



NCI-AD

NATIONAL CORE INDICATORS
Aging and Disabilities™

National Core Indicators
Aging and Disabilities Adult Consumer Survey

2017-2018 Vermont Results

Preface

The Vermont Agency of Human Services, through its Department of Disabilities, Aging & Independent Living (DAIL), manages a range of long-term services and supports (LTSS) programs including Choices for Care, Developmental Disabilities Services, the Older Americans Act, Money Follows the Person and Traumatic Brain Injury. The mission of DAIL is to make Vermont the best state in which to grow old or live with a disability with dignity, respect and independence.

The Adult Services Division (ASD) within DAIL is responsible for the management and oversight of long-term services and supports that enable older Vermonters and adults with physical disabilities to live in the setting of their choice, including home-based, shared living, residential care, assisted living and nursing facility. To fulfill that responsibility, ASD works in partnership with community organizations that manage LTSS within each region of the state.

In its inaugural year with the National Core Indicators for Aging & Disabilities (NCI-AD) program, Vermont will gain the ability to refine its established performance measures and to use person-reported data to improve the quality of LTSS throughout the state. Additionally, DAIL also participates in the National Core Indicators (NCI) program for developmental disabilities, which will offer Vermont the unique opportunity to compare the quality of life and outcomes across multiple LTSS programs managed within Vermont's Global Commitment to Health 1115 Waiver.

This report provides the first round of NCI-AD data specific to the Choices for Care and Traumatic Brain Injury home and community-based services (HCBS) managed by DAIL/ASD. Information included in this report will allow DAIL/ASD to:

- Globally assess the quality of service provision across the state;
- Assess the impact of the LTSS on quality of life as experienced and reported on by program participants;
- Compare Vermont data with similar programs nationally; and
- To use the information from the survey to improve LTSS for all Vermonters.



Human Services Research Institute (HSRI)
2336 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02140



National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities (NASUAD)
1201 15th St. NW, Ste. 350
Washington, DC 20005



State of Vermont
Department of Disabilities, Aging and Independent Living
Adult Services Division
HC 2 South, 280 State Drive
Waterbury, Vermont 05671-2020

Released June 2019

List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

AA – Authorized Agency

ADL – Activities of Daily Living

AFC – Adult Family Care

ASD – Adult Services Division

BI Section – Background Information Section

CFC – Choices for Care

CM – case manager

DAIL – Department of Disabilities, Aging & Independent Living

DDSD – Developmental Disabilities Services Division

ER – emergency room

HCBS – Home and Community-Based Services

HSRI – Human Services Research Institute

IADL – Instrumental Activities of Daily Living

LTC – Long Term Care

LTSS – Long-Term Services and Supports

N – Number of respondents

NASUAD – National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities

NCI – National Core Indicators

NCI-AD – National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities

PCP – Person-Centered Planning

TBI – Traumatic Brain Injury

Table of Contents

Preface	2
List of Abbreviations Used in This Report.....	4
Table of Contents.....	5
What is NCI-AD?.....	25
NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey.....	25
Survey Overview	25
Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and indicators	26
Survey Organization.....	29
NCI-AD in Vermont.....	30
Sample.....	30
Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by program.....	32
Survey Process in Vermont.....	32
Stakeholders	33
Organization of Results.....	33
Limitations of Report	35
Community Participation	36

Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be..... 37

Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to 37

Choice and Decision Making..... 38

Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting and have roommates)..... 39

Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to 39

Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to 40

Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting) 40

Relationships..... 41

Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person) 42

Satisfaction..... 43

Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living 44

Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else 44

Graph 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day..... 45

Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often..... 45

Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done..... 46

Service Coordination..... 47

Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services..... 48

Graph 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	48
Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	49
Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place.....	49
Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	50
Graph 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals.....	50
Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	51
Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	51
Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	52
Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up	52
Care Coordination	53
Graph 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)	54
Graph 24. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	54
Graph 25. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year.....	55
Graph 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	55

Access.....	56
Graph 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ...	57
Graph 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to.....	57
Graph 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	58
Graph 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	58
Graph 31. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade.....	59
Graph 32. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	59
Graph 33. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade	60
Graph 34. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	60
Graph 35. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade.....	61
Graph 36. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it.....	61
Graph 37. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade	62
Graph 38. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it.....	62
Graph 39. Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade	63
Graph 40. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it.....	63
Graph 41. Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade	64
Graph 42. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	64
Graph 43. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade.....	65

Graph 44. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	65
Graph 45. Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade	66
Graph 46. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it.....	66
Graph 47. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade	67
Graph 48. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	67
Graph 49. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade	68
Graph 50. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them.....	68
Graph 51. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade	69
Graph 52. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	69
Graph 53. Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade.....	70
Graph 54. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don't have it.....	70
Graph 55. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade.....	71
Graph 56. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	71
Graph 57. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade.....	72
Safety	73
Graph 58. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	74
Graph 59. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	74
Graph 60. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	75

Graph 61. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months 75

Graph 62. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable 76

Graph 63. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk 76

Graph 64. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire 77

Health Care 78

Graph 65. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year 79

Graph 66. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance 79

Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain 80

Graph 68. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to..... 80

Graph 69. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months..... 81

Graph 70. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year 81

Graph 71. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year 82

Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year 82

Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year 83

Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year 83

Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years 84

Wellness	85
Graph 76. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor	86
Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago	86
Graph 78. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months.....	87
Graph 79. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse...	87
Graph 80. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis	88
Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	88
Graph 82. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)	89
Graph 83. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)	89
Graph 84. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	90
Graph 85. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability	90
Medications	91
Graph 86. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	92
Graph 87. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)	92
Rights and Respect.....	93
Graph 88. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect.....	94
Graph 89. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	94

Graph 90. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	95
Graph 91. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	95
Graph 92. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	96
Graph 93. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	96
Graph 94. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting).....	97
Graph 95. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting).....	97
Self-Direction of Care.....	98
Graph 96. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)	99
Graph 97. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	99
Graph 98. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services.....	100
Graph 99. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to	100
Work.....	101
Graph 100. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community.....	102
Graph 101. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)	102
Graph 102. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options	103
Graph 103. Proportion of people who do volunteer work.....	103
Graph 104. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering).....	104

Everyday Living.....	105
Graph 105. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications).....	106
Graph 106. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	106
Graph 107. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home).....	107
Graph 108. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	107
Graph 109. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them	108
Affordability	109
Graph 110. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	110
Planning for future.....	111
Graph 111. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	112
Control	113
Graph 112. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life	114
Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses	115
Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules	116
Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Un-Weighted Data	122

Demographic Tables	123
Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)	123
Table 2. Age: 90 years and over	123
Table 3. Gender	123
Table 4. Race and ethnicity	124
Table 5. Marital status	124
Table 6. Primary language	124
Table 7. Type of residential area.....	125
Table 8. Type of residence	125
Table 9. Who the person lives with	125
Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months.....	126
Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)	126
Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability	126
Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia	127
Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury.....	127
Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability	127
Table 16. Level of mobility	128
Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)	128

Table 18. Receives Medicare	128
Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program	129
Table 20. Has legal guardian	129
Community Participation — un-collapsed tables	130
Table 21. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be.....	130
Table 22a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be	130
Table 22b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)	130
Table 23. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to.....	131
Choice and Decision Making — un-collapsed.....	132
Table 24. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)	132
Table 25. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to.....	132
Table 26. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	132
Table 27. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they wan to (if in group setting)	133
Relationships — un-collapsed.....	134
Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends/family when they want to (if there are friends and family who don't live with them).....	134
Table 29. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family	134
Satisfaction — un-collapsed	135

Table 30. Proportion of people who like where they are living	135
Table 31a. Reasons for not liking where people live	135
Table 31b. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)	135
Table 31c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)	136
Table 32. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	136
Table 33a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)	136
Table 33b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else, continued)	137
Table 34. Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day.....	137
Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often.....	137
Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done.....	138
Service Coordination — un-collapsed.....	139
Table 37. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services.....	139
Table 38. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	139
Table 39. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	139
Table 40. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place.....	140
Table 41. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	140
Table 42. Proportion of people whose services meet their needs and goals	140

Table 43a. Additional services that might help	141
Table 43b. Additional services that might help (continued)	141
Table 43c. Additional services that might help (continued)	141
Table 44. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)	142
Table 45a. How people first find out about the services available to them	142
Table 45b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued).....	142
Table 46a. Who helps most often.....	143
Table 46b. Who helps most often (continued).....	143
Table 47a. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)	143
Table 47b. Who else helps (continued)	144
Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up	144
Care Coordination — un-collapsed.....	145
Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or where they live)	145
Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	145
Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year.....	145

Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	146
Access — un-collapsed.....	147
Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical) ..	147
Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	147
Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English).....	147
Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home	148
Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars).....	148
Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed	148
Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home.....	149
Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system.....	149
Table 61. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system.....	149
Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)	150
Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker	150
Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter	150
Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair	151
Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids	151
Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses	151
Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine.....	152

Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)	152
Safety — un-collapsed	153
Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.....	153
Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff	153
Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings.....	153
Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months	154
Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable.....	154
Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk	154
Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire	155
Health Care — un-collapsed	156
Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year	156
Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance	156
Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain	156
Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to.....	157
Table 81. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months.....	157
Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year	157

Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year	158
Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year	158
Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year	158
Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year	159
Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years	159
Wellness — un-collapsed.....	160
Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent	160
Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, and getting worse compared to 12 months ago.....	160
Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months.....	160
Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse ..	161
Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis	161
Table 93. Proportion of people who never/almost never, not often, sometimes, and often feel sad or depressed.....	161
Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)	162
Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)	162
Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)	162
Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability	163
Medications — un-collapsed	164

Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed	164
Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)	164
Rights and Respect — un-collapsed.....	165
Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	165
Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting).....	165
Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)	165
Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)	166
Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)	166
Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	166
Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)	167
Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)	167
Self-Direction of Care — un-collapsed.....	168
Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)	168
Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	168
Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services	168
Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to.....	169

Work — un-collapsed	170
Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community	170
Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)	170
Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options	170
Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work	171
Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	171
Everyday Living — un-collapsed	172
Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications).....	172
Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	172
Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home).....	172
Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it.....	173
Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them.....	173
Affordability — un-collapsed	174
Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	174
Planning for the Future — un-collapsed.....	175

Table 123. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs	175
Control — un-collapsed	176
Table 124. Proportion of people who feel in control of their life	176
Table 125. Ranking of how important health was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	176
Table 126. Ranking of how important safety was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	176
Table 127. Ranking of how important being independent was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends).....	177
Table 128. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)	177
Appendix C: Vermont’s State-Specific Questions	178
Table 129. Reasons people don’t get enough assistance with everyday activities (VT-18).....	179
Table 130. Reasons people don’t get enough assistance for self-care (VT-20).....	179
Table 131. Proportion of people whose caregivers are available at the times when person wants them (VT-25).....	180
Table 132. Proportion of people who are informed about caregiver changes in a timely manner (VT-26).....	180
Appendix D: Vermont’s Pilot of NCI-AD’s Person-Centered Planning Module	181
Table 133. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their care plan and the goals they want for their lives	182
Table 134. Proportion of people who remember their most recent care service meeting (if involved in making decisions about their care plan).....	182

Table 135. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a time convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) 182

Table 136. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a location convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) 183

Table 137. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) . 183

Table 138. People’s preferences and needs were heard as their care plan was discussed during the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)..... 183

Table 139. Proportion of people who received a copy of their care plan after the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) 184

Table 140. Proportion of people whose care plan included what was discussed in their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting) . 184

Table 141. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their care plan..... 184

Table 142. Proportion of people who felt that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life 185

What is NCI-AD?

The National Core Indicators for Aging and Disabilities© (NCI-AD) are standard measures used across participating states to assess the quality of life and outcomes of seniors and adults with physical disabilities—including traumatic or acquired brain injury—who are accessing publicly-funded services through the Older Americans Act (OAA), Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE), Medicaid, and/or state-funded programs. The project is coordinated by the National Association of States United for Aging and Disabilities¹ (NASUAD) and Human Services Research Institute (HSRI). NCI-AD data are gathered through yearly in-person Adult Consumer Surveys administered by state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid Agencies (or an Agency-contracted vendor) to a sample of at least 400 individuals in each participating state. NCI-AD data measure the performance of states' long-term services and supports (LTSS) systems and service recipient outcomes, helping states prioritize quality improvement initiatives, engage in thoughtful decision making, and conduct futures planning with valid and reliable LTSS data. The project officially launched in the summer of 2015 with 13 participating states². The current 2018-2019 project cycle marks its fourth year of implementation, with more than twenty states expected to participate. For more on the development and history of NCI-AD, refer to the [*National Core Indicators Aging and Disability Adult Consumer Survey: 2015-2016 National Results*](#) report, available on the NCI-AD website (www.NCI-AD.org).

NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey

Survey Overview

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey is designed to measure outcomes across eighteen broad domains and key areas of concern. These eighteen domains are comprised of approximately 50 core indicators. Indicators are the standard measures used across states to assess the outcomes of services provided to individuals, including respect and rights, service coordination, care coordination,

¹ NASUAD is the membership organization for state Aging, Disability, and Medicaid directors. www.nasud.org

² Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Minnesota, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas.

employment, health, safety, etc. An example of an indicator in the Service Coordination domain is: “Proportion of people who receive the services that they need.”

While most indicators correspond to a single survey question, a few refer to clusters of related questions. For example, the indicator “Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices” in the Access domain is addressed by several survey questions that ask about the person’s need for various types of home modifications and assistive devices. Figure 1 below details NCI-AD domains and corresponding indicators.

Figure 1. 2017-2018 NCI-AD Domains and indicators

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that support them and with whom they spend time
Relationships	Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day
	Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
	Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
	Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
	Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
	Proportion of people who find out about services from service agencies
	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
	Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to
	Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
	Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up
Care Coordination	Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or long-term care facility who feel comfortable going home
	Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or long-term care facility who have adequate follow-up
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions
Access	Proportion of people who have adequate transportation
	Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.)
	Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language (for non-English speakers)
Safety	Proportion of people who feel safe at home
	Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff
	Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe
	Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed
	Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency
Health Care	Proportion of people who have been to the emergency room in the past 12 months
	Proportion of people who have needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.)
	Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their doctor when they need to
	Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them
Wellness	Proportion of people who are living with a physical disability
	Proportion of people who are in poor health
	Proportion of people who have unaddressed memory concerns

Domain	NCI-AD Indicator
	Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who have a chronic condition(s)
	Proportion of people who have poor hearing
	Proportion of people who have poor vision
Medications	Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed
	Proportion of people who know what their medications are for
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others
	Proportion of people whose staff treat them with respect
Self-Direction of Care	Proportion of people who are self-directing
	Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them
Work	Proportion of people who have a paid job
	Proportion of people who would like a job
	Proportion of people wanting a job who have job search assistance
	Proportion of people who volunteer
	Proportion of people who would like to volunteer
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (e.g. bathing, toileting, eating, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. preparing meals, housework, taking medications, etc.)
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to cut back on food because of money
Planning for future	Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
Control	Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives

Survey Organization

The NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey tool consists of the Pre-Survey form, the Background Information section, the Full In-Person Section, and the Interviewer Feedback form. An alternative Proxy Version of the In-Person Section is available for those interviews that need to be conducted with the proxy of the service recipient instead of him/herself. Each section of the tool is described below.

Pre-Survey form: The Pre-Survey section is an optional form intended to provide surveyors with information that may be helpful to prepare for and schedule the meeting. The Pre-Survey form is for interviewer use only; Pre-Survey data are not submitted to the NCI-AD project team and therefore are not analyzed or included in any reports.

Background Information (BI) section: This section collects demographic and service-related information about the consumer. To the extent possible, data for the BI section are collected from the state's existing administrative records. BI items that are not available from administrative data sources are collected by the surveyor at the end of the interview. Surveyors may collect any missing BI information except for five BI items that must be completed using administrative data sources or agency records only (consumer's LTSS program/primary source of funding, types of services being received through that program, length of receiving services through the program, participation in a self-directed supports option, and legal guardianship status). Each BI item tracks whether information came from existing administrative records or was collected during the survey meeting.

In-Person Section: The Full In-Person Section consists of a total of approximately 90 questions, organized into thematic sub-sections with related questions grouped together (e.g., questions about employment are in the same section; questions about the home are in a separate section, etc.). The Full In-Person Section is completed face-to-face with the person receiving services. The respondent may ask a proxy respondent (e.g. family member or close friend) for assistance with answering some of the questions, if needed. The full In-Person Section includes both subjective and objective questions; proxy assistance is only allowed for a subset of more objective items.

Proxy Version: The Proxy Version is an alternative version of the In-Person Section. It is used in place of the Full In-Person Section when the person receiving services is unable to provide meaningful responses or has asked a proxy respondent to

complete the survey on his/her behalf. The Proxy Version includes only the subset of more objective questions that allow for proxy assistance. Questions are rephrased in third person to reflect they are about the individual receiving services and not about the proxy respondent. The surveyor must meet and attempt to interview the service recipient face to face; only then can the proxy determination be made.

Interviewer Feedback form: The Interviewer Feedback form is completed by the surveyor after the interview is finished and records information about the meeting itself, such as respondent's comprehension, length and place of the meeting, who was present, difficulty of accessing the service recipient, etc. Surveyors are also asked to identify any problematic questions encountered and to provide any input and general feedback they may have for the NCI-AD project team.

NCI-AD in Vermont

Department of Disabilities, Aging & Independent Living (DAIL) Adult Services Division (ASD), in partnership with NASUAD and HSRI, implemented the 2017-2018 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey. DAIL recognized the need for an independent assessment of Vermont's publicly-funded home and community-based services and LTSS for the programs served through ASD and the programs served through the Developmental Disabilities Services Division (DDSD). DDSD has used the National Core Indicators (NCI) In-Person Survey for its developmental disability program for several years; in 2017-2018 ASD launched the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey to allow comparison between LTSS programs. In 2017 DAIL contracted with Vital Research, a national survey group, to conduct the in-person interviews for both NCI and NCI-AD. Data from the NCI-AD and NCI projects will be used to support Vermont's efforts to strengthen LTSS policy, inform quality assurance activities, and improve the quality of life for Vermont's LTSS participants.

Sample

The total number of NCI-AD Adult Consumer Surveys conducted in Vermont and included for analysis in 2017-2018 was four hundred twenty-eight (Total N=428). Two programs – the Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) program and Choices for Care (CFC) were included in the 2017-2018 NCI-AD survey sample. CFC provides LTSS for individuals who are 18 years and over and need nursing

home level of care; service options in CFC include Adult Family Care, Flexible Choices and Traditional Home-Based. These program populations were included in the survey sample and are detailed below.

Choices for Care (CFC), Adult Family Care (AFC): This is a 24-hour, shared living option in the home of another person. Authorized Agencies (AAs) are paid a daily tiered rate to contract with private, unlicensed AFC homes that serve one to two people in a family home setting. AFC homes provide supervision, personal care, laundry/housekeeping and more. Thirteen people (N=13) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Choices for Care (CFC), Flexible Choices: This is a participant- or surrogate-directed home and community-based option that converts a participant's Home-Based Service Plan into a budget allowance. Working with a consultant, the participant develops a plan which details expenditure of the allowance and guides the participant's acquisition of services to meet their needs. Twenty-nine people (N=29) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Choices for Care (CFC), Traditional Home Based: This option provides LTSS for individuals who choose to reside in their own home. Services include case management, intermittent personal care, respite or companion care, adult day services, personal emergency response systems, and assistive devices/home modification allowance. Three hundred sixty-four people (N=364) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Program: The TBI Program provides rehabilitation and life skills services to help Vermonters with a moderate to severe TBI to live successfully in community-based settings. This is a rehabilitation-based, choice-driven program intended to support people to achieve their optimum level of independence and help them return to work. Twenty-two people (N=22) from this program were interviewed and included for analysis.

Figure 2 below summarizes programs included in Vermont's NCI-AD survey sample, the number of survey-eligible service recipients in each and the corresponding number of conducted surveys included for analysis. Also included are calculations of margin of error for each program under two scenarios: assuming a very conservative 0.5 distribution of responses and assuming a somewhat less conservative 0.7 distribution of responses. Using the 0.5 distribution of responses is the most conservative distribution assumption

for calculating margins of error that can be made and is usually used when no prior information is available about true population response distributions. When some prior information about distributions of responses in the population is available, it can be used for calculating less conservative margins of error. Based on distributions observed in previously collected NCI-AD data, it is reasonable to assume a somewhat less conservative population response distribution of 0.7 for calculating margins of error. Calculations in both scenarios use the program’s total number of analyzed surveys. It is important to note that the actual number of valid responses to an individual survey item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys. This is explained in more detail in the “Organization of Results” section below.

Figure 2. Number of survey-eligible service recipients, number of analyzed surveys, and calculations of margins of error by program.

Program	Number of analyzed surveys	Number of eligible participants	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.7 distribution	Margin of error (MoE) and confidence level (CL), assuming 0.5 distribution
CFC, AFC	13	69	22.6% MoE, 95% CL	24.7% MoE, 95% CL
CFC, Flexible Choices	29	122	14.6% MoE, 95% CL	16.0% MoE, 95% CL
CFC, Traditional Home Based	364	1,481	4.1% MoE, 95% CL	4.5% MoE, 95% CL
TBI Program	22	83	16.5% MoE, 95% CL	18.0% MoE, 95% CL
Total	428	1,755	3.8% MoE, 95% CL	4.1% MoE, 95% CL

Survey Process in Vermont

DAIL contracted with Vital Research to hire and manage local interviewers to conduct the NCI-AD in-person survey. DAIL, Vital Research, NASUAD, and HSRI staff conducted a two-day training with interviewers prior to survey implementation. The training included a detailed review of the NCI-AD survey tool, general and population-specific surveying techniques, procedures for scheduling interviews and obtaining consent, overview of the NCI-AD project, guidance for follow-up in cases of unmet needs and/or

abuse, neglect or exploitation, mock interviewing practice sessions, and data entry procedures. Following the training session, interviews began in January and continued through the spring. Final data from the interviews were sent to HSRI for analysis and reporting.

Vermont chose to add 4 state-specific questions to the standard NCI-AD Survey. In addition, Vermont was one of seven states that elected to pilot NCI-AD's new optional module on person-centered planning (PCP).

Stakeholders

Vermont DAIL embraces the understanding that the community we work to build is one which respects the unique strengths and gifts of each of us, celebrates the inclusion of all, and is inherently stronger when each community member is a part of the whole. In this effort, DAIL maintains its focus on outcomes for individual participants. Conducting the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey in our CFC and TBI programs parallels the outcome work and NCI In-Person Survey that DAIL is already implementing for its developmental disability services. Process enhancement and improvement complements our examination of our outcome measures; where we see opportunities to improve our processes to achieve better results, we embrace them and engage staff and stakeholders alike in the assessment and visualization. We anticipate a continued focus on strengthening and improving our systems and look forward to working with the administration, the legislature and our stakeholders across the board to identify where to best put our energies and what changes are most critical to Vermonters.

Organization of Results

The following pages of the report presents findings from Vermont's 2017-2018 NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey data collection cycle. Results are grouped by domain and are presented in chart format. Charts show results for individual survey items broken out by each program. The number of people (N) in each program that gave valid responses to that survey item are also shown. The number of valid responses to an item may be smaller than the total number of analyzed surveys, for the following reasons:

- Certain questions in the survey can only be asked of the service recipient – i.e. proxy respondents for these questions are not allowed. These questions have a smaller number of responses because they are contained only in the full In-Person Survey, whereas the total number of analyzed surveys also includes cases when the Proxy Version was used.
- Only valid responses are included in both the denominator and the numerator when calculating proportions. Unclear, refused and, unless otherwise stated, “don’t know” responses are excluded.
- The survey contains several skip logic patterns. This means that depending on the response to a previous survey item, a question may or may not be asked, as appropriate. When an item is skipped due to skip logic, the survey case does not contribute to the calculations for the item.

Please note: Extreme caution should be used when interpreting results where the number of valid responses is small. Each program’s valid number of responses (valid Ns) is shown in every chart and table in this report. In addition to displaying valid number of responses, charts also use an asterisk to indicate Ns smaller than 20. Responses smaller than 20 should not be used as a basis for firm conclusions and should be treated as suggestive and informational only.

Each chart also contains Vermont’s weighted state average, as well as the total number of observed valid responses for that survey item. A weighted state average takes into account whether the sampling strategy proportionally oversampled one or more of the state’s programs; its calculation effectively “re-balances” the oversampled programs to produce an average one would expect if they were represented proportionally relative to the populations they serve. Vermont’s sampling design did include oversampling of some of its programs – i.e. some programs constituted a larger proportion of the survey sample than they did as proportion of total population of survey-eligible service recipients. To account for these programs being proportionally over-represented in the state’s survey data, statistical weights were developed and applied to calculate Vermont’s weighted state averages presented in the charts. For exact calculations of weights, please contact the NCI-AD project team.

Most survey items with three or more possible response options were recoded to form binary variables for the purposes of analysis (i.e. responses were collapsed, for example, an “always” response combined with a “most of the time” response). For details about recoded items and the rules on collapsing response options, please refer to Appendix A.

Un-collapsed and unweighted data showing frequencies of all response options by program are shown in tabular format in Appendix B. Tables also contain Vermont's unweighted overall sample averages for all response options. Please note that the "sample averages" in tables in Appendix B are simple (unweighted) averages that didn't employ weights in their calculations and may therefore be slightly different from the corresponding weighted state averages shown in the charts.

Data from state-specific questions that Vermont's chose to add to the standard NCI-AD Survey are shown in Appendix C. Data from Vermont's pilot of NCI-AD's new optional PCP module are shown in Appendix D.

Limitations of Report

This report contains survey results related to the quality and outcomes of LTSS in Vermont. However, it does not provide benchmarks for acceptable or unacceptable levels of performance. Rather, it is up to state staff, leadership, and other stakeholders to assess information contained in this report and establish priorities. This report is intended to be one mechanism to assess the current state of Vermont's LTSS system and identify areas that are working well and could use improvement. The charts in this report allow the reader to compare average outcomes between Vermont's programs and the state overall. State leaders, public managers, policy-makers and community stakeholders can use this information to decide whether a program's result relative to another program or to the state average suggests further investigation or intervention is necessary. However, discretion should be used when comparing a program's result relative to another, as it is important to keep in mind the potential differences as well as similarities amongst program participants as well as the programs themselves.

Community Participation

People are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There is one Community Participation indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to participate in preferred activities outside of home when and with whom they want.

There are three³ survey items that correspond to the Community Participation domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


³ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 1. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be



* Very small number of responses

Graph 2. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to⁴



* Very small number of responses

⁴ New question added in 2017-2018

Choice and Decision Making

People are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives and with whom they spend their time.


There is one Choice and Decision-Making indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who are involved in making decisions about their everyday lives including where they live, what they do during the day, the staff that supports them and with whom they spend time

There are four survey items that correspond to the Choice and Decision-Making domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 3. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting⁵ and have roommates)



* Very small number of responses


Graph 4. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to



* Very small number of responses


⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 5. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to



* Very small number of responses

Graph 6. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting⁶)



* Very small number of responses

⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Relationships

People have friends and relationships and do not feel lonely.

There is one Relationship indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to their friends and families when they want to.

There are two⁷ survey items that correspond to the Relationship domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

⁷ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 7. Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)



* Very small number of responses

Satisfaction

People are satisfied with their everyday lives – where they live, who works with them, and what they do during the day.

There are three Satisfaction indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who are satisfied with where they live.
2. Proportion of people who are satisfied with what they do during the day.
3. Proportion of people who are satisfied with staff who work with them.

There are seven⁸ survey items that correspond to the Satisfaction domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


⁸ Data for two items are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 8. Proportion of people who like where they are living




* Very small number of responses

Graph 9. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else




* Very small number of responses

Graph 10. Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day




* Very small number of responses

Graph 11. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often



* Very small number of responses

Graph 12. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done



* Very small number of responses

Service Coordination

Service coordinators are accessible, responsive, and support the person's participation in service planning and the person receives needed services.

There are ten Service Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who know who to call with a complaint, concern, or question about their services
2. Proportion of people whose case manager talks to them about any needs that are not being met
3. Proportion of people who can get in contact with their case manager when they need to
4. Proportion of people who receive the services that they need
5. Proportion of people finding out about services from service agencies
6. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services
7. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place
8. Proportion of people whose support workers come when they are supposed to
9. Proportion of people who use a relative as their support person
10. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their support person doesn't show up

There are twelve⁹ survey items that correspond to the Service Coordination domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.


⁹ Data for two items are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 13. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services¹⁰



* Very small number of responses


Graph 14. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)



* Very small number of responses


¹⁰ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

Graph 15. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to




* Very small number of responses

Graph 16. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place




* Very small number of responses

Graph 17. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs




* Very small number of responses

Graph 18. Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals




* Very small number of responses

Graph 19. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 20. Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often (if someone provides support on a regular basis)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 21. Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)



* Very small number of responses

Graph 22. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff do not show up



* Very small number of responses

Care Coordination

Individuals are provided appropriate coordination of care.


There are three Care Coordination indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people discharged from the hospital or LTC facility who felt comfortable going home.
2. Proportion of people making a transition from hospital or LTC facility who had adequate follow-up.
3. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic conditions.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Care Coordination domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 23. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or back to where they live)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 24. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 25. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year



* Very small number of responses

Graph 26. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)



* Very small number of responses

Access

Publicly funded services are readily available to individuals who need and qualify for them.


There are three Access indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate transportation.
2. Proportion of people who get needed equipment, assistive devices (wheelchairs, grab bars, home modifications, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who have access to information about services in their preferred language.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Access domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 27. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 28. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to




* Very small number of responses

Graph 29. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 30. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them




* Very small number of responses

Graph 31. Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 32. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them




* Very small number of responses

Graph 33. Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 34. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 35. Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 36. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 37. Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 38. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 39. Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 40. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 41. Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 42. Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 43. Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 44. Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 45. Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 46. Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 47. Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 48. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 49. Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 50. Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them




* Very small number of responses

Graph 51. Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade




* Very small number of responses

Graph 52. Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them




* Very small number of responses

Graph 53. Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade



* Very small number of responses


Graph 54. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don't have it¹¹



* Very small number of responses


¹¹ New question added in 2017-2018

Graph 55. Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade¹²



* Very small number of responses


Graph 56. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it



* Very small number of responses

¹² New question added in 2017-2018

Graph 57. Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade



* Very small number of responses

Safety

People feel safe from abuse, neglect, and injury.


There are five Safety indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who feel safe at home.
2. Proportion of people who feel safe around their staff/ caregiver.
3. Proportion of people who feel that their belongings are safe.
4. Proportion of people whose fear of falling is managed.
5. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency.

There are seven survey items that correspond to the Safety domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 58. Proportion of people who feel safe at home




* Very small number of responses

Graph 59. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff




* Very small number of responses

Graph 60. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings




* Very small number of responses

Graph 61. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months




* Very small number of responses

Graph 62. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable




* Very small number of responses

Graph 63. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk



* Very small number of responses

Graph 64. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire



* Very small number of responses

Health Care

People secure needed health services.


There are four Health Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have been to the ER in the past 12 months.
2. Proportion of people who have had needed health screenings and vaccinations in a timely manner (e.g., vision, hearing, dental, etc.)
3. Proportion of people who can get an appointment with their doctor when they need to.
4. Proportion of people who have access to mental health services when they need them.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Health Care domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 65. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 66. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance




* Very small number of responses

Graph 67. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain




* Very small number of responses

Graph 68. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to




* Very small number of responses

Graph 69. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months




* Very small number of responses

Graph 70. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 71. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 72. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 73. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year




* Very small number of responses

Graph 74. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year



* Very small number of responses

Graph 75. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years



Wellness

People are supported to maintain health.


There are eight Wellness indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people living with a physical disability
2. Proportion of people in poor health.
3. Proportion of people with unaddressed memory concerns.
4. Proportion of people with poor hearing.
5. Proportion of people with poor vision.
6. Proportion of people who have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis.
7. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed.
8. Proportion of people who have a chronic condition.

There are ten survey items that correspond to the Wellness domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 76. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor




* Very small number of responses

Graph 77. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better compared to 12 months ago




* Very small number of responses

Graph 78. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months




* Very small number of responses

Graph 79. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse




* Very small number of responses

Graph 80. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis



* Very small number of responses


Graph 81. Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed¹³



* Very small number of responses


¹³ Analysis changed in 2017-2018 – “often” is no longer combined with “sometimes”

Graph 82. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 83. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor (with hearing aids, if wears any)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 84. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)



* Very small number of responses

Graph 85. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability



* Very small number of responses

Medications

Medications are managed effectively and appropriately.


There are two Medication indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people taking medications that help them feel less sad/depressed.
2. Proportion of people who know what their medications are for.

There are two survey items that correspond to the Medication domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 86. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed



* Very small number of responses

Graph 87. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)¹⁴



* Very small number of responses

¹⁴ Question changed in 2017-2018 – no longer allows for proxies

Rights and Respect

People receive the same respect and protections as others in the community.


There are two Rights and Respect indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people whose basic rights are respected by others.
2. Proportion of people whose staff/worker/caregiver treat them with respect.

There are eight survey items that correspond to the Rights and Respect domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 88. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect



* Very small number of responses


Graph 89. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting¹⁵)



* Very small number of responses


¹⁵ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 90. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)¹⁶



* Very small number of responses

Graph 91. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)¹⁷




* Very small number of responses

¹⁶ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home


¹⁷ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 92. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)¹⁸



* Very small number of responses

Graph 93. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)¹⁹




* Very small number of responses

¹⁸ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home


¹⁹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Graph 94. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting²⁰)



* Very small number of responses

Graph 95. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting²¹)



* Very small number of responses

²⁰ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

²¹ Group/adult family/foster/host home, assisted living/residential care facility, nursing facility/nursing home

Self-Direction of Care

People have authority and are supported to direct and manage their own services.


There are two Self-Direction of Care indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people self-directing.
2. Proportion of people who can choose or change the kind of services they receive and who provides them.

There are four survey items that correspond to the Self-Direction of Care domain. Except for Graph 96 (proportion of people participating in a self-directed supports option), all results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 96. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 97. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get




* Very small number of responses

Graph 98. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services



* Very small number of responses

Graph 99. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to



* Very small number of responses

Work

People have support to find and maintain community integrated employment if they want it.


There are five Work indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have a paid job.
2. Proportion of people who would like a job.
3. Proportion of people who have had job search assistance.
4. Proportion of people who volunteer.
5. Proportion of people who would like to volunteer.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Work domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 100. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community




* Very small number of responses

Graph 101. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 102. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options




* Very small number of responses

Graph 103. Proportion of people who do volunteer work



* Very small number of responses

Graph 104. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)



* Very small number of responses

Everyday Living

People have enough supports for everyday living.


There are two Everyday Living indicators measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have adequate support to perform activities of daily living (bathing, toileting, taking meds, etc.) and instrumental activities of daily living (cleaning, laundry, etc.)
2. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods.

There are five survey items that correspond to the Everyday Living domain. All results are participant-reported.


Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 105. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 106. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it




* Very small number of responses

Graph 107. Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)




* Very small number of responses

Graph 108. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it



* Very small number of responses

Graph 109. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them



* Very small number of responses

Affordability

People have enough available resources.


There is one Affordability indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:

1. Proportion of people who have ever had to cut back on food because of money.

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Affordability domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

Graph 110. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries



* Very small number of responses

Planning for future

People have support to plan and make decision about the future.

There is one Planning for Future indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who want help planning for future need for services²².

There is one survey item that corresponds to the Planning for Future domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

²² This indicator also appears in the Service Coordination domain.

Graph 111. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs



* Very small number of responses

Control

People feel in control of their lives

There is one Control indicator measured by the NCI-AD Adult Consumer Survey:


1. Proportion of people who feel in control of their lives.

There are two²³ survey items that corresponds to the Control domain. All results are participant-reported.

Un-collapsed data are shown in Appendix B.

²³ Data for one item are presented in Appendix B only.

Graph 112. Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life



* Very small number of responses

Appendix A: Rules for Recoding and Collapsing Responses

Table A1 below details collapsing rules for recoding survey items with three or more response options into binary variables used for analysis. The table also specifies which graphs in this report contain recoded items, as well as their associated NCI-AD domains. Unless otherwise stated, “Don’t Know” and “Unclear/Refused” responses are excluded from both numerator and denominator.

Table A1. Outcome Variables – Collapsing Rules

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
Community Participation	Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be	1	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
Choice and Decision Making	Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to	4	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to	5	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they want to (if in group setting)	6	Collapse “In most ways” and “Only in some ways, or not at all”
Relationships	Proportion of people who are always able to see or talk to friends and family when they want to (if there are friends and family who do not live with person)	7	Collapse “Most of the time, usually, or some family and/or friends” and “No, or rarely”
Satisfaction	Proportion of people who like where they are living	8	Collapse “In-between, most of the time” and “No”
	Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else	9	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe”
	Proportion of people who always or almost always like how they spend their time during the day	10	Collapse “Some days, sometimes” and “No, never”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often	11	Collapse “Yes” and “Some, or sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done	12	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”
Service Coordination	Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services	13	Collapse “Not sure, maybe” and “No”
	Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)	14	Collapse “Most of the time, usually” and “No, or only sometimes”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to	15	Collapse “Some, or usually” and “No, never or rarely”
	Proportion of people whose services meet all their needs and goals	18	Collapse “No, not at all” and “Some needs and goals”
	Proportion of people whose family member (paid or unpaid) is the person who helps them most often	20	Collapse “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
	Proportion of people who have a family member (paid or unpaid) providing additional assistance (if someone provides support on a regular basis)	21	Add proportions: “Paid family member or spouse/partner” and “Unpaid family member or spouse/partner”
Care Coordination	Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year	24	Collapse “No” and “In-between”
	Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)	26	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some conditions”
Access	Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home	27	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to	28	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)	29	Collapse “No” and “Some information”
	Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but do not have them	30	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home but need an upgrade	31	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but do not have them	32	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have bathroom modifications (other than grab bars) but need an upgrade	33	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a specialized bed but do not have it	34	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a specialized bed but need an upgrade	35	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but do not have it	36	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home but need an upgrade	37	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system but do not have it	38	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a remote monitoring system but need an upgrade	39	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need an emergency response system but do not have it	40	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have an emergency response system but need an upgrade	41	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other home modification but do not have it	42	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have some other home modification but need an upgrade	43	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a walker but do not have it	44	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a walker but need an upgrade	45	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a scooter but do not have it	46	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a scooter but need an upgrade	47	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a wheelchair but do not have it	48	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a wheelchair but need an upgrade	49	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need hearing aids but do not have them	50	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have hearing aids but need an upgrade	51	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need glasses but do not have them	52	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
	Proportion of people who have glasses but need an upgrade	53	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine but don’t have it	54	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have a CPAP machine but need an upgrade	55	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who need some other assistive device but do not have it	56	Collapse “Has one, but needs upgrade”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
	Proportion of people who have some other assistive device but need an upgrade	57	Collapse “Needs one”, “Has one and doesn’t need upgrade”, and “Doesn’t need”
Safety	Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable	62	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Health Care	Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to	68	Collapse “Usually” and “No, rarely”
Wellness	Proportion of people in poor health	76	Collapse “Excellent”, “Very good”, “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose health has gotten better compared to 12 months ago	77	Collapse “Much better” and “Somewhat better”; Collapse “Much worse”, “Somewhat worse” and “About the same”
	Proportion of people who often feel sad or depressed	81	Collapse “Never, or almost never”, “Not often”, and “Sometimes”
	Proportion of people whose hearing is poor	83	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”
	Proportion of people whose vision is poor	84	Collapse “Good” and “Fair”
Medications	Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for	87	Collapse “No” and “In-between, or some medications”

Domain	Item	Graph #	Collapsing Logic
Rights and Respect	Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect	88	Collapse “No, never or rarely” and “Some, or usually”
	Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)	89	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
	Proportion of people who have enough privacy in their home (if in group setting)	91	Collapse “Sometimes, rarely or never” and “Usually, but not always”
	Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)	93	Collapse “No, never or rarely” and “Usually”
Self-Direction of Care	Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get	97	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services	98	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some services”
	Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they wanted to	99	Collapse “No” and “Sometimes, or some”
Work	Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)	101	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
	Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)	104	Collapse “Yes” and “Maybe, not sure”
Everyday Living	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)	105	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
	Proportion of people who generally need at least some assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)	107	Collapse “A lot” and “Some”
	Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them	109	Collapse “No, never” and “Sometimes”
Affordability	Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries	110	Collapse “Yes, often” and “Sometimes”
Control	Proportion of people who never feel in control of their life	112	Collapse “Yes, almost always, always” and “In-between, sometimes”

Appendix B: Un-Collapsed and Un-Weighted Data

Demographic Tables

Table 1. Average age (reported for those under 90 years of age)

	Average Age	N
CFC, AFC	64.3	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	50.2	28
CFC, Traditional Home Based	67.9	329
TBI Program	42.0	22
Sample Average	65.0	391

Table 2. Age: 90 years and over

	Under 90	90 and Over	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	92%	8%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	97%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	90%	10%	0%	364
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	91%	9%	0%	428

Table 3. Gender

	Male	Female	Other	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	46%	54%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	41%	59%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	38%	62%	0%	0%	364
TBI Program	73%	27%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	41%	59%	0%	0%	428

Table 4. Race and ethnicity

	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African-American	Pacific Islander	White	Hispanic or Latino	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	1%	10%	2%	0%	85%	1%	2%	1%	364
TBI Program	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	5%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	1%	8%	1%	0%	87%	1%	2%	1%	428

Table 5. Marital status

	Single, Never Married	Married or Has Domestic Partner	Separated or Divorced	Widowed	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	46%	8%	31%	15%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	52%	21%	14%	14%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	16%	32%	23%	29%	2%	364
TBI Program	68%	5%	27%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	22%	29%	22%	26%	1%	428

Table 6. Primary language

	English	Spanish	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	100%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	85%	1%	14%	0%	364
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	88%	0%	12%	0%	428

Table 7. Type of residential area²⁴

	Metropolitan	Micropolitan	Rural	Small town	Unknown	N
CFC, AFC	23%	38%	15%	23%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	48%	17%	28%	7%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	31%	23%	24%	21%	0%	364
TBI Program	27%	50%	23%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	32%	24%	24%	19%	0%	428

Table 8. Type of residence

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	8%	0%	54%	0%	0%	0%	38%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	90%	3%	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	87%	10%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	364
TBI Program	45%	0%	18%	0%	0%	0%	36%	0%	22
Sample Average	83%	9%	3%	0%	1%	0%	4%	0%	428

Table 9. Who the person lives with

	Alone	Spouse or Partner	Other Family	Friend(s)	Live-in PCA	Others	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	54%	46%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	21%	21%	52%	3%	3%	7%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	34%	30%	37%	2%	3%	2%	1%	364
TBI Program	18%	0%	18%	5%	45%	18%	0%	22
Sample Average	32%	27%	36%	2%	7%	4%	1%	428

²⁴ Categories created using zip codes and corresponding RUCA codes: Metropolitan - Metropolitan area core, high commuting low commuting; Micropolitan - Micropolitan area core, high commuting, low commuting; Small town - Small town core, high commuting, low commuting; Rural

Table 10. Address changed in the past 6 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	77%	23%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	90%	10%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	88%	9%	3%	364
TBI Program	82%	18%	0%	22
Sample Average	87%	10%	2%	428

Table 11. Where the person moved from (if address changed in the past 6 months)

	Own or Family House/Apt	Senior Living Apt/Complex	Group/Adult Family/ Foster/ Host Home	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Nursing Facility/ Nursing Home	Homeless/ Temporary Shelter	Other	Don't Know/ Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3
CFC, Traditional Home Based	79%	3%	0%	0%	6%	6%	6%	0%	34
TBI Program	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	0%	4
Sample Average	70%	2%	2%	0%	7%	5%	14%	0%	44

Table 12. Formal diagnosis: physical disability

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	38%	62%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	7%	93%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	37%	57%	6%	364
TBI Program	59%	41%	0%	22
Sample Average	36%	59%	5%	428

Table 13. Formal diagnosis: Alzheimer’s disease or other dementia

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	62%	38%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	90%	7%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	66%	25%	9%	364
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	69%	23%	8%	428

Table 14. Formal diagnosis: traumatic or acquired brain injury

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	38%	62%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	83%	10%	7%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	86%	6%	8%	364
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	22
Sample Average	80%	13%	7%	428

Table 15. Formal diagnosis: intellectual or other developmental disability

	No	Yes	Don’t Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	85%	15%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	79%	14%	7%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	83%	4%	12%	364
TBI Program	95%	5%	0%	22
Sample Average	84%	5%	11%	428

Table 16. Level of mobility

	Non-ambulatory	Moves Self with Wheelchair	Moves Self with Other Aids	Moves Self Without Aids	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	15%	23%	31%	31%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	21%	45%	17%	17%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	12%	23%	48%	17%	1%	364
TBI Program	14%	5%	14%	68%	0%	22
Sample Average	12%	23%	43%	20%	1%	428

Table 17. History of frequent falls (more than two in a six-month period)

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	62%	31%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	72%	3%	24%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	65%	30%	5%	364
TBI Program	82%	14%	5%	22
Sample Average	66%	28%	6%	428

Table 18. Receives Medicare

	No	Yes	Don't Know/Unclear	N
CFC, AFC	38%	54%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	24%	59%	17%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	22%	75%	3%	364
TBI Program	68%	32%	0%	22
Sample Average	25%	71%	4%	428

Table 19. Length of receiving LTSS in current program

	0-5 months	6 months-less than 1 year	1 year-less than 3 years	3 or more years	Don't know	N
CFC, AFC	8%	15%	54%	15%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	10%	17%	72%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	17%	31%	48%	1%	364
TBI Program	0%	9%	14%	14%	64%	22
Sample Average	3%	16%	30%	47%	4%	428

Table 20. Has legal guardian

	No	Yes	Don't Know	N
CFC, AFC	54%	46%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	90%	10%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	93%	6%	1%	364
TBI Program	64%	36%	0%	22
Sample Average	90%	9%	0%	428

Community Participation — un-collapsed tables

Table 21. Proportion of people who are as active in the community as they would like to be

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	8%	58%	17%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	52%	13%	30%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	50%	7%	37%	2%	3%	295
TBI Program	43%	10%	48%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	48%	7%	38%	3%	3%	351

Table 22a. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be

	Cost/Money	Transportation	Accessibility/Lack of Equipment	Health Limitations	Not Enough Staffing/Assistance	Feeling Unwelcome in Community	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Flexible Choices	20%	53%	53%	53%	53%	13%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	26%	42%	31%	73%	20%	6%	168
TBI Program	45%	55%	27%	55%	55%	27%	11
Sample Average	27%	43%	32%	70%	24%	8%	196

Table 22b. Reasons that people are not as active in the community as they would like to be (continued)

	Feeling Unsafe	No Community Activities Outside of Home	Lack of Info/Doesn't Know What's Available	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	27%	27%	7%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	10%	8%	18%	11%	1%	1%	168
TBI Program	55%	18%	64%	36%	0%	0%	11
Sample Average	11%	10%	22%	13%	1%	1%	196

Table 23. Proportion of people who get to do the things they enjoy outside of their home as much as they want to

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	75%	0%	17%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	43%	52%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	46%	47%	3%	4%	295
TBI Program	38%	62%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	44%	49%	3%	4%	351

Choice and Decision Making — un-collapsed

Table 24. Proportion of people who are able to choose their roommate (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	1
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	0%	2
Sample Average	100%	0%	0%	0%	3

Table 25. Proportion of people who get up and go to bed when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, Or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	8%	83%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	13%	9%	78%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	5%	87%	0%	3%	294
TBI Program	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	5%	5%	87%	0%	2%	349

Table 26. Proportion of people who can eat their meals when they want to

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, Or Almost Always	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	9%	87%	0%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	6%	85%	1%	0%	2%	294
TBI Program	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	5%	6%	86%	1%	0%	2%	349

Table 27. Proportion of people who are able to furnish and decorate their room however they wan to (if in group setting)

	Only in Some Ways, Or Not At All	In Most Ways	In All Ways	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	20%	60%	0%	20%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	10%	30%	50%	0%	10%	10

Relationships — un-collapsed

Table 28. Proportion of people who are able to see or talk to friends/family when they want to (if there are friends and family who don't live with them)

	No, or Rarely	Most of the Time, Usually, or Some Family and/or Friends	Yes, Always, or Chooses Not to	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	9%	18%	73%	0%	0%	11
CFC, Flexible Choices	5%	23%	73%	0%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	13%	82%	0%	0%	264
TBI Program	10%	25%	65%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	5%	15%	80%	0%	0%	317

Table 29. Reasons people aren't always able to see friends/family

	Availability of Transportation	Accessibility	Staffing/Personal Assistance Unavailable	Health Limitations	Someone Prevents Them or There are Restrictions	Other	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	50%	33%	50%	50%	0%	33%	0%	6
CFC, Traditional Home Based	36%	28%	11%	36%	4%	32%	9%	47
TBI Program	57%	14%	0%	0%	0%	29%	0%	7
Sample Average	38%	29%	13%	32%	3%	32%	6%	63

Satisfaction — un-collapsed

Table 30. Proportion of people who like where they are living

	No	In-between, Most of the Time	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	15%	77%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	9%	87%	0%	4%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	6%	13%	80%	0%	0%	295
TBI Program	5%	5%	90%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	6%	13%	81%	0%	0%	352

Table 31a. Reasons for not liking where people live

	Accessibility	Feels Unsafe In/ Dislikes Neighborhood	Feels Unsafe in Residence	Residence/Building Needs Repairs or Upkeep	Doesn't Feel Like Home	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Traditional Home Based	17%	14%	9%	17%	14%	58
TBI Program	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	2
Sample Average	15%	12%	9%	17%	12%	65

Table 31b. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

	Layout/Size of Residence/Building	Problems with Neighbors/Residents/ Housemates/Roommates	Problems with Staff	Insufficient Amount/ Type of Staff	Wants More Independence/ Control	N
CFC, AFC	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Traditional Home Based	21%	29%	3%	3%	5%	58
TBI Program	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2
Sample Average	18%	29%	3%	3%	5%	65

Table 31c. Reasons for not liking where people live (continued)

	Wants More Privacy	Wants to Be Closer to Family/ Friends	Feels Isolated from Community/ Feels Lonely	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	33%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	3%	7%	26%	3%	2%	58
TBI Program	0%	50%	50%	50%	0%	0%	2
Sample Average	3%	5%	8%	26%	5%	3%	65

Table 32. Proportion of people who would prefer to live somewhere else

	No	Maybe	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	69%	0%	23%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	61%	13%	22%	4%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	72%	5%	23%	1%	295
TBI Program	52%	10%	38%	0%	21
Sample Average	70%	5%	24%	1%	352

Table 33a. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else)

	Own/Different Own House/Apt	Family Member's House/Apt	Assisted Living/ Residential Care Facility	Group Home/Adult Family Home/Shared Living	N
CFC, AFC	33%	33%	0%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	88%	0%	0%	0%	8
CFC, Traditional Home Based	56%	4%	6%	0%	81
TBI Program	70%	0%	0%	10%	10
Sample Average	59%	4%	5%	1%	102

Table 33b. Where people would prefer to live (if would prefer to live somewhere else, continued)

	Nursing Facility	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	33%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	13%	0%	8
CFC, Traditional Home Based	0%	28%	6%	0%	81
TBI Program	0%	20%	0%	0%	10
Sample Average	0%	25%	7%	0%	102

Table 34. Proportion of people who like how they usually spend their time during the day

	No, Never	Some Days, Sometimes	Yes, Always, or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	17%	83%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	35%	61%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	12%	30%	55%	1%	3%	294
TBI Program	10%	20%	70%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	11%	29%	58%	1%	2%	349

Table 35. Proportion of people whose paid support staff change too often

	No	Some or Sometimes	Yes	N/A – Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	25%	0%	0%	63%	13%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	60%	10%	10%	15%	0%	5%	20
CFC, Traditional Home Based	59%	12%	11%	17%	0%	1%	229
TBI Program	57%	7%	36%	0%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	58%	11%	12%	17%	1%	1%	271

Table 36. Proportion of people whose paid support staff do things the way they want them done

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	88%	0%	13%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	15%	80%	5%	0%	20
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	20%	77%	1%	0%	229
TBI Program	7%	21%	64%	0%	7%	14
Sample Average	2%	19%	77%	1%	1%	271

Service Coordination — un-collapsed

Table 37. Proportion of people who know whom to contact if they want to make changes to their services

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	15%	8%	62%	15%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	13%	9%	78%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	19%	9%	68%	4%	293
TBI Program	24%	10%	67%	0%	21
Sample Average	19%	9%	69%	4%	350

Table 38. Proportion of people who can reach their case manager/care coordinator when they need to (if know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No, or Only Sometimes	Most of the Time, Usually	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	20%	80%	0%	0%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	9%	91%	0%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	6%	16%	76%	1%	0%	341
TBI Program	10%	10%	81%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	6%	15%	77%	1%	0%	394

Table 39. Proportion of people whose paid support staff show up and leave when they are supposed to

	No, Never or Rarely	Some or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	18%	68%	14%	0%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	0%	12%	73%	15%	0%	0%	255
TBI Program	0%	14%	86%	0%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	0%	12%	72%	15%	0%	0%	299

Table 40. Proportion of people who have an emergency plan in place

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	23%	46%	23%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	55%	41%	0%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	52%	40%	6%	2%	363
TBI Program	36%	55%	9%	0%	22
Sample Average	51%	41%	6%	2%	427

Table 41. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	50%	8%	33%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	61%	35%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	50%	38%	8%	4%	291
TBI Program	45%	55%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	50%	38%	8%	4%	346

Table 42. Proportion of people whose services meet their needs and goals

	No, Not at All, Needs or Goals Are Not Met	Some Needs and Goals	Yes, Completely, All Needs and Goals	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	17%	75%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	3%	38%	55%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	6%	30%	62%	1%	1%	358
TBI Program	10%	38%	52%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	6%	30%	61%	1%	1%	420

Table 43a. Additional services that might help

	Skilled Nursing Facility, Nursing Home Services	Personal Care Assistance, Personal Care Services	Home Maker/ Chore Services	Healthcare Home Services, Home Health	Home Delivered Meals	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	17%	14%	7%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	11%	8%	9%	5%	359
TBI Program	0%	10%	0%	5%	5%	21
Sample Average	2%	11%	8%	8%	5%	421

Table 43b. Additional services that might help (continued)

	Adult Day Services	Transportation	Respite/Family Caregiver Support	Health Care	Mental Health Care	Dental Care	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	7%	21%	7%	0%	0%	10%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	4%	17%	9%	5%	2%	9%	359
TBI Program	10%	19%	14%	5%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	5%	17%	10%	4%	2%	8%	421

Table 43c. Additional services that might help (continued)

	Housing Assistance	Heating/Cooling Assistance	Hospice	Funeral Planning	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	3%	0%	0%	38%	10%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	10%	6%	1%	1%	23%	6%	1%	359
TBI Program	5%	0%	0%	0%	52%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	10%	5%	0%	1%	24%	6%	1%	421

Table 44. Proportion of people whose case manager/care coordinator talked to them about services that might help with any unmet needs and goals (if have unmet needs and goals and know they have case manager/care coordinator)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Flexible Choices	11%	89%	0%	0%	9
CFC, Traditional Home Based	20%	76%	4%	1%	123
TBI Program	44%	56%	0%	0%	9
Sample Average	20%	76%	3%	1%	143

Table 45a. How people first find out about the services available to them

	Friend	Family	Area Agency on Aging or Aging and Disability Resource Center	Center for Independent Living	State or County Agency	N
CFC, AFC	8%	8%	15%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	32%	7%	0%	11%	28
CFC, Traditional Home Based	7%	18%	22%	1%	6%	360
TBI Program	9%	41%	0%	0%	9%	22
Sample Average	7%	20%	20%	1%	6%	423

Table 45b. How people first find out about the services available to them (continued)

	Case Manager/ Care Coordinator	Doctor	Other Provider	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	15%	0%	38%	15%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	21%	11%	21%	4%	11%	0%	28
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	17%	24%	8%	6%	1%	360
TBI Program	9%	9%	27%	9%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	13%	16%	23%	7%	7%	1%	423

Table 46a. Who helps most often

	Nobody Provides Support on a Regular Basis	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	31%	34%	0%	31%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	29%	43%	3%	22%	3%	358
TBI Program	80%	0%	0%	5%	10%	20
Sample Average	34%	39%	2%	21%	3%	419

Table 46b. Who helps most often (continued)

	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	1%	0%	1%	0%	358
TBI Program	0%	5%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	1%	0%	0%	0%	419

Table 47a. Who else helps (if anybody provides support on a regular basis)

	Paid Support Worker - Not a Friend or Relative	Paid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	Paid Friend	Unpaid Family Member or Spouse/Partner	N
CFC, AFC	42%	0%	0%	17%	298
CFC, Flexible Choices	62%	14%	7%	55%	289
CFC, Traditional Home Based	40%	12%	4%	52%	290
TBI Program	45%	5%	0%	45%	304
Sample Average	42%	12%	4%	51%	228

Table 47b. Who else helps (continued)

	Unpaid Friend or Volunteer	Other	No One Else Provides Support	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	17%	17%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	17%	3%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	18%	3%	8%	0%	0%	355
TBI Program	15%	10%	0%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	18%	3%	8%	0%	0%	416

Table 48. Proportion of people who have a backup plan if their paid support staff don't show up

	No	Yes	Paid Support Staff Is Live-In	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	13%	63%	25%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	18%	73%	9%	0%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	27%	56%	15%	1%	0%	255
TBI Program	14%	79%	0%	0%	7%	14
Sample Average	25%	57%	15%	2%	1%	299

Care Coordination — un-collapsed

Table 49. Proportion of people who stayed overnight in a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year (and were discharged to go home or where they live)

	Yes	No	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	67%	17%	8%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	62%	38%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	54%	44%	1%	1%	360
TBI Program	67%	33%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	56%	42%	1%	1%	422

Table 50. Proportion of people who felt comfortable and supported enough to go home (or where they live) after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	In-between	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Flexible Choices	9%	0%	82%	0%	9%	11
CFC, Traditional Home Based	8%	8%	83%	1%	1%	159
TBI Program	0%	14%	86%	0%	0%	7
Sample Average	7%	7%	83%	1%	1%	179

Table 51. Proportion of people who had someone follow up with them after being discharged from a hospital or rehabilitation facility in the past year

	No	Yes	Didn't Need or Want Follow-Up Care	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	2
CFC, Flexible Choices	27%	64%	0%	0%	9%	11
CFC, Traditional Home Based	10%	85%	1%	3%	1%	159
TBI Program	43%	57%	0%	0%	0%	7
Sample Average	12%	83%	1%	3%	2%	179

Table 52. Proportion of people who know how to manage their chronic condition(s) (if has chronic conditions)

	No	In-between, Some Conditions	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	9%	82%	0%	9%	11
CFC, Flexible Choices	12%	16%	64%	8%	0%	25
CFC, Traditional Home Based	4%	11%	83%	1%	1%	310
TBI Program	0%	6%	94%	0%	0%	18
Sample Average	4%	11%	82%	2%	1%	364

Access — un-collapsed

Table 53. Proportion of people who have transportation when they want to do things outside of their home (non-medical)

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Doesn't Want to	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	25%	58%	8%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	10%	69%	7%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	15%	13%	63%	7%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	14%	18%	68%	0%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	15%	13%	64%	7%	1%	1%	426

Table 54. Proportion of people who have transportation to get to medical appointments when they need to

	No	Sometimes	Yes	Doesn't Go to Medical Appointments	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	3%	97%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	5%	89%	4%	0%	0%	363
TBI Program	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	2%	5%	91%	3%	0%	0%	426

Table 55. Proportion of people who receive information about their services in the language they prefer (if non-English)

	No	Some Information	Yes, All Information	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Flexible Choices	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1
CFC, Traditional Home Based	19%	19%	52%	3%	6%	63
TBI Program	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	1
Sample Average	20%	18%	52%	3%	6%	65

Table 56. Proportion of people who need grab bars in the bathroom or elsewhere in their home

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	31%	54%	8%	8%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	10%	72%	10%	3%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	14%	64%	9%	12%	0%	0%	363
TBI Program	36%	50%	5%	9%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	15%	64%	9%	11%	0%	0%	427

Table 57. Proportion of people who need bathroom modifications (other than grab bars)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	54%	31%	8%	8%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	10%	62%	7%	17%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	25%	48%	8%	16%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	73%	14%	0%	14%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	28%	47%	8%	16%	1%	1%	427

Table 58. Proportion of people who need a specialized bed

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	38%	62%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	45%	31%	17%	7%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	47%	28%	10%	14%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	73%	9%	5%	14%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	48%	28%	10%	13%	1%	0%	427

Table 59. Proportion of people who need a ramp or stair lift in or outside the home

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	46%	46%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	34%	38%	21%	3%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	44%	27%	10%	17%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	77%	9%	5%	5%	5%	0%	22
Sample Average	45%	27%	11%	15%	1%	1%	427

Table 60. Proportion of people who need a remote monitoring system

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	62%	15%	8%	0%	8%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	86%	3%	3%	7%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	81%	5%	1%	9%	4%	0%	363
TBI Program	91%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	81%	5%	2%	8%	3%	0%	427

Table 61. Proportion of people who need an emergency response system

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	85%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	66%	14%	10%	7%	0%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	31%	51%	6%	11%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	77%	9%	0%	14%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	37%	44%	6%	10%	1%	1%	427

Table 62. Proportion of people who need some other home modification(s)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	85%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	69%	17%	0%	3%	7%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	70%	10%	3%	10%	4%	2%	363
TBI Program	77%	14%	0%	9%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	71%	10%	3%	9%	4%	2%	427

Table 63. Proportion of people who need a walker

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	75%	17%	8%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	66%	31%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	30%	58%	9%	2%	0%	1%	363
TBI Program	77%	18%	5%	0%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	36%	53%	8%	2%	0%	0%	426

Table 64. Proportion of people who need a scooter

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	76%	17%	3%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	76%	6%	3%	14%	1%	0%	363
TBI Program	91%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	22
Sample Average	77%	6%	3%	13%	1%	0%	426

Table 65. Proportion of people who need a wheelchair

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	50%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	17%	38%	41%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	32%	38%	21%	8%	1%	1%	363
TBI Program	82%	9%	9%	0%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	34%	36%	21%	7%	0%	0%	426

Table 66. Proportion of people who need hearing aids

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	75%	17%	8%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	79%	3%	3%	10%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	69%	9%	7%	12%	2%	1%	363
TBI Program	95%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	71%	8%	6%	12%	2%	1%	426

Table 67. Proportion of people who need glasses

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	31%	28%	31%	7%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	21%	43%	27%	7%	2%	0%	362
TBI Program	9%	45%	27%	18%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	21%	41%	28%	8%	2%	0%	425

Table 68. Proportion of people who need a CPAP machine

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	83%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	79%	17%	3%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	80%	8%	4%	5%	2%	1%	362
TBI Program	77%	5%	5%	14%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	80%	8%	4%	5%	2%	1%	425

Table 69. Proportion of people who need some other assistive device(s)

	Doesn't Have and Doesn't Need	Has, Doesn't Need an Upgrade	Has, But Needs an Upgrade	Needs, But Doesn't Have	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	58%	25%	0%	8%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	21%	62%	3%	7%	0%	7%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	63%	23%	4%	4%	5%	1%	362
TBI Program	73%	14%	5%	9%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	60%	25%	4%	5%	4%	2%	425

Safety — un-collapsed

Table 70. Proportion of people who feel safe at home

	Rarely or Never	Always or Most of the Time	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	100%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	94%	1%	3%	295
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	2%	95%	1%	3%	352

Table 71. Proportion of people who feel safe around their paid support staff

	No, Not All Paid Support Staff or Not Always	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	5%	95%	0%	0%	20
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	97%	0%	0%	229
TBI Program	7%	93%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	3%	97%	0%	0%	271

Table 72. Proportion of people who are ever worried for the security of their personal belongings

	No, Never	Yes, At Least Sometimes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	92%	8%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	74%	26%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	82%	16%	0%	2%	295
TBI Program	71%	29%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	81%	17%	0%	2%	352

Table 73. Proportion of people whose money was taken or used without their permission in the last 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	85%	15%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	96%	0%	0%	4%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	86%	10%	0%	4%	295
TBI Program	90%	5%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	87%	9%	0%	3%	352

Table 74. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable

	No	Sometimes	Yes, Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	31%	38%	31%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	41%	28%	31%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	27%	17%	55%	0%	1%	363
TBI Program	55%	14%	32%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	29%	18%	52%	0%	1%	427

Table 75. Proportion of people with concerns about falling or being unstable who had somebody talk to them or work with them to reduce the risk

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	33%	56%	0%	11%	9
CFC, Flexible Choices	12%	76%	0%	12%	17
CFC, Traditional Home Based	18%	82%	0%	0%	263
TBI Program	30%	60%	0%	10%	10
Sample Average	18%	80%	0%	1%	299

Table 76. Proportion of people who are able to get to safety quickly in case of an emergency like a house fire

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	85%	8%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	38%	55%	7%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	23%	69%	7%	2%	363
TBI Program	5%	91%	5%	0%	22
Sample Average	22%	70%	7%	2%	427

Health Care — un-collapsed

Table 77. Proportion of people who have gone to the emergency room for any reason in the past year

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	42%	33%	17%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	45%	52%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	40%	58%	2%	0%	360
TBI Program	43%	52%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	41%	57%	2%	0%	422

Table 78. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to falling or losing balance

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	4
CFC, Flexible Choices	67%	33%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	70%	30%	0%	0%	209
TBI Program	64%	36%	0%	0%	11
Sample Average	70%	30%	0%	0%	239

Table 79. Proportion of people whose emergency room visit in the past year was due to tooth or mouth pain

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	4
CFC, Flexible Choices	100%	0%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	97%	2%	0%	0%	209
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	0%	11
Sample Average	97%	2%	0%	0%	239

Table 80. Proportion of people who can get an appointment to see their primary care doctor when they need to

	No, Rarely	Usually	Yes, Always	Doesn't Have a Primary Care Doctor	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	17%	75%	0%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	21%	79%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	6%	16%	74%	2%	1%	1%	360
TBI Program	10%	24%	67%	0%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	6%	17%	74%	1%	1%	1%	422

Table 81. Proportion of people sometimes or more often feeling sad and depressed who have talked to someone about it during the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	27%	73%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	28%	71%	1%	1%	156
TBI Program	18%	82%	0%	0%	11
Sample Average	29%	70%	1%	1%	187

Table 82. Proportion of people who have had a physical exam or wellness visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	83%	0%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	17%	79%	0%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	18%	78%	0%	3%	1%	360
TBI Program	5%	90%	0%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	17%	79%	0%	3%	1%	422

Table 83. Proportion of people who have had a hearing exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	75%	17%	8%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	76%	21%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	72%	21%	2%	4%	1%	360
TBI Program	67%	14%	10%	10%	0%	21
Sample Average	72%	21%	3%	4%	1%	422

Table 84. Proportion of people who have had a vision exam in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	33%	58%	0%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	55%	41%	0%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	46%	49%	1%	3%	1%	360
TBI Program	29%	67%	0%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	45%	50%	1%	3%	1%	422

Table 85. Proportion of people who have had a flu shot in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	75%	0%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	28%	69%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	21%	76%	2%	1%	1%	360
TBI Program	38%	57%	0%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	22%	74%	2%	1%	1%	422

Table 86. Proportion of people who have had a dental visit in the past year

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	42%	42%	17%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	45%	55%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	62%	33%	4%	1%	1%	360
TBI Program	19%	71%	5%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	58%	36%	4%	1%	1%	422

Table 87. Proportion of people who have had a cholesterol screening in the past five years

	No	Yes	N/A (e.g. Not Recommended)	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	75%	0%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	66%	0%	21%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	18%	70%	1%	10%	2%	360
TBI Program	10%	76%	0%	14%	0%	21
Sample Average	17%	70%	1%	11%	1%	422

Wellness — un-collapsed

Table 88. Proportion of people whose health was described as poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent

	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	17%	58%	17%	8%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	28%	28%	28%	3%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	21%	33%	30%	12%	4%	1%	0%	361
TBI Program	10%	19%	38%	24%	5%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	19%	31%	31%	13%	4%	1%	0%	423

Table 89. Proportion of people whose health was described as having gotten better, staying about the same, and getting worse compared to 12 months ago

	Much Worse	Somewhat Worse	About the Same	Somewhat Better	Much Better	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	8%	58%	17%	17%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	24%	38%	14%	24%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	24%	36%	15%	12%	1%	1%	361
TBI Program	10%	0%	29%	19%	38%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	11%	22%	37%	15%	14%	1%	0%	423

Table 90. Proportion of people reported to have been forgetting things more often than before in the past 12 months

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	75%	25%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	62%	31%	3%	3%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	33%	64%	2%	1%	360
TBI Program	48%	52%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	37%	60%	2%	1%	422

Table 91. Proportion of people who have discussed their forgetting things more often than before with a doctor or a nurse

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	33%	67%	0%	0%	3
CFC, Flexible Choices	44%	56%	0%	0%	9
CFC, Traditional Home Based	31%	66%	2%	1%	230
TBI Program	45%	45%	9%	0%	11
Sample Average	32%	65%	2%	1%	253

Table 92. Proportion of people reported to have a chronic psychiatric or mental health diagnosis

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	83%	8%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	66%	31%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	72%	25%	2%	1%	360
TBI Program	48%	48%	5%	0%	21
Sample Average	71%	26%	3%	0%	422

Table 93. Proportion of people who never/almost never, not often, sometimes, and often feel sad or depressed

	Never or Almost Never	Not Often	Sometimes	Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	33%	25%	17%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	17%	13%	39%	26%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	21%	23%	32%	21%	1%	2%	292
TBI Program	5%	40%	25%	30%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	20%	24%	32%	22%	1%	2%	347

Table 94. Proportion of people reported to have chronic condition(s)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	92%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	86%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	86%	0%	1%	359
TBI Program	14%	86%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	13%	86%	0%	1%	421

Table 95. Proportion of people whose hearing was described as poor, fair and good (with hearing aids, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	17%	75%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	3%	21%	76%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	29%	57%	0%	1%	360
TBI Program	0%	24%	76%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	12%	28%	60%	0%	0%	422

Table 96. Proportion of people whose vision was described as poor, fair, and good (with glasses or contacts, if wears any)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	42%	50%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	7%	48%	45%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	20%	30%	50%	0%	0%	360
TBI Program	10%	24%	67%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	18%	31%	50%	0%	0%	422

Table 97. Proportion of people who consider themselves to have a physical disability

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	31%	54%	0%	15%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	96%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	11%	81%	3%	4%	295
TBI Program	48%	52%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	14%	80%	3%	4%	352

Medications — un-collapsed

Table 98. Proportion of people who take medications that help them feel less sad or depressed

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	67%	25%	8%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	52%	48%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	54%	41%	4%	1%	360
TBI Program	29%	48%	24%	0%	21
Sample Average	53%	41%	5%	1%	422

Table 99. Proportion of people who understand what they take their prescription medications for (if takes prescription medications)

	No	In-between, or Some Medications	Yes	N/A – Doesn't Take Prescription Medications	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	25%	8%	50%	0%	8%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	13%	83%	0%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	10%	11%	74%	2%	1%	2%	291
TBI Program	10%	25%	60%	0%	0%	5%	20
Sample Average	10%	12%	73%	2%	1%	3%	346

Rights and Respect — un-collapsed

Table 100. Proportion of people whose paid support staff treat them with respect

	No, Never or Rarely	Some, or Usually	Yes, All Paid Support Staff, Always or Almost Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	20
CFC, Traditional Home Based	0%	7%	93%	0%	0%	229
TBI Program	7%	14%	79%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	0%	6%	93%	0%	0%	271

Table 101. Proportion of people whose permission is asked before others enter their home/room (if in group setting)

	Sometimes/ Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	17%	67%	0%	0%	6
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	0%	20%	80%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	9%	18%	73%	0%	0%	11

Table 102. Proportion of people who are able to lock the doors to their room if they want to (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	80%	20%	0%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	60%	40%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	70%	30%	0%	0%	10

Table 103. Proportion of people who have enough privacy where they live (if in group setting)

	Sometimes/Rarely, or Never	Usually, But Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	0%	40%	60%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	0%	20%	80%	0%	0%	10

Table 104. Proportion of people whose visitors are able to come at any time (if in group setting)

	No, Visitors Allowed Only Certain Times	Yes, Visitors Can Come Any Time	N/A – No Visitors Who Visit Residence	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	10

Table 105. Proportion of people who can use the phone privately whenever they want to (if in group setting)

	No, Never or Rarely, or There Are Restrictions/ Interference	Usually	Yes, Anytime	N/A –Doesn't Use Phone	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	60%	40%	0%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	0%	0%	80%	20%	0%	0%	10

Table 106. Proportion of people who have access to food at all times of the day (if in group setting)

	No	Yes	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	20%	60%	0%	0%	20%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	10%	80%	0%	0%	10%	10

Table 107. Proportion of people whose mail or email is read without asking them first (if in group setting)

	No, Never	Yes	N/A – Doesn't Get Mail/Email	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%	5
CFC, Flexible Choices	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Traditional Home Based	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5
Sample Average	90%	0%	0%	10%	0%	10

Self-Direction of Care — un-collapsed

Table 108. Proportion of people who are participating in a self-directed supports option (as defined by the State – data derived from State’s administrative records)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	N
CFC, AFC	92%	0%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	100%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	57%	40%	3%	364
TBI Program	100%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	56%	41%	3%	428

Table 109. Proportion of people who can choose or change what kind of services they get

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	62%	23%	15%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	3%	24%	62%	10%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	15%	54%	14%	4%	360
TBI Program	5%	27%	59%	9%	0%	22
Sample Average	12%	16%	55%	14%	4%	424

Table 110. Proportion of people who can choose or change how often and when they get services

	No	Sometimes, or Some Services	Yes, All Services	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	15%	15%	54%	8%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	14%	69%	3%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	17%	55%	11%	4%	360
TBI Program	23%	14%	59%	5%	0%	22
Sample Average	14%	17%	56%	10%	4%	424

Table 111. Proportion of people who can change their paid support staff if they want to

	No	Sometimes, or Some	Yes, All	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	13%	13%	63%	0%	13%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	14%	9%	73%	5%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	8%	5%	77%	8%	2%	255
TBI Program	7%	21%	64%	7%	0%	14
Sample Average	8%	7%	76%	7%	2%	299

Work — un-collapsed

Table 112. Proportion of people who have a paying job in the community

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	92%	8%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	83%	17%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	98%	1%	0%	1%	362
TBI Program	82%	18%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	96%	4%	0%	0%	425

Table 113. Proportion of people who would like a job (if not currently employed)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	45%	27%	27%	0%	11
CFC, Flexible Choices	44%	11%	44%	0%	18
CFC, Traditional Home Based	60%	8%	30%	2%	287
TBI Program	24%	6%	71%	0%	17
Sample Average	57%	8%	33%	2%	333

Table 114. Proportion of people wanting a job who had someone talk to them about job options

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	83%	0%	17%	0%	6
CFC, Flexible Choices	40%	60%	0%	0%	10
CFC, Traditional Home Based	81%	14%	2%	4%	109
TBI Program	50%	50%	0%	0%	12
Sample Average	75%	20%	2%	3%	137

Table 115. Proportion of people who do volunteer work

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	100%	0%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	72%	28%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	91%	9%	0%	0%	362
TBI Program	76%	24%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	89%	11%	0%	0%	424

Table 116. Proportion of people who would like to do volunteer work (if not currently volunteering)

	No	Maybe, Not Sure	Yes	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	50%	25%	25%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	38%	25%	31%	6%	16
CFC, Traditional Home Based	57%	15%	23%	4%	261
TBI Program	27%	27%	47%	0%	15
Sample Average	55%	17%	25%	4%	304

Everyday Living — un-collapsed

Table 117. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with everyday activities (such as preparing meals, housework, shopping or taking their medications)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	46%	38%	0%	8%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	31%	69%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	26%	71%	0%	0%	363
TBI Program	18%	64%	18%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	4%	29%	67%	0%	0%	427

Table 118. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with everyday activities who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	11
CFC, Flexible Choices	21%	79%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	15%	84%	0%	1%	352
TBI Program	22%	78%	0%	0%	18
Sample Average	16%	83%	0%	1%	410

Table 119. Proportion of people who generally need none, some, or a lot of assistance with self-care (such as bathing, dressing, going to the bathroom, eating, or moving around their home)

	None	Some	A Lot	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	23%	38%	38%	0%	0%	13
CFC, Flexible Choices	7%	21%	72%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	13%	34%	53%	0%	1%	363
TBI Program	82%	9%	9%	0%	0%	22
Sample Average	16%	32%	51%	0%	0%	427

Table 120. Proportion of people needing at least some assistance with self-care who always get enough of that assistance when they need it

	No, Not Always	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	15%	85%	0%	0%	27
CFC, Traditional Home Based	17%	82%	0%	1%	313
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	4
Sample Average	16%	83%	0%	1%	354

Table 121. Proportion of people who have access to healthy foods when they want them

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	8%	92%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	7%	93%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	9%	87%	0%	1%	358
TBI Program	0%	14%	86%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	2%	9%	88%	0%	1%	420

Affordability — un-collapsed

Table 122. Proportion of people who ever have to skip a meal due to financial worries

	No, Never	Sometimes	Yes, Often	N/A – Unable to Eat Due to a Medical Condition	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	29
CFC, Traditional Home Based	89%	6%	3%	0%	0%	1%	358
TBI Program	81%	5%	14%	0%	0%	0%	21
Sample Average	90%	6%	4%	0%	0%	1%	420

Planning for the Future — un-collapsed

Table 123. Proportion of people who want help planning for their future service needs

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	50%	8%	33%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	61%	35%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	50%	38%	8%	4%	291
TBI Program	45%	55%	0%	0%	20
Sample Average	50%	38%	8%	4%	346

Control — un-collapsed

Table 124. Proportion of people who feel in control of their life

	No, Rarely or Never	In-between, Sometimes	Yes, Almost Always or Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	33%	58%	0%	0%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	9%	22%	70%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	16%	18%	62%	1%	3%	291
TBI Program	25%	15%	55%	5%	0%	20
Sample Average	16%	18%	62%	1%	3%	346

Table 125. Ranking of how important health was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Health Most Important	2	3	4 - Health Least Important	N
CFC, AFC	60%	20%	0%	20%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	39%	30%	22%	9%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	55%	31%	11%	3%	269
TBI Program	26%	37%	26%	11%	19
Sample Average	52%	31%	12%	5%	321

Table 126. Ranking of how important safety was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 - Safety Most Important	2	3	4 - Safety Least Important	N
CFC, AFC	10%	50%	40%	0%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	9%	13%	39%	39%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	29%	42%	23%	269
TBI Program	16%	21%	26%	37%	19
Sample Average	6%	28%	41%	24%	321

Table 127. Ranking of how important being independent was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Independent Most Important	2	3	4 - Being Independent Least Important	N
CFC, AFC	10%	20%	50%	20%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	30%	35%	22%	13%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	30%	26%	28%	16%	268
TBI Program	47%	16%	32%	5%	19
Sample Average	30%	26%	28%	15%	320

Table 128. Ranking of how important being engaged with their community and friends was to people at the time of the survey (out of health, safety, being independent, and being engaged with community and friends)

	1 – Being Engaged with Community Most Important	2	3	4 – Being Engaged with Community Least Important	N
CFC, AFC	20%	10%	10%	60%	10
CFC, Flexible Choices	22%	22%	17%	39%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	10%	13%	19%	57%	269
TBI Program	11%	26%	16%	47%	19
Sample Average	12%	15%	18%	55%	321

Appendix C: Vermont's State-Specific Questions

Table 129. Reasons people don't get enough assistance with everyday activities (VT-18)

	Lack of Funding	Current Caregivers Not Available When Needed	Unable to Find/Hire Caregiver	Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Flexible Choices	17%	33%	33%	50%	0%	0%	6
CFC, Traditional Home Based	26%	54%	17%	33%	0%	0%	54
TBI Program	50%	75%	0%	50%	0%	0%	4
Sample Average	27%	53%	17%	36%	0%	0%	64

Table 130. Reasons people don't get enough assistance for self-care (VT-20)

	Lack of Funding	Current Caregivers Not Available When Needed	Unable to Find/Hire Caregiver	Often	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
CFC, Flexible Choices	25%	75%	25%	25%	0%	0%	4
CFC, Traditional Home Based	28%	60%	19%	19%	6%	0%	53
TBI Program	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0
Sample Average	28%	61%	19%	19%	5%	0%	57

Table 131. Proportion of people whose caregivers are available at the times when person wants them (VT-25)

	Never	Seldom	Often	Yes, Always	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	13%	88%	0%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	9%	18%	68%	5%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	0%	9%	29%	61%	0%	0%	255
TBI Program	0%	21%	21%	57%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	0%	9%	27%	62%	1%	0%	299

Table 132. Proportion of people who are informed about caregiver changes in a timely manner (VT-26)

	Never	Seldom	Often	Yes, Always	N/A – Hasn't Experienced Caregiver Changes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	13%	0%	0%	50%	25%	13%	0%	8
CFC, Flexible Choices	5%	5%	18%	59%	14%	0%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	7%	14%	52%	17%	2%	2%	255
TBI Program	7%	7%	14%	64%	7%	0%	0%	14
Sample Average	5%	7%	14%	53%	17%	2%	1%	299

Appendix D: Vermont's Pilot of NCI-AD's Person-Centered Planning Module

Table 133. People’s level of involvement in making decisions about their care plan and the goals they want for their lives

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Very/Fully Involved	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	17%	17%	42%	8%	17%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	4%	4%	13%	78%	0%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	4%	7%	16%	64%	6%	4%	291
TBI Program	10%	0%	10%	65%	5%	10%	20
Sample Average	4%	6%	16%	64%	5%	4%	346

Table 134. Proportion of people who remember their most recent care service meeting (if involved in making decisions about their care plan)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	22%	78%	0%	0%	9
CFC, Flexible Choices	27%	68%	5%	0%	22
CFC, Traditional Home Based	24%	66%	7%	3%	252
TBI Program	13%	80%	7%	0%	15
Sample Average	24%	67%	6%	3%	298

Table 135. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a time convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don’t Know	Unclear/Refused/ No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	86%	14%	0%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	100%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	1%	97%	2%	1%	166
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	12
Sample Average	1%	97%	2%	1%	200

Table 136. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting take place at a location convenient to them (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	100%	0%	0%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	100%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	1%	98%	1%	1%	166
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	12
Sample Average	1%	99%	1%	1%	200

Table 137. Proportion of people whose most recent care service meeting included the people they wanted to be there (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	14%	86%	0%	0%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	100%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	95%	1%	1%	166
TBI Program	0%	100%	0%	0%	12
Sample Average	3%	96%	1%	1%	200

Table 138. People's preferences and needs were heard as their care plan was discussed during the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	Not at All	Very Little	Somewhat	Mostly	Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	14%	0%	0%	86%	0%	0%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	0%	0%	20%	80%	0%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	2%	1%	8%	16%	71%	1%	1%	166
TBI Program	0%	0%	8%	25%	58%	8%	0%	12
Sample Average	2%	1%	7%	17%	72%	2%	1%	200

Table 139. Proportion of people who received a copy of their care plan after the most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	14%	57%	29%	0%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	7%	87%	7%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	23%	60%	15%	2%	166
TBI Program	17%	83%	0%	0%	12
Sample Average	21%	63%	14%	2%	200

Table 140. Proportion of people whose care plan included what was discussed in their most recent care service meeting (if were at least a little involved in making decisions about their care plan and remembered their most recent care service meeting)

	No	Yes, In Part	Yes, Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	0%	0%	57%	29%	14%	7
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	13%	80%	7%	0%	15
CFC, Traditional Home Based	4%	5%	72%	16%	2%	166
TBI Program	0%	8%	58%	25%	8%	12
Sample Average	4%	6%	71%	17%	3%	200

Table 141. Proportion of people whose preferences and choices are reflected in their care plan

	No	Yes, Some/In Part	Yes, All/Completely	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	17%	8%	58%	8%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	22%	70%	9%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	5%	15%	59%	14%	7%	291
TBI Program	10%	20%	55%	15%	0%	20
Sample Average	5%	15%	60%	14%	6%	346

Table 142. Proportion of people who felt that the care supports and services they receive help them live a better life

	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unclear/Refused/No Response	N
CFC, AFC	8%	75%	8%	8%	12
CFC, Flexible Choices	0%	96%	4%	0%	23
CFC, Traditional Home Based	3%	94%	1%	2%	291
TBI Program	5%	90%	5%	0%	20
Sample Average	3%	93%	1%	2%	346