	0%	0%	666

Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	79%	5%	16%	0%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	63%	11%	26%	0%	207
SNF – MCO “A”	69%	3%	24%	3%	98
SNF – MCO “B”	69%	9%	20%	1%	160
Sample Average	68%	8%	22%	1%	541

Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	88%	13%	0%	0%	16
HCBS – MCO “B”	84%	13%	3%	0%	76
SNF – MCO “A”	89%	11%	0%	0%	27
SNF – MCO “B”	91%	4%	4%	0%	47
Sample Average	87%	10%	2%	0%	166

Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	96%	4%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	85%	15%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	87%	12%	0%	1%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	89%	10%	0%	1%	205
Sample Average	88%	11%	0%	0%	666

Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	65%	18%	17%	0%	72
HCBS – MCO “B”	73%	12%	15%	0%	179
SNF – MCO “A”	68%	16%	14%	2%	87
SNF – MCO “B”	67%	10%	23%	1%	142
Sample Average	69%	13%	17%	1%	480

Everyday Living — un-collapsed

Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	37%	58%	1%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	40%	54%	1%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	15%	34%	47%	1%	3%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	9%	30%	58%	0%	2%	211
Sample Average	8%	36%	55%	1%	1%	675

Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	18%	82%	0%	0%	85
HCBS – MCO “B”	18%	82%	0%	0%	240
SNF – MCO “A”	21%	78%	1%	0%	97
SNF – MCO “B”	23%	76%	2%	0%	186
Sample Average	20%	79%	1%	0%	608

Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	20%	43%	37%	0%	0%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	20%	37%	42%	0%	0%	255
SNF – MCO “A”	16%	25%	58%	1%	0%	119
SNF – MCO “B”	20%	27%	53%	0%	0%	211
Sample Average	19%	33%	48%	0%	0%	675

Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	15%	83%	0%	1%	72
HCBS – MCO “B”	14%	85%	0%	0%	202
SNF – MCO “A”	23%	75%	2%	0%	99
SNF – MCO “B”	19%	80%	1%	0%	169
Sample Average	18%	81%	1%	0%	542

Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	17%	82%	0%	0%	89
HCBS – MCO “B”	4%	17%	79%	0%	0%	253
SNF – MCO “A”	6%	14%	74%	4%	2%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	10%	13%	72%	3%	2%	201
Sample Average	6%	15%	77%	2%	1%	660

Affordability — un-collapsed

Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to a Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	90%	9%	1%	0%	0%	0%	89
HCBS – MCO “B”	92%	4%	4%	0%	0%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	99%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	117
SNF – MCO “B”	98%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	201
Sample Average	95%	3%	2%	0%	0%	0%	661

Planning for the Future — un-collapsed

Table 123. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future need for services

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	53%	38%	8%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	50%	40%	10%	0%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	39%	50%	4%	7%	102
SNF – MCO “B”	49%	41%	5%	4%	164
Sample Average	48%	42%	7%	3%	554

Control — un-collapsed

Table 124. Proportion of people who feel in control of their life

	No, Rarely or Never	In-between, Sometimes	Yes, Almost Always or Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	20%	71%	1%	3%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	14%	27%	58%	1%	0%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	26%	23%	50%	0%	2%	101
SNF – MCO “B”	21%	23%	52%	1%	3%	164
Sample Average	17%	24%	56%	1%	2%	553

Table 125. Ranking of how important health was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Health Most Important	2	3	4 - Health Least Important	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	70%	16%	11%	1%	73
HCBS – MCO “B”	67%	25%	6%	2%	210
SNF – MCO “A”	62%	18%	12%	6%	90
SNF – MCO “B”	60%	21%	14%	4%	146
Sample Average	65%	21%	10%	3%	519

Table 126. Ranking of how important safety was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Safety Most Important	2	3	4 - Safety Least Important	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	50%	33%	10%	72
HCBS – MCO “B”	10%	39%	38%	13%	210
SNF – MCO “A”	5%	37%	31%	28%	87
SNF – MCO “B”	5%	40%	32%	24%	144
Sample Average	7%	40%	34%	18%	513

Table 127. Ranking of how important being independent was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Independent Most Important	2	3	4 - Being Independent Least Important	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	13%	26%	36%	25%	72
HCBS – MCO “B”	16%	29%	35%	20%	210
SNF – MCO “A”	16%	30%	30%	24%	87
SNF – MCO “B”	17%	26%	34%	22%	144
Sample Average	16%	28%	34%	22%	513

Table 128. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Engaged with Community Most Important	2	3	4 – Being Engaged with Community Least Important	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	9%	7%	18%	61%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	7%	21%	65%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	14%	14%	23%	37%	101
SNF – MCO “B”	17%	11%	18%	44%	163
Sample Average	11%	9%	20%	53%	552

Appendix C: Delaware's State-Specific Questions

Table 129. Proportion of people whose case manager changes too often (if know they have case manager/care coordinator) (DE-1)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	77%	17%	6%	0%	70
HCBS – MCO “B”	72%	23%	5%	0%	221
SNF – MCO “A”	61%	28%	9%	2%	57
SNF – MCO “B”	68%	18%	13%	1%	114
Sample Average	71%	21%	8%	0%	462

Table 130. Proportion of people who would recommend their paid support staff to someone else (DE-2)

	No	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	25%	70%	0%	0%	64
HCBS – MCO “B”	4%	22%	68%	3%	3%	169
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	26%	63%	0%	0%	86
SNF – MCO “B”	12%	16%	68%	2%	2%	173
Sample Average	8%	21%	67%	2%	2%	492

Table 131. Proportion of people who know whom to tell if someone hurts or steals from them (DE-3)

	No	Not Sure, Maybe	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	10%	1%	87%	2%	90
HCBS – MCO “B”	8%	7%	85%	0%	254
SNF – MCO “A”	14%	3%	81%	2%	118
SNF – MCO “B”	13%	6%	80%	1%	208
Sample Average	11%	5%	83%	1%	670

Table 132. Reasons people don't have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) (DE-4)

	No Rides Available in Person's Location	No Rides Go Where Person Needs to Go	No Rides Available at the Time Needed	No Rides Available on the Day Needed	Rides Show Up Late	Rides Don't Show Up	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/ Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	5%	10%	15%	10%	0%	50%	5%	0%	20
HCBS – MCO “B”	6%	22%	10%	8%	0%	2%	51%	2%	0%	51
SNF – MCO “A”	30%	7%	11%	4%	0%	0%	26%	11%	11%	27
SNF – MCO “B”	25%	7%	5%	2%	0%	0%	47%	15%	0%	60
Sample Average	17%	11%	8%	6%	1%	1%	45%	9%	2%	158

Appendix D: Delaware's Pilot of NCI-AD's Person-Centered Planning Module

Table 133. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their care plan and the goals they want for their lives

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Very/Fully Involved	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	5%	1%	17%	70%	5%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	7%	6%	16%	63%	8%	1%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	16%	14%	22%	39%	8%	2%	101
SNF – MCO “B”	13%	7%	23%	46%	9%	1%	164
Sample Average	10%	7%	19%	55%	8%	1%	553

Table 134. Proportion of people who remembered their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	22%	73%	4%	0%	67
HCBS – MCO “B”	16%	81%	4%	0%	180
SNF – MCO “A”	28%	65%	5%	1%	75
SNF – MCO “B”	28%	61%	9%	2%	126
Sample Average	22%	71%	6%	1%	448

Table 135. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a time convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	4%	92%	4%	0%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	1%	98%	1%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	2%	96%	2%	0%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	3%	94%	3%	1%	77
Sample Average	2%	96%	2%	0%	320

Table 136. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a location convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	100%	0%	0%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	1%	99%	0%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	2%	96%	0%	2%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	1%	95%	4%	0%	77
Sample Average	1%	98%	1%	0%	320

Table 137. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	2%	96%	2%	0%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	3%	96%	1%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	84%	0%	4%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	9%	82%	8%	1%	77
Sample Average	6%	91%	3%	1%	320

Table 138. People’s preferences and needs were heard as their care plan was discussed during the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Mostly	Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	2%	0%	10%	22%	59%	4%	2%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	3%	6%	34%	58%	0%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	4%	6%	6%	16%	63%	2%	2%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	3%	3%	12%	18%	58%	4%	3%	77
Sample Average	2%	3%	8%	26%	59%	2%	1%	320

Table 139. Proportion of people who received a copy of their care plan after the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	18%	57%	24%	0%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	14%	69%	17%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	61%	16%	20%	2%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	53%	26%	19%	1%	77
Sample Average	32%	49%	19%	1%	320

Table 140. Proportion of people whose care plan included what was discussed in their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes, In Part	Yes, Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	0%	14%	51%	33%	2%	49
HCBS – MCO “B”	0%	24%	57%	19%	0%	145
SNF – MCO “A”	12%	4%	31%	51%	2%	49
SNF – MCO “B”	3%	6%	40%	48%	3%	77
Sample Average	3%	15%	48%	33%	1%	320

Table 141. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their care plan

	No	Yes, Some/In Part	Yes, All/Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	1%	22%	38%	37%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	4%	30%	42%	23%	1%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	9%	7%	28%	52%	4%	101
SNF – MCO “B”	9%	13%	30%	45%	2%	164
Sample Average	6%	20%	35%	37%	2%	553

Table 142. Proportion of people who felt that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
HCBS – MCO “A”	7%	82%	11%	1%	76
HCBS – MCO “B”	5%	86%	7%	1%	212
SNF – MCO “A”	18%	62%	16%	4%	101
SNF – MCO “B”	16%	66%	15%	3%	164
Sample Average	11%	75%	12%	2%	553